#### Volume 4

Pages 505 - 716

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Before The Honorable Richard Seeborg, Judge

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS. NO. 3:20-CR-00249-RS-1

ROWLAND MARCUS ANDRADE,

Defendant.

San Francisco, California

Thursday, February 13, 2025

# TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

#### **APPEARANCES:**

For Plaintiff:

ISMAIL J. RAMSEY

United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue

San Francisco, California 94102

BY: CHRISTIAAN HIGHSMITH

DAVID J. WARD MATTHEW CHOU

ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS

For Defendant:

KING AND SPALDING, LLP

50 California Street, Suite 3300

San Francisco, CA 94111

BY: MICHAEL J. SHEPARD

ATTORNEY AT LAW

(Appearances continued on following page.)

REPORTED BY: Stephen W. Franklin, RMR, CRR, CPE

Official United States Reporter

# APPEARANCES (CONT.'D):

For Defendant:

KING AND SPALDING, LLC 1700 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest Washington, DC 20006

BY: KERRIE C. DENT
ATTORNEY AT LAW

KING AND SPALDING, LLC 1185 6th Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10036

BY: DAINEC STEFAN
ATTORNEY AT LAW

CINDY A. DIAMOND, ATTORNEY AT LAW 58 West Portal Avenue, #350 San Francisco, CA 94127

BY: CINDY A. DIAMOND ATTORNEY AT LAW

# I N D E X

Thursday, February 13 , 2025 - Volume 4

GOVERNMENT'S WITNESSES	PAGE	VOL.
BOYER, BENJAMIN		
(SWORN)	525	4
Direct Examination by Mr. Highsmith	525	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Stefan	581	
Redirect Examination by Mr. Highsmith	616	
Recross-Examination by Mr. Stefan	622	4
ACUNA, RENE		
(SWORN)	623	4
Direct Examination by Mr. Highsmith	623	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Stefan	640	
Redirect Examination by Mr. Highsmith	645	
Recross-Examination by Mr. Stefan	647	4
BRUFFEY, SCOTT		
(SWORN)	648	4
Direct Examination by Mr. Highsmith	649	
Cross-Examination by Ms. Dent	669	
Redirect Examination by Mr. Highsmith	676	4
DARLING, BRIAN (SWORN)	678	4
(Swordy)	070	<b>-</b>
EXHIBITS		
TRIAL EXHIBITS IDEN	EVID	VOL.
70	701	4
173	695	4
195	703	4
196	689	4
231	628	4

# I N D E X

# EXHIBITS

TRIAL EXHIBITS	IDEN	EVID	VOL.
236		626	4
241		686	4
381		620	4
506		699	4
602		572	4
626		543	4
666		683	4
727		530	4
728		533	4
731		548	4
733		550	4
734		552	4
740		561	4
852		651	4
869		546	4
875		654	4
1224		557	4
1501-012 and 1501-015		510	4
1502		656	4
1504		658	4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

# Thursday - February 13, 2025 8:08 a.m. PROCEEDINGS ---000---(Defendant present, out of custody.) THE COURT: Good morning. MR. HIGHSMITH: Good morning. Easy or hard, Your Honor? Would you like to deal with the easier stuff or harder stuff? THE COURT: Start with the easier stuff. MR. HIGHSMITH: Okay. We need to renumber some exhibits from yesterday. There were two that had one page, and Mr. Ward wants to put on the record what the exhibit is. should be --MR. WARD: This is exhibit 1501. It's, I quess, 17 or so pages. We admitted two yesterday, and the Court admitted them as 1501A and 1501B. What we'd like to do is have the Court say that they're admitted as 1501-012 and 015 because that exhibit number 19 matches the exhibit number on the document. THE COURT: All right. MR. WARD: And Mrs. Rosenbaum says that will make her life much easier. THE COURT: So 1501, what are the numbers again? MR. WARD: 1501-012, starting with 1501-012, which is

the -- it was the first commission page we looked at.

```
1
              THE COURT: All right.
              MR. WARD: So we would like that to be admitted as
 2
     1501-012. And then 15 was the second commission page we looked
 3
     at, and we'd like that admitted as 1501-015, and it just needs
 4
 5
     to be on the record.
 6
              THE COURT: Do you think it needs to be on the record
     in front of the jury?
 7
              MR. WARD: No, just you need to say it so the court
 8
     reporter has it on the record.
 9
10
              THE COURT: All right.
11
              MR. SHEPARD: I mean, I objected to both of them.
                                                                  The
     Court overruled my objection. I don't care if --
12
13
              THE COURT: Right. You're not objecting to the
     numbering process.
14
15
              MR. SHEPARD: Right.
16
              THE COURT: So what was admitted yesterday as 1501A
17
     and B, 1501A has now become 1501-012, and 1501B has now become
18
     1501-015.
              MR. WARD: Yes.
19
20
              THE COURT: All right.
          (Trial Exhibits 1501-012 and 1501-015 received in
21
     evidence.)
22
                          That's the easy one. What's the next one?
23
              THE COURT:
              MR. SHEPARD: Okay. We have several.
24
25
          Number one --
```

THE COURT: I was told these were easy when I was lured out here.

MR. SHEPARD: I think they're straightforward.

They're not like complicated legal issues.

**THE COURT:** Okay.

MR. SHEPARD: Number one, the Court had said we should get witnesses at the end of the session. We got witnesses at the end of the session yesterday. One was added at 6:00 p.m. He was added to the witness list at the end of January. He wasn't on the original witness list. One of his colleagues was on the original witness list. But the exhibits relating to him raise a few different issues. They're not -- he and his colleague were not on all the same e-mail chain, so there are different issues.

And, you know, once we get these exhibits, and they sent us 70 exhibits, the Court had said 24 hours in advance, they sent us 70 exhibits at 8:36 p.m. last night. It takes us a while to upload them, get them printed. So, you know, we don't really have a lot of time to get to them. There are several leads we need to pursue from the new exhibits relate -- you know, they're -- I'm sure they were in the discovery many -- and on the however many, 15, 1600 exhibits that the government identified. But, you know, in terms of our ability to run them all down, we get the notice before, we don't have time to run it all down.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
Hopefully he won't get called today, but if he does, we
have an issue with that.
         THE COURT: Well, so what is -- and giving me that
history, what is the -- is there a request? Is there a motion?
Is there -- what is there?
        MR. SHEPARD: The request is, at a minimum, we don't
have to cross-examine him until tomorrow.
         THE COURT: Well, at the speed we're going, we
probably won't even get there.
        MR. HIGHSMITH: Well, here's the deal, Your Honor.
                                                             Α,
we're moving slower than we expected, and we need to cut
witnesses in order to make up speed. So those are just the
facts of the trial. I don't want to quarrel with Mr. Shepard.
     Second, we sent it to him at 4:00 p.m., not 6:00 p.m, and
we're only going to use seven exhibits.
         THE COURT:
                     Seven?
        MR. HIGHSMITH: Yes, for those -- for this witness,
Mr. Hargreaves.
        MR. SHEPARD: Mr. Hargreaves was sent to us in an
e-mail at 5:59 p.m. That's when we first learned he would
testify tomorrow.
                    Well, I'm not going to get in the -- okay.
         THE COURT:
                         I'm sorry. I'm so sorry.
        MR. HIGHSMITH:
         THE COURT:
                    Go ahead.
        MR. HIGHSMITH: Mr. Hargreaves has been -- wants to go
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He has some -- he's going to the North Bay at 6:30. on today. He has some very important commitment starting tomorrow and so we're trying to accommodate a commitment starting tomorrow. So I just want to share that with the Court. So we were planning on calling him first today so that he could go to his --And he's the one with the documents that THE COURT: they have a problem with? MR. SHEPARD: Yes. MR. HIGHSMITH: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Well, can you readjust? If he's got some problem tomorrow, maybe you could put him next week. you have to deal with him today? I think he's got -- I don't know if he MR. HIGHSMITH: has travel, but I think he's gone for like two weeks or something. He's going on some --THE COURT: Who is this man? MR. HIGHSMITH: It's Hargreaves. He's a journalist who the defendant hired who had -- yeah, Mr. Chou's witness. MR. CHOU: Sorry, Your Honor. So Mr. Hargreaves is a PR executive that Mr. Andrade hired for about a, say, two-month period in 2017. The six or seven documents we're going to go over with him this morning are all either -- they're all to -- Mr. Andrade is on every single

thread, and it's either one of Mr. Hargreaves' colleagues

sending the e-mail, him sending the e-mail --

Him sending the e-mail. So it's either Mr. Hargreaves' colleague sending the e-mail with Mr. Andrade on the thread, Mr. Dillman sending the e-mail with Mr. Andrade on the thread, making it a co-conspirator statement, Mr. Andrade himself sending the e-mail or Mr. Hargreaves sending an e-mail to Mr. Andrade. So ...

THE COURT: The other materials that you sent over, the 70 or whatever it is? What else? I mean, what's in that batch?

MR. CHOU: There was a -- so what we sent over to the defense was a list of all, as we saw it, possible exhibits for the witnesses going on today. As for Mr. Hargreaves's documents, over the course of preparing last night and meeting with the witness, we narrowed, I don't know, maybe the 20 or so documents of those 70 down to about six or seven. But there are other documents in there that we disclosed to the defense that are potentially pertaining to other witnesses today.

THE COURT: So they say there's six or seven they're going to use. What's the problem?

MR. SHEPARD: Two, two problems.

Number one, we didn't know there were only six until Mr. Chou just said that.

THE COURT: Well, you know now. Okay.

MR. SHEPARD: Yes. But with respect to several of

them, it's like, okay, here's an e-mail. There's one, for example, that suggests someone was complaining about not getting their wallet or whatever, and there's no response to that. We've gotta go find the response. It's things like that for each of these exhibits. We're not magicians. We can't get these at 10:00 o'clock at night after they're downloaded and then run down the leads that need to be run down from it.

MR. CHOU: I don't believe that's Mr. Hargreaves's document.

MR. SHEPARD: It's one of the documents that went to shift communications. We isolated the ones --

THE COURT: I mean --

MR. SHEPARD: I could go through others of them. It's just --

THE COURT: I like to accommodate witnesses' personal situations and all of that, and I'm happy to call people out of order, but if it wasn't a situation where it was a bit of a late disclosure, I would say, okay, the government can just go ahead because of that. But I'm sorry, I don't know what his commitment is, but he's subpoenaed as a witness, I presume, to this trial, and he's gotta be available: So I want to give the defense the opportunity to review consistent with our plan.

So if you want to go out -- is he here? You can talk to him and say the big bad judge says, sorry, you know, he's going to be called and subject to being here tomorrow.

```
1
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Can we start him today, Your Honor?
              THE COURT: You can start him. I mean, that's fine.
 2
    Not -- well, meaning at the end of the day.
 3
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
                              Yeah.
 4
 5
              THE COURT: Oh, sure, sure. But then they can cross
 6
     tomorrow.
                              Understood. Understood.
 7
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
              THE COURT: Okay?
 8
              MR. SHEPARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
              THE COURT: You're welcome.
10
11
              MR. SHEPARD: Others, just to get ahead of a few
     things, one of the other witnesses today is Ben Boyer. You may
12
     recall from the co-conspirator motion that there was one
13
     statement that we called out that we didn't think was a
14
15
     co-conspirator statement. We saw that, there was a bunch of
     text. It may be WhatsApp, I forget, Skype, but, you know,
16
17
     text-like communications, and that co-conspirator statement,
18
     non-co-conspirator statement, is contained in several of them,
19
     and these are sort of group exhibits.
20
              THE COURT: Uh-huh.
              MR. SHEPARD: So it's hard for us to deal with.
21
                                                                We
22
     just wanted to call that out in advance because that might take
23
     a little time to sort out.
              THE COURT: And I don't have -- I know when I ruled on
24
25
     the co-conspirator statements there was one that I ruled was
```

1 not admissible, but the other ones I ruled were admissible. MR. SHEPARD: Yes, correct. 2 So the ones that you're talking about, THE COURT: 3 it's included in the ones that I said were admissible. 4 MR. SHEPARD: Correct. 5 THE COURT: And you're just preserving your objection 6 7 that there is an aspect of that you maintain should not have been admitted but I went the other way. 8 MR. SHEPARD: No, no. 9 THE COURT: No. 10 11 MR. SHEPARD: I'm just focused on the fact that they are going to offer an exhibit. 12 13 THE COURT: Yes. MR. SHEPARD: It might be two exhibits that contain 14 15 the non-co-conspirator statement that the Court excluded. THE COURT: Oh, the one that I excluded. 16 MR. SHEPARD: Yes. 17 THE COURT: All right. You want to clear this up from 18 your perspective? 19 MR. HIGHSMITH: Yes, Your Honor. 20 Mr. Boyer, who's going to be a witness this morning, had a 21 very long e-mail exchange with Mr. Dillman, who featured 22 23 prominently in the defense opening statement. There's plenty of co-conspirator statements in here that are admissible, the 24 Court ruled are admissible. 25

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HIGHSMITH: And then there's one statement here, and I can hand this up to the Court, where Mr. Dillman says to Mr. Boyer -- they have a long conversation. There's a lot of context needed to understand it. And he says every -- Mr. Dillman: Everything I've stated I repeated directly from Marcus. I have no tools to look inside the company, only access to call him.

So I think he's objecting to "everything I said I repeated directly from Marcus," and it's in the context of a long text exchange filled with admissible coconspirator statements, and that's what he's objecting to, and I can pass it up.

MR. SHEPARD: And that's the statement the Court excluded.

THE COURT: Can't you redact it?

MR. HIGHSMITH: Well, I would move to admit it. I don't~-- the Court needed additional context, and so the additional context now is clear. It's that whole text exchange. It's the context, the entire context of that text message exchange. The witness understands what's happening, so the jury understands what's happening. So I believe it's admissible for context and to show completeness of the text exchange.

THE COURT: I seem to recall my ruling was that this wasn't advancing the scheme or conspiracy, which was the

problem with it coming in as a co-conspirator statement. S
you're now saying, well, I'm not offering it as a
co-conspirator statement, I'm offering it, as you say, for
context or for how the next message, that kind of thing?

MR. HIGHSMITH:

THE COURT: Well, I'm still not entirely clear on why you can't just redact it. I don't know why it's -- I don't -- what context is it giving to anyone?

Correct Your Honor.

MR. HIGHSMITH: They're having an extended conversation about the ICO, and he's saying -- he's saying, look, the ICO, you told me they sold out in the ICO. It's in the middle -- it's smack in the middle of the fraud scheme, and Boyer, one of the investors saying, you told me the ICO sold out, but I'm now learning it didn't sell out, and Dillman is furthering the fraud by saying, hey, everything I heard I heard from Marcus. And then Boyer comes back with further --

THE COURT: But my question is if you excluded this statement, redacted it, I don't think it would be -- I don't think it would be hard to follow the flow of this back-and-forth. I don't know what it's -- I excluded it. So now the question is is there some other reason why it needs to be there so the jury can understand this, the admissible co-conspirator statements, and it doesn't seem to me that they need it. I mean -- so I don't see why you can't redact it.

MR. HIGHSMITH: Understood.

1 THE COURT: Okay. MR. SHEPARD: Mr. Stefan, who is dealing with 2 Mr. Boyer, tells me there may be another one like that. There 3 are a couple of these chains of communications. 4 5 THE COURT: Well, it would be that one thing that I excluded. 6 7 MR. SHEPARD: Yes, same statement. THE COURT: Okay. If it's the same statement --8 MR. HIGHSMITH: I'm not going to introduce it via 9 another exhibit, Your Honor. I'm not going to sneak it in via 10 another exhibit. 11 MR. SHEPARD: Okay. We're just calling out it seems 12 13 to be in a couple of places. THE COURT: That sounds like a problem that's not one 14 I have to deal with. 15 16 MR. SHEPARD: We will -- we will share what we have identified with the government and we will work it out. 17 18 THE COURT: Good. MR. SHEPARD: Cindy, there was something you wanted to 19 raise. 20 MS. DIAMOND: Your Honor, we're trying to work this 21 out, but I want to make the Court aware of this because it's a 22 resource issue of the Court's funds. 23 We had tried to work out an agreement with the government 24

before trial that we could have their witnesses be under

subpoena to us if they were not going to be called by the government. We have a standby agreement we can provide to them to make it very easy. The government is not willing to let us do that, although there's a couple of people they've just yesterday and this morning I was told there would be another one, that the government will give us information about where they served these people.

I had asked them for location information. The government represented to me, to us in conversation, that they had all their witnesses under subpoena, and we're trying to find some of them. We've got an investigator looking for them, we're calling them. Every afternoon after court, that's the work that partly I am working on.

I'm wondering if there is a way that the Court could suggest to the government that they could be more cooperative with us for witnesses that they have under subpoena so we can get those witnesses, a standby agreement to us before they are released.

I believe there's one of the witnesses that was on their list, it was on our list. We've been trying to get him under subpoena, trying to find him. We have to hire an investigator in a different city through CJA to do that. Then we have to have them go and see if they'll talk to us and give them the subpoena and the standby agreement because we cannot -- there's no other way for us to accomplish this task, and I'm just

asking whether or not the Court could suggest that the government could be a little cooperative with us on that, because we're expending CJA funds to do this.

THE COURT: Mr. Highsmith?

MR. HIGHSMITH: Okay. So in the -- we tried to reach a bunch of stipulations and this was within the context of many stipulations. And so both parties did not agree to those stipulations. So it's not like -- you know, okay. That's the back story.

I am reluctant to give them the address information, personal identifying information for the witnesses. We have to cut witnesses to speed the trial up. And I also am reluctant to tell the witnesses, hey, the defense is going to reach out to them. I don't want to give them the government's imprimatur of talking to the witnesses.

So we're giving them, where we have attorney information, we'll give them the attorney information so they can serve a subpoena on the attorney, and we can talk to the attorney and say make sure this gets served, make sure you accept service. But if it's, give us the address of a witness so your person can go track them down, I have concerns about giving -- handing over personally identifying information for people and then, you know, sort of telling witnesses, giving them the government imprimatur of, hey, you're going to get a defense subpoena.

So that's the issue I have. We -- you know, we're not

trying to get in the way of getting them to serve the subpoenas, but it's those issues.

# MS. DIAMOND: If I may, Your Honor?

Our challenge is a little different than the government's. Besides subpoenaing our witnesses, we need information from them to arrange travel through the U.S. Marshals Service, and we need to get details like their Social Security number in order to get them paid for meals and incidentals.

THE COURT: I share the government's concern about their reticence about turning over that material, God knows I don't want to spend more CJA funds than we need to. You know my view on that with respect to this case. But you're just gonna have to do it on your own. I mean, they're not obligated to do it, and I understand why there's some concern about giving personal information about witnesses that they may have over to you. You're gonna have to just figure it out.

MS. DIAMOND: Your Honor, without that information, we cannot even transport them here.

THE COURT: Well, it's not my problem. You know, you are the counsel. You deal with this issue. And I'm not -- I don't know what your travel arrangements are. Work on it through CJA. That's the way it works. But I'm not going to require all this personal information to be turned over. Okay?

MS. DIAMOND: Thank you for hearing us, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're welcome.

```
1
              MS. DIAMOND: Appreciate that.
              MR. HIGHSMITH: I'd like to batch a bit some e-mails
 2
     so that we can move faster on the case. Do you have a problem
 3
     with me batch admitting e-mails with Mr. Boyer?
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          What does that mean?
              MR. HIGHSMITH: That means having him look at five
 6
 7
     e-mails at once and saying, are these your e-mails? Yes,
     they're my e-mails. Are they a fair and accurate depiction of
 8
 9
     your five e-mails? Yes, move to admit and save some time that
10
     way?
11
              MR. STEFAN: As long as we have adequate time to
     review the exact e-mails that are being provided given, you
12
    know, some of the inclusion of the statements that we've been
13
     talking about.
14
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Well, I'm not going to -- obviously
15
16
     I'm not going to do the statements.
17
              THE COURT: Well, yeah. But I'm not sure this is
     going to speed it up any.
18
                             All right.
19
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
              THE COURT: But if you want to try it, you can go
20
             But as, you know, Mr. Stefan says, you have the right
21
     ahead.
     to review what's being offered, so you can do that.
22
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
              THE COURT: Okay. We're going to bring the jury out.
24
25
          (The jury enters the courtroom.)
```

THE COURT: Good morning, members of the jury. Thank you again for being prompt and particularly on a nasty day like this. Not a fun day to commute.

So, we can get going. The government's next witness.

MR. HIGHSMITH: Your Honor, the United States calls Benjamin Boyer.

## BENJAMIN BOYER,

called as a witness for the Government, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Okay. Please be seated. And can you state and spell your last name, please.

THE WITNESS: First name is Benjamin, last name is Boyer, B-o-y-e-r.

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

## 15 BY MR. HIGHSMITH

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 16 Q. Good morning, Mr. Boyer.
- 17 A. Good morning.
- 18 **Q.** What do you do for a living?
- 19 A. I work in venture capital, and I'm also an entrepreneur.
- 20 \ Q. How long have you been a venture capitalist?
- 21 A. Since 2000.
- 22 **Q.** Do you have any specialty in venture capital?
- 23 A. Yeah, consumer applications, vertical networks. So
- 24 software applied to specific industries.
- 25 **Q.** When you said vertical, you said vertical-something.

- 1 A. Vertical applications.
- 2 Q. What are vertical applications?
- 3 **A.** Yeah. Those are products that are built to work in a
- 4 | specific industry. For example, the construction industry
- 5 | would have software that's unique to construction. I've
- 6 invested in businesses like that.
- 7 \ Q. You said you're also an entrepreneur; is that right?
- 8 A. That's correct.
- 9 Q. What do you mean by that?
- 10 A. I have started a couple of different businesses. I
- 11 cofounded the venture capital firm that I work for, and then I
- 12 also cofounded two venture back startups.
- 13 Q. And how long have you been an entrepreneur?
- 14 A. The venture capital firm I cofounded in 2009. I
- 15 | co-founded my first non-finance business in 2020. And then
- 16 | last year I cofounded an additional business.
- 17 **Q.** Okay. Did you go to business school?
- 18 **A.** I did.
- 19 Q. Where did you go to business school?
- 20 A. I went to Stanford.
- 21 **Q.** What year did you graduate?
- 22 **A.** 2004.
- 23 Q. You familiar with something called AML Bitcoin?
- 24 **A.** Yes, I am.
- 25 \ Q. How did you first become familiar with AML Bitcoin?

- 1 A. Back in 2017 I happened to have a flight from California
- 2 to Florida, and I was sitting next to the chief strategy
- 3 officer of the company, an individual by the name of Japheth
- 4 Dillman.
- 5 Q. And did you learn anything about AML Bitcoin on that
- 6 | flight?
- 7 A. Yes, I did. He was quite excited about the project and
- 8 told me a bunch about what they were working on in the ICO
- 9 process, and so --
- 10 Q. Let me stop you right there.
- 11 What is an ICO?
- 12 A. ICO is similar to an initial public offering, but the
- 13 proceeds are being raised for a cryptocurrency as opposed to a
- 14 business.
- 15 Q. What's your understanding of what cryptocurrency is?
- 16 A. Cryptocurrency is a digital form of currency that uses
- 17 | cryptography to transact.
- 18 **Q.** And what's cryptography?
- 19 A. Cryptography is a mechanism to secure transactions. So
- 20 when you move money from one source to another, that's in
- 21 essence a transaction. It's a mechanism to ensure that that
- 22 transaction is done correctly, and it's registered typically in
- 23 a blockchain, which is, for simple purposes, like a ledger.
- 24 Q. Based on your knowledge, is a blockchain software,
- 25 | computer software?

A. Is it software?

Q. Yeah.

1

2

- A. Yes, it is.
- 4 Q. On that flight where you were speaking to Mr. Dillman did
- 5 Mr. Dillman tell you that he had a title with AML Bitcoin?
- A. Yes, he did. He referred to himself as the chief strategy
- 7 officer of AML Bitcoin.
- 8 Q. Did you -- on that flight did you learn anything else
- 9 attractive about AML Bitcoin?
- 10 A. I did. The story that was described to me was something
- 11 | that I thought was quite interesting.
- Back in 2017-'18 crypto was still very immature, a very
- 13 young industry, and what we saw initially with Bitcoin, which
- 14 at that time was the largest of the block-chains, was use cases
- 15 | that were oftentimes elicit in nature. So people using --
- 16 getting, buying Bitcoin, and then using it to transact for
- 17 | drugs, buying weapons in the dark web. And to me that was
- 18 | something that was gonna limit its ability to become
- 19 mainstream.
- 20 What Mr. Dillman described to me was a concept of having a
- 21 | cryptocurrency that required anti-money laundering and "know
- 22 | your customer" capabilities similar to when you go to a bank
- 23 and you sign up for an account and they do a check to make sure
- 24 you are someone that's allowed to use the FIAT, the traditional
- 25 | finance banking rails. That seemed very interesting.

## DIRECT / HIGHSMITH

He also described the ability to bring biometrics to the 1 blockchain to be able to verify that the person who purports to 2 be making the transaction is, in fact, the person making the 3 transaction.

- 5 And let me just stop you there, because you used a word Q. "biometrics". To your knowledge, what are biometrics? 6
- Biometrics are everything from eye readers, fingerprints. 7 It's any sort of security measure that utilizes things that 8
- really can't be hacked. It's your biometrics. It's your 9 fingerprint. It's your eye. 10
- 11 So is your biometrics if you take out your cellphone and you put your face to it? 12
- That would be biometrics. 13 Α.

So --

- And I think you just testified that Mr. Dillman was saying 14
- AML Bitcoin would put biometrics on the blockchain. Do I have 15
- 16 that right?

- That is correct. 17 Α.
- At that time when you're having that conversation, and, 18
- you know, within the following months, what was your 19
- understanding of whether AML Bitcoin actually had those 20
- biometrics built into the blockchain? 21
- It was my understanding that it was being developed, and 22
- 23 they had a patent around the IP to do so, which seemed
- compelling to me. But as I was told is the mechanism was being 24
- built. 25

- 1 MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we please show the witness, the 2 parties and the Court exhibit 727.
- 3 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 4 Q. Is this an e-mail between you and Mr. Dillman?
- 5 A. Yes, it is.
- 6 Q. All right. And this is your e-mail account?
- 7 **A.** That is correct.
- 8 Q. All right. Fair and accurate depiction of your e-mail 9 exchange with him?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit 727.
- 12 MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Exhibit 727 will be admitted.
- 14 (Trial Exhibit 727 received in evidence.)
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we publish this to the jury,
- 16 please? And then could we also just blow up actually the whole
- 17 | kind of body of the e-mail there.
- 18 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 19 Q. So did you follow up with Mr. Dillman after the flight?
- 20 **A.** I did.
- 21 **Q.** And fair to say you were excited about this opportunity?
- 22 **A.** I was.
- 23 Q. Fair to say the fact that you had spoken directly with the
- 24 chief strategy officer of the company was significant to you?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

Q. Could you explain, please, why talking directly to the -first of all, help me understand how -- what a chief strategy
officer does and sort of how high up they are in a company?

A. Yes. You know, I've been on probably 20 different boards of businesses, and so I've seen the chief strategy role embody a lot of different things. But what I would say is it's a very senior position, typically someone that is going to be at the table making critical decisions with the other key executives, like the chief marketing officer, chief sales officer, chief financial officer and obviously the CEO.

In most businesses these are people that are being asked to help define what the longterm strategy is of the business, and that is something that will obviously impact all facets of the business, business development, finance and the like. So it's an incredibly senior position. It's also an incredibly important position.

- Q. All right. So I think you just said that the chief strategy officer has access and communicates with the CEO. Is that fair?
- A. Yes.

Q. All right. So in this e-mail in front of you, you say:

Just a friendly reminder to introduce me to your lawyer to

establish an account. I hope you and the family are having a

great holiday. And then on Christmas Eve further down in the

e-mail you write: I want to buy \$250,000 of AML. Please let

1 | me know how to proceed.

So could you please characterize for the Court your feelings about AML Bitcoin at the end of December in 2017?

- A. I was quite excited about the opportunity. This e-mail was sent, I don't remember exactly how many days, but some period of time after our flight. I read the white paper on the project and did some additional work. And so when I sent this note, I was quite excited based on what I had read, as well as based on the conversation with Japheth.
- 10 Q. Let's pause there.
  11 You said you did some additional research?
- 12 **A.** Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 13 Q. Did you go to AML Bitcoin's website?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 **Q.** Describe briefly the additional research you did other 16 than talking to Mr. Dillman.
- A. At that time the website had a white paper and information about their initial coin offering. There was also additional information about the executive team. Japheth was on that part of the website listed as the chief strategy officer, but there was information including brief bios on all of the key executives.
  - Q. We'll talk about the website a little more in a second.

    Do you see that there's an attachment to this e-mail?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

23

- 1 MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we please publish, not to the
- 2 jury, but to the witness, the Court and the parties,
- 3 exhibit 728.
- 4 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 5 Q. Is this the attachment to the e-mail that Mr. Dillman sent
- 6 you?

- 7 A. Yes, it is.
  - MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit, Your Honor.
- 9 MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 10 **THE COURT:** Exhibit 728 will be admitted.
- 11 (Trial Exhibit 728 received in evidence.)
- 12 MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you.
- 13 Could we please publish this to the jury, and just zoom in
- 14 starting, "what is cryptocurrency," and all the way to the
- 15 top -- all the way to the bottom, please.
- 16 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 17 Q. All right. So first of all, this document has the AML
- 18 | Bitcoin logo and stamp on it, correct?
- 19 A. It does.
- 20 Q. And let's just go through this. Did you review this
- 21 document in connection with your decision to invest?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. So the last sentence in that first paragraph, what is
- 24 cryptocurrency, the last sentence reads: The
- 25 | government-compliant AML Bitcoin is poised to take over

Bitcoin's value. And then it says Bitcoin was then valued at about \$7800 a token.

- What was your understanding of that representation?
- **A.** Just that there was a very large opportunity for value creation with this project.
- Q. And then going down to the Why AML Bitcoin Is The Best Choice, do you see the last bullet point where it says ties documents to blockchain using biometrics?
- 9 **A.** I do.

- 10 Q. Did that corroborate what Mr. Dillman had told you?
- 11 **A.** It did.
- 12 **Q.** And again, was that a feature that was important to you?
- 13 **A.** It was.
- Q. You said before that your understanding was they were working on tying the biometrics to the blockchain. What was
- 16 | your understanding of how long that would take them?
- 17 A. I don't recollect the amount of time specifically, but it
- 18 didn't sound like it was gonna be, you know, years. It was
- 19 just a matter of weeks or months.
- 20 | Q. Based on your review of the website, your discussion with
- 21 Mr. Dillman, your independent research, did you have an
- 22 impression about whether AML Bitcoin had developers working on
- 23 that specific feature to get the blockchain, the biometrics
- 24 built onto the blockchain?
- 25 **A.** I had no doubt that they had people working on it, given

they were describing it in their offering documentation that that is a feature that they support.

- Q. Please turn to pricing structure. Do you see they represented that 2.5 million coins had already been sold in presale at 60 cents. What did that mean to you?
- A. So this structure that you see there where you're issuing coins at different prices that increase in value over time, that was very common. So that is how most ICOs worked. The presale at 60 cents per coin, two and a half million coins, would imply that the business sold all two and a half million coins at 60 cents and raised, you know, one and a half million dollars or so.
- Q. And then the next bullet point is 13 and a half million coins are in public sale number 1 at a dollar. What did you understand that meant?
  - A. Just what it says. So they would be issuing an additional 13 and a half million coins at a dollar. So to the extent you wanted to purchase in that public sale and the public sales were limited by time. So there would be a date by which you had to make your investment to get the dollar price versus the \$1.25 or the \$1.50. And so the public sale 1 was 13 and a half million units being sold at a dollar each.
  - Q. And so is public sale one the same as the initial coin offering?
  - A. Um, I believe, in my mind I think about this all as part

- 1 of the initial coin offering.
- 2 Q. And that initial coin offering is making these -- this
- 3 product available to the public?
- 4 A. That's correct.
- 5 | Q. All right. So third bullet point is 20 million coins are
- 6 in public sale 2 at \$1.25. Does an initial coin offering often
- 7 have multiple phases?
- 8 A. Yes, this was very common.
- 9 Q. All right. So just break it down. Is the idea that phase
- 10 one is a certain timeframe and there's one price, phase two is
- 11 a subsequent timeframe at an increased price, and then phase
- 12 three would be a third timeframe at yet another increased
- 13 price. Is that right?
- 14 A. That's correct.
- 15 Q. And is that common in ICOs?
- 16 A. It was, yeah.
- 17 **Q.** Why? What's the thinking behind that?
- 18 A. It creates increased demand. You want people to get
- 19 involved and have each of these sell out such that you can
- 20 advertise the fact that, you know, the first one was all
- 21 presold, the second one sold out. It's really trying to play
- 22 into scarcity, certainly scarcity at these lower prices.
- 23 The other thing is, you know, the business obviously needs
- 24 money to develop things, and so there's a sense of urgency to
- 25 raise the capital required, which is why it's typically done at

1 | more attractive valuations earlier.

- Q. Jumping ahead a little bit, did you -- was it later
  represented to you that a large number of coins, many million
  coins, were sold in the initial coin offering?
  - A. Absolutely. I believe in the AML Bitcoin Telegram -MR. STEFAN: Objection; foundation.

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Did you conduct additional research into the state of the initial coin offering?
- A. I did. And over time I tracked it based on public announcements from the company. There was a Telegram AML Bitcoin channel that purported that the business had sold 76 million tokens or coins. That would represent all of the available listed here, which means they would have raised a hundred million dollars.
- Q. Can we please go to the last page?

  All right. So at the bottom of the page, is that the Block Bits Capital logo at the bottom of the page there?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 **Q.** Now going up to the top of the page, do you see the representations about coming legislation?
- 22 **A.** I do.
- Q. Based on your research and the materials that you reviewed, did you understand AML Bitcoin to be representing that they were hoping that Congress, U.S. Congress, would pass

- 1 | legislation sort of favoring AML Bitcoin?
- 2 **A.** I did, and that was something that Japheth had described.
- 3 | Q. And then moving to the second header, current and upcoming
- 4 uses of the coin, do you see where they list Panama banks,
- 5 | Panama Canal, Port of San Francisco, ports of Seattle, Los
- 6 Angeles, Portland and San Diego? Do you see those
- 7 representations?
- 8 **A.** I do.
- 9 Q. Based on your research on the AML Bitcoin website, on
- 10 independent sort of news organizations and research, what was
- 11 your understanding of kind of the business development pipeline
- 12 for AML Bitcoin?
- 13 **A.** That things were going quite well, and that all of these
- 14 various projects were at different stages of completion, and
- 15 there was a number of articles that were out in the press from
- 16 | local and national news that described some of the things that
- 17 | are listed here as being adoptions of the technology.
- 18 Q. And did that press and these representations about
- 19 business development influence your decision to invest?
- 20 A. Absolutely.
- 21 **Q.** Why?
- 22 **A.** You know, at this point it -- it would have been hard to
- 23 compete with Bitcoin directly, and really the best way to try
- 24 to take market share for digital currency away from Bitcoin was
- 25 to address the issues around KYC and AML to ensure that the

right people have access to this digital currency and that the wrong people can't use it for elicit purposes. And no better way to do that than to get adoption from a lot of large organizations like the ones listed here.

- Q. Zooming out and moving to the bottom of the document where it says Block Bits Capital's offering, do you see where it says at the first bullet point Block Bits Capital purchases the coin on investors' behalf and holds the coin in an offline cold storage facility? What did you understand that meant?
- A. So digital currencies can live within either a hot wallet or a --
  - Q. Let's stop. What's a hot wallet?
  - A. I'll explain.

So a hot wallet is just a digital wallet that is connected to the Internet. So if you went to Coinbase and you decided you wanted to buy some Bitcoin, you could sign up for an account. You would download their application, and you could use some U.S. dollars to purchase Bitcoin, buy a fraction of it. If you leave that Bitcoin in that digital wallet, it's always connected to the Internet and it's potentially hackable if someone ever got access to your log-in credentials. That's considered a hot wallet.

A cold wallet is cryptocurrency that's stored on a storage device, so think like a USB drive, that's not connected to the Internet. So, so long as that device is not lost, you can't

- 1 possibly hack it.
- 2 Q. All right. And then the next sentence says: When
- 3 | investor desires to sell, BBC sells using its institutional
- 4 accounts on the exchanges.
- 5 So is the idea that if you bought from Block Bits Capital
- 6 | the AML Bitcoin, if you wanted to sell that, Block Bits Capital
- 7 | would sell it for you?
- 8 A. They would. And what Japheth described to me is that they
- 9 had connections through their crypto hedge fund into all of the
- 10 major marketplaces to trade cryptocurrency, and that they
- 11 | could, via that mechanism, get the best pricing.
- 12 MR. HIGHSMITH: All right. Let's show the witness,
- 13 the Court and the parties exhibit 626, please.
- 14 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 15 Q. Have you seen this before?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. What is it?
- 18 A. It's the AML Bitcoin website.
- 19 Q. All right. So you see in very small font on the upper
- 20 | right-hand corner is the date, and the date is July 14th, 2018,
- 21 at 2:23 p.m. Do you see that?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 \ Q. Were you checking the website regularly between
- 24 December 2017 and throughout 2018?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

- Q. And so you were checking it for any changes and updates; is that right?
  - A. Correct.

3

8

- 4 MR. HIGHSMITH: All right. So I move to admit 626.
- MR. STEFAN: I would object to foundation. The
  screenshot is taken in July of 2018, a full six months prior to
  Mr. Boyer's viewing of the website.
  - MR. HIGHSMITH: Well, he just said he reviewed it regularly.
- 10 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 11 Q. And is this a fair and accurate depiction of the website?
- 12 **A.** It is.
- 13 **THE COURT:** He reviewed it after this date though,
- 14 | didn't he?
- 15 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 16 Q. Did you review this after this date?
- 17 **A.** I did.
- THE COURT: So -- well, can you establish when he
  reviewed this particular -- the website that we have the
  screenshot on the monitor, did he look at this particular
  screenshot? Can you establish some -- because this is
  apparently significantly before he starts looking.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Got it.
- Could you just scroll through? Could we slowly scroll through the entire screenshot? This is all from page 2.

#### DIRECT / HIGHSMITH BOYER -

## BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- Did you see this screenshot in July of 2018? 2 Q.
- I don't remember the exact dates, but I was on that Α. 3
- website quite often, often daily. 4
- 5 Is this a fair and accurate depiction of the website that Q.
- you saw? 6

1

10

11

- 7 A. Yes.
- Let's scroll to the next page. 8
- Is this next page a fair and accurate depiction of the 9 website that you saw?

Yes.

Α.

- And could we look at the next page, please. 12
- 13 And is this, this page also a fair and accurate depiction
- of what you saw? 14
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 Let's scroll to the next page, and let's go all the way
- 17 down to page 9, please. Is page 9 a fair and accurate
- 18 depiction of what you saw?
- Yes. 19 Α.
- Let's scroll to the end. 20
- So you started looking at the website in 21
- approximately December of 2017; is that right? 22
- 23 I don't remember the exact date, but it was after I got
- engaged with Japheth. That's when I learned about it and 24
- 25 started to go on the website, download the white paper, things

- 1 like that.
- 2 Q. Okay. And based on those e-mails, you were e-mailing him,
- 3 Mr. Dillman, in December 2017?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 Q. And you testified earlier that you were continually
- 6 looking at the websites into 2018, through July 2018; is that
- 7 | right?
- 8 A. Absolutely.
- 9 **Q.** And past July 2018?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. And so this is a fair and accurate depiction of what you
- 12 | saw in mid-2018; is that right?
- 13 A. Yes. And the website didn't change a ton for long
- 14 stretches. There were obviously news articles and things like
- 15 | that would change, but, you know, the team, the white paper,
- 16 | none of that changed.
- 17 **Q.** Okay.
- 18 MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit, Your Honor.
- 19 MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 20 **THE COURT:** All right. 626 will be admitted.
- 21 (Trial Exhibit 626 received in evidence.)
- 22 MR. HIGHSMITH: So let's publish page 1 to the jury,
- 23 | please, and let's look at the banner on the top.
- 24 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 25 \ Q. You mentioned that you took a look at the white paper, the

AML Bitcoin white paper; is that right? 1

I did. Α.

- And there's a link to it at the top of the web page; is 3
- that right? 4
- Α. 5 That's correct.
- And then if we go over to the far right-hand side, there 6
- 7 is a link to AML Bitcoin Talk and Telegram. What are those two
- links? 8
- I didn't spend a lot of time on AML Bitcoin Talk, but that 9
- was a social channel for them to communicate with the 10
- 11 community.
- Telegram is a messaging app, and the Telegram allows for 12
- businesses to have their own page, and people can subscribe to 13
- it and effectively communicate with the community. I did spend 14
- 15 time on Telegram, and that was a mechanism of getting
- 16 information from AML Bitcoin.
- Very briefly, what's a white paper? 17 Q.
- A white paper is similar to what a prospectus is for an 18
- initial public offering of a stock. It's a document that 19
- describes the cryptocurrency project and how it works, what 20
- they're building, who the people around it. Typically covers 21
- information about the ICO process. And so it's the one 22
- 23 document which tries to summarize everything about the project.
- Okay. And in that -- do you recall in that white paper, 24
- 25 did the white paper represent that biometrics would be built

- 1 into the blockchain of the product?
- 2 A. It did.
- 3 Q. And did the white paper represent that that work was
- 4 ongoing?
- $5 \mid \mathbf{A}$ . Uh, yes.
- 6 Q. Let's take a look, you mentioned Mr. Dillman and his title
- 7 as CSO. Can we please turn to page 9 of the website?
- 8 So is Mr. Dillman listed as chief strategy officer with
- 9 his photo in the upper right-hand corner?
- 10 **A.** He is.
- 11 Q. And so this is essentially what you looked at shortly
- 12 after meeting him to confirm he was who he said he was?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Okay. We can take that down.
- 15 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 16 | Q. All right. So based on the research that you did, did you
- 17 | make an investment, a significant investment, in January 2018?
- 18 **A.** I did.
- 19 MR. HIGHSMITH: And then could we please put up
- 20 exhibit 869 just to the parties, the Court and the witness.
- 21 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 22 **Q.** Is this basically a LinkedIn sending you Mr. Andrade's
- 23 profile?
- 24 **A.** It is.
- 25 \ Q. So did you learn in your research who the founder and CEO

- 1 of AML Bitcoin was?
- 2 **A.** I did.

9

- 3 Q. Who is that?
- 4 A. Marcus Andrade.
- 5 Q. And did you do some independent research in addition to
- 6 | the website? Did you look on LinkedIn for Mr. Andrade?
- 7 A. I did, and unfortunately I didn't have any contacts in
- 8 common with him at that time other than Japheth Dillman.
  - MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit, Your Honor.
  - MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 11 **THE COURT:** 869 will be admitted.
- 12 (Trial Exhibit 869 received in evidence.)
- 13 MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 14 Can we please publish and zoom in on the top third?
- 15 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 16 Q. How did Mr. Andrade describe himself on LinkedIn?
- 17 A. His header says founder and CEO at AML Bitcoin blockchain
- 18 | expert, AML/KYC, big data specialist, private investor.
- 19 Q. Did that give you an impression about Mr. Andrade's
- 20 | credentials?
- 21 A. What was described to me by Japheth Dillman was that
- 22 Mr. Andrade was very early to crypto, was an expert in it. And
- 23 when I clicked on his LinkedIn I saw the same characterization.
- 24 Q. So this corroborated what you heard from Mr. Dillman?
- 25 **A.** It did.

- Q. When you say early to crypto, could you briefly describe what that means?
- 3 **A.** You know, I think back to 2000', you know, '17, '18.
- 4 That's still pretty early to crypto. But, you know, someone
- 5 that likely got involved with Bitcoin back in, you know, 2013,
- 6 | '14, '15 is probably the oldest and most sort of early group of
- 7 people that started to work with it.
- 8 Q. Did that give you an impression that Mr. Andrade was
- 9 | sophisticated when it came to crypto?
- 10 A. Absolutely.
- 11 Q. Can you describe that a little bit? Why?
- 12 A. Yeah. There weren't a lot of people in 2018 that had been
- working in crypto for a long time. There were a lot of smart
- 14 people that started to do work in crypto at that point, but if
- 15 someone had seen the evolution of Bitcoin from infancy to that
- 16 point, that domain experience would be something that would be
- 17 | very valuable if you were building a crypto business.
- 18 | Q. So you're a venture capitalist. You have experience with
- 19 | startups and entrepreneurs, correct?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. So is this a reasonable founder profile for a
- 22 cryptocurrency?
- 23 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 24 MR. HIGHSMITH: Let's show the witness exhibit 731,
- 25 | please.

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- 2 Q. Is this your e-mail exchange from December 27th, 2017,
- 3 with Mr. Dillman?
  - A. It is.

1

4

- 5 MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit, Your Honor.
- 6 MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 7 THE COURT: 731 will be admitted.
  - (Trial Exhibit 731 received in evidence.)
- 9 MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you.
- 10 Can we please publish the top half?
- 11 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 12 Q. So this says -- you write to Mr. Dillman on December 27th,
- 13 | 2017. This is after your meeting on the flight, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. And after you've reviewed the Block Bits offering
- 16 materials, the AML Bitcoin website and other materials,
- 17 | correct?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 Q. And after you read the white paper?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. At this point fair to say you're excited about AML
- 22 Bitcoin?
- 23 **A.** I was.
- 24 **Q.** Fair to say you're ready to make a substantial investment?
- 25 **A.** I was.

- Q. So please describe to the jury this -- what you're communicating here in this e-mail.
- A. It was an e-mail from myself to Japheth on December 27th,
  and I was confirming my interest in moving forward with an
  investment, and I was going to be making two separate
  transactions out of two separate entities for my investment.

I also told him I would speak with my partners and
parents. It's worth noting this was a personal investment.

This was not an investment for my venture capital firm, which
does not have an ability to make an investment in cryptography,
the crypto businesses. And so I let him know that I would
check to see if anyone else was interested.

- Q. Fair to say that in the next few weeks you became increasingly excited and you decided to buy even more of the AML Bitcoin?
- A. That's correct.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Let's please show the witness exhibit 733.
- 19 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 20 **Q.** Did you end up buying a small number of AML Bitcoin tokens directly from the website?
- 22 **A.** I did.

13

14

15

- 23 **Q.** Why did you do that?
- 24 A. I wanted to see how the process worked, candidly. And so
  25 I had made purchases through Block Bits, Japheth. I made later

- on purchases through a small exchange. And then this was -- I
- 3 Q. Let's stick with the timeline here.

also made this purchase.

4 **A.** Okay.

- 5 Q. So this is January 3rd, 2018, correct?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 Q. Approximately the time you bought from directly the
- 8 | website?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit, Your Honor.
- 11 MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 12 **THE COURT:** Exhibit 733 will be admitted.
- 13 (Trial Exhibit 733 received in evidence.)
- 14 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 15 Q. So is this the e-mail confirming that you downloaded the
- 16 | wallet and that you made your purchase of tokens from the
- 17 | website?
- 18 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 19 **Q.** Okay. How did that work for you?
- 20 A. It worked fine.
- 21 Q. Did you need to provide any identifying information, like
- 22 a driver's license or a passport or anything?
- 23 A. I don't believe so.
- 24 Q. Okay. So you downloaded the wallet, and at that point you
- 25 held a few AML Bitcoin tokens?

- A. I believe I bought a thousand.
- 2 **Q.** Were you buying tokens or coins?
- 3 **A.** I believe at that point it was tokens.
- Q. Please describe the difference between the AML Bitcoin
- token and the AML Bitcoin coin as you understood it back then.
- 6 A. Yeah. As I understood it, the token was the mechanism
- 7 | they were using to raise money. So they were issuing tokens
- 8 | which could be converted one for one into coins, the actual
- 9 digital currency, once the blockchain was live.
- 10 Q. Okay. And when you say "once the blockchain was live,"
- 11 was it your impression that the coin would have the biometrics
- 12 | built into its blockchain when it went live?
- 13 **A.** Yes.

- 14 Q. All right. Let's take a look. Let's talk about your
- 15 | actual investment.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Can we please show the witness
- 17 exhibit 734, limited to the Court and the witness for now,
- 18 please.
- 19 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 20 **Q.** Is this an e-mail between you and Mr. Dillman?
- 21 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 22 **Q.** And is it dated January 8th, 2018?
- 23 **A.** It is.
- 24 **Q.** Fair and accurate depiction of your e-mail exchange?
- 25 **A.** It is.

```
1
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
                              Move to admit.
              MR. STEFAN: No objection.
 2
                         Exhibit 734 will be admitted.
              THE COURT:
 3
          (Trial Exhibit 734 received in evidence.)
 4
 5
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
                              Thank you.
          Can we please publish this to the jury.
 6
     BY MR. HIGHSMITH
 7
          All right. So fair to say at this point you want to go
 8
     ahead and make your significant purchase?
 9
          Yes.
10
     Α.
          All right. And so I think if we turn to the middle of the
11
     page, you write an e-mail saying: Hi, Japheth, welcome home.
12
13
     And then you say: Let me know what I need to do to set up an
     account. I'd love to fund this week so I don't miss Phase 2
14
15
     pricing.
          What did you mean I'd love to fund this week so I don't
16
     miss Phase 2 pricing?
17
18
          As we discussed before, the ICO is being staged at
     different prices based on different time. And so what I was
19
20
     referencing was being able to be a part of the second phase of
     the ICO at a lower price than you would see in Phase 3 or 4.
21
22
          All right. Do you see Mr. Dillman's response?
     Q.
23
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Can we zoom out on Mr. Dillman's
     response, please?
24
25
     BY MR. HIGHSMITH
```

#### DOIDE DIRECT / HIGHDHIII

- 1 Q. So does he then respond to you talking about an SPV?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 **Q.** Okay. What's an SPV?
- 4 A. Special purpose vehicle. It's a legal entity in this case
- 5 | that was being created to acquire the AML Bitcoin tokens.
- 6 | Q. All right. And so was your understanding you were gonna
- 7 | wire money to Block Bits and then they were going to purchase
- 8 AML Bitcoin tokens for you?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And hold it for you?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And then you say in the second paragraph, or, I'm sorry,
- 13 Mr. Dillman says that you want to purchase between 750,000 and
- 14 | a million dollars worth of Bitcoin. Is that approximately
- 15 | accurate on the amount of money you were going to spend?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. In the last paragraph Mr. Dillman says: Oh, and Ben, fun
- 18 | side note. The company is purchasing a Super Bowl spot for
- 19 marketing. This is after the coin hits the exchanges.
- 20 What did you understand Mr. Dillman meant by that?
- 21 A. Just what he said, that AML Bitcoin was going to be
- 22 | purchasing a Super Bowl ad to get word out about the coin. I
- 23 thought that was an incredible, you know, thing. The reality
- 24 is that every year, the Super Bowl is either the most watched
- 25 or among the most watched events globally, and so no better

- opportunity to get word out about the coin than to do a Super Bowl ad.
- Q. What's your impression about how much a Super Bowl ad costs?
- 5 A. For this recent Super Bowl, I read it was \$8 million.
- 6 MR. STEFAN: Objection; foundation.
- 7 **THE COURT:** Sustained.

### 8 BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- 9 Q. Is your impression that a Super Bowl ad is very expensive?
- 10 **A.** It is.
- 11 Q. And based on that, did you have an impression about the
- 12 | financial condition of AML Bitcoin based on the fact that they
- 13 | were gonna buy a Super Bowl ad?
- 14 A. Yeah. My belief was that the ICO process had gone
- 15 extremely well, and that they were, you know, selling out or
- 16 | close to selling out, and raising, which, again, as mentioned,
- 17 | a hundred million dollars in the offering.
- 18 Q. So is the financial strength of AML Bitcoin significant to
- 19 | your decision to invest?
- 20 A. Absolutely.
- 21 Q. And is the representation that they were going to --
- 22 thinking about buying a Super Bowl ad significant to your
- 23 decision to invest?
- 24 A. Yes, it was.
- 25 **Q.** Why?

- A. I -- for a consumer business, which in essence that's what this is, to be able to articulate what they do and how it works and why you should own it and the most watched event of the
- 4 year, it felt like an amazing opportunity to grow adoption.
  - Q. If AML Bitcoin was misrepresenting its intention to buy a Super Bowl ad to you and the public, would that have influenced your decision to invest?
  - **A.** Absolutely.
- 9 **Q.** Why?

5

6

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- 10 A. If they're misrepresenting, they're fraudulently saying
  11 they're going to do it but they're not intending to do it, I
  12 would not want to own any AML Bitcoin or any currency for a
  13 company that makes a decision like that.
  - Aside from that, yeah, it would mean -- it means that they're making decisions I don't agree with because that is wrong. But in addition to that they would not have the ability to articulate their value proposition to a wide audience like is suggested.
  - Q. So this is dated January 8th. Do you subsequently after this fund your purchase through a wire?
  - A. It was after this.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Okay. Your Honor, exhibit 1224 was
  subject to your motion in limine concerning bank records and
  the admission of bank records with the 902.11 certificate. So
  I'd move to admit exhibit 1224.

1 MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, could we display this

THE COURT: Can you display it?

MR. STEFAN: To the defense.

MR. HIGHSMITH: Yeah, absolutely.

Can you please display page --

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

exhibit?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Well, let's look first at page 1, and it's the Block Bits Capital Bank statement from the Chase Bank. Do you see that,
- 10 Mr. Boyer?
- 11 **A.** I do.
- 12 Q. Okay. And it's got the bank account number on it; is that
- 13 right?
- 14 A. It has an account number and their name and their
- 15 addresses.
- 16 MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we please turn to page 5? We're
- 17 only going to look at page 5. All right. Would you zoom in,
- 18 please, on the deposits and additions portion.
- 19 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 20 Q. Do you see, Mr. Boyer, it's buried in this confusing bank
- 21 statement, but do you see for the first entry your name next to
- 22 a large amount of money?
- 23 **A.** I do.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: All right. So as I said, I'm moving
- 25 to admit this.

1 THE COURT: Okay. MR. STEFAN: No objection. 2 THE COURT: 1224 will be admitted. 3 (Trial Exhibit 1224 received in evidence.) 4 MR. HIGHSMITH: 5 Thank you, Your Honor. BY MR. HIGHSMITH 6 Let's very briefly look at page 1, please, and zoom in on 7 the ... 8 Is this a bank account statement? Does this look like a 9 banking account statement? 10 11 It's a checking account. Α. And is it for Block Bits Capital? 12 13 Α. Yes. Let's move to page 5, please. 14 MR. HIGHSMITH: And could we zoom in on deposits and 15 16 additions to -- we can stop after 1/29. 17 BY MR. HIGHSMITH All right. So this is a part of the bank statements that 18 it's hard to read because it's so small, but I'd like you to 19 focus, please, on the deposit for January 12th, 2018. Do you 20 see that, sir? 21 I do. Α. 22 23 Okay. Do you see that there is a Fedwire credit via

Silicon Valley bank ending in the numbers 0399, and then in the

third line down it references AML Holdings, and then in the

24

- 1 | fourth line Benjamin Boyer.
- 2 Do you see that?
- 3 **A.** I do.
- 4 Q. Is that a depiction of your -- did you wire from your
- 5 | Silicon Valley bank \$730,000 on January 12th to a Block Bits
- 6 Capital account?
- 7 **A.** I did.
- 8 Q. You testified earlier that you were excited and made
- 9 multiple purchases in January of AML Bitcoin; is that correct?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Could you please look at the second line on January 12th.
- 12 Is that also a Fedwire credit from your Silicon Valley bank
- 13 | account to Block Bits?
- 14 **A.** It is.
- 15 **Q.** And is that for an additional \$120,000?
- 16 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 17 | Q. I'd now like you to look at the entry for January 23rd,
- 18 | 2018. Do you see that?
- 19 **A.** I do.
- 20 Q. Is that yet another wire from your Silicon Valley bank
- 21 account to the Block Bits Capital bank account for \$150,000?
- 22 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 23 | Q. And then finally, could you please look at the entry for
- 24 | January 29th at the bottom. Is that yet another wire, another
- 25 | Fedwire from your Silicon Valley bank account to Block Bits,

- this time for \$37,500?
- 2 A. Yes, it is.
- 3 | Q. All right. So fair to say based on you investing over a
- 4 million dollars at this time, that you were excited about AML
- 5 Bitcoin?

- 6 A. Correct.
- 7 MR. HIGHSMITH: Okay. Let's take this down, please.
- 8 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 9 Q. So what was your understanding of how many phases the AML
- 10 Bitcoin, how many phases was the AML Bitcoin ICO?
- 11 **A.** I believe it was four, but we had it on one of the charts
- 12 | that you showed earlier.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And do you recall how many phases you bought in?
- 14 A. I primarily purchased in the second phase. I don't
- 15 recollect if I purchased anything in the third phase.
- 16 Q. All right. Let's take a look, just the witness and the
- 17 parties and the Court, at exhibit 740.
- 18 All right. Is this your e-mail exchange with Mr. Dillman?
- 19 **A.** It is.
- 20 Q. And is this dated March 27th, 2018?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** Is that shortly after the ICO ended?
- 23 **A.** It is.
- 24 Q. And was that an important time for you in your
- 25 | relationship with your investment?

```
BOYER - DIRECT / HIGHSMITH
```

- 1 A. It was.
- 2 **Q.** Why?
- 3 **A.** Well, I was told that the project had sold out, and then I
- 4 | found out from Japheth that they were actually 20 percent
- 5 short.
- 6 Q. Well, let's now -- let's now look down, further down this
- 7 message. And could we please go to the second page.
- 8 All right. So do you see there is an e-mail here from AML
- 9 Bitcoin to you?
- 10 **A.** I do.
- 11 **Q.** And it's dated March 26, 2018?
- 12 **A.** I see it.
- 13 MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, objection. He's testifying
- 14 to the exhibit. It hasn't been entered, and this is hearsay.
- 15 **THE COURT:** 740 has not yet been admitted, so ...
- MR. HIGHSMITH: We'll move to admit it.
- 17 **THE COURT:** On that issue.
- 18 MR. STEFAN: Objection to hearsay with respect to the
- 19 e-mail sent by Mr. Boyer on March 27th, 2018.
- 20 MR. HIGHSMITH: Well, it's --
- Okay. First of all, let's talk about the first e-mail.
- 22 March 26th, 2018, is an opposing party statement by AML
- 23 | Bitcoin. Mr. Boyer is then reacting to it by sending,
- 24 | forwarding an e-mail to Mr. Dillman. Mr. Dillman then makes a
- 25 | coconspirator statement where he discusses exactly the subject

```
DIRECT / HIGHSMITH
```

1 of the opposing party statement. And Mr. Boyer then communicates his present sense impression of a very important 2 event that was happening, very important to his investment, at 3 that exact moment. And it also completes the e-mail chain to 4 5 understand the full context of it.

> THE COURT: Go ahead.

MR. STEFAN: That e-mail at the top of the chain does not represent a present sense impression, and --

THE COURT: The present sense impression part is the one that I'm having some pause about.

MR. HIGHSMITH: Well, it also explains his actions at that point. It explains what Mr. Boyer does. It explains his reaction to the opposing party statement and his reaction to Mr. Dillman.

> THE COURT: All right. I'll admit 740.

(Trial Exhibit 740 received in evidence.)

MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we please publish 740, and let's start on page 2, please.

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- So let's start with AML Bitcoin's e-mail. 20
- So on March 26, 2018, did you receive an e-mail from AML 21 Bitcoin? 22
- 23 I did. Α.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

All right. And so if we zoom in on the second paragraph 24 25 of that AML Bitcoin e-mail at the very bottom.

So does that AML Bitcoin e-mail say: During the ICO, 60 million tokens were issued?

A. It does.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Q. The remaining available tokens will be used for mergers, et cetera. Our team will announce a digital currency exchange -- I'm sorry, I haven't highlighted that.

Let's pause on this. What was your understanding of that statement, 60 million tokens were issued?

A. According to their offering documents, they were making available for purchase in the ICO process 76 million tokens.

That would have raised a hundred million dollars based on the pricing that was listed in the offering documents.

This note came out after the ICO process ended, and they indicated that rather than selling 76 million, they only sold 60 million.

- Q. 60 million, if they had sold 60 million, that still would have been raising a significant amount of money, correct?
- 18 A. It's impossible to say exactly how much unless you know
  19 what they sold of each round, but they were probably raising 50
  20 to \$70 million.
- 21 Q. That was your understanding based on this e-mail, correct?
- 22 A. Hundred percent.
- 23 Q. And your understanding was they were representing millions of dollars in income, tens of millions.
- 25 A. Tens of millions of dollars.

- Q. Okay. The second sentence says: The remaining tokens
  will be used for mergers, et cetera. What did you understand
- A. I didn't understand exactly what that meant, but

  oftentimes you will see with companies you issue stock in order

  to acquire a different business. Theoretically, you could

  issue tokens to do the same thing, and that was what I assumed

  it meant. But again, I don't know definitively.
  - Q. Did you have a negative reaction to that sentence?
  - A. My negative reaction was they intended to raise -- to sell 76 million tokens, and they were only able to sell 60. I was hoping that they would destroy the remainder and just have less tokens available.
- 14 **Q.** Why?

that meant?

3

9

10

11

12

13

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 15 **A.** It -- the less the number there are out there, the higher
  16 the price theoretically should be. There's -- you know, the
  17 value of any cryptocurrency is the aggregate value divided by
  18 the number of the cryptocurrency that exists.
  - So for Bitcoin there's 21 million Bitcoin. For this project it was suggested there would be no more than 200 million. And so my hope was because they couldn't raise it, they would just remove that number from the aggregate.
  - Q. Let's look at the last sentence at the very bottom of the page. The last sentence says: Our team will announce a digital currency exchange list date soon. We will send you an

1 e-mail with the details once released.

What was your understanding of that statement?

- A. Just what it says, that given the token process, the initial coin process had been completed. The next step after they've raised the capital is to actually list the digital currency on an exchange where people could buy and sell freely.
- **Q.** Why is that important?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. That's the way that you achieve liquidity with an existing investment in cryptocurrency or in an ICO process is when it is listed, it becomes exchanged, the token that you purchased in the ICO can be exchanged one for one for the digital currency, which will trade on an exchange where you could either buy more or sell. It's important from a liquidity perspective to achieve liquidity on your investment, and it's also important
- 16 **Q.** So let's pause on those two terms.

  17 Is liquidity getting your money?

from a capital appreciation perspective.

- 18 A. Yeah. It's being able to sell what you invested in and 19 get dollars back.
- 20 **Q.** And you said capital appreciation, is that getting a 21 return on your investment?
- 22 **A.** It is.
- Q. All right. So fair to say an exchange is important so you can actually get some money back?
- 25 **A.** If there was never an ability to put this on an exchange,

there would be very limited value in it.

2 MR. HIGHSMITH: Let's zoom out and look at Mr. Boyer's 3 comments to Mr. Dillman starting "it's disappointing".

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- Q. So the same day that you get the e-mail from AML Bitcoin,
- 6 | it looks like 11 minutes later you send an e-mail to
- 7 Mr. Dillman saying, it's disappointing that they're not
- 8 destroying the 15 million coins they couldn't sell in the ICO.
- 9 Is this that essentially we just talked about?
- 10 **A.** Yes.

1

4

- 11 Q. And you were hoping they'd destroy those coins so there
- would be fewer coins, and therefore they'd be more valuable?
- 13 A. Yes. So rather than there be 200 million maximum issued
- 14 | coins, there would be 185 million.
- 15 Q. Okay. Let's go to page 1, please. Could we please -- the
- 16 | document's difficult, but let's focus on the bottom third where
- 17 | it says "from Japheth Dillman," and it's the same day,
- 18 | March 26th, just a half an hour later.
- 19 So approximately half an hour later that same day, did you
- 20 | get an e-mail from Mr. Dillman?
- 21 A. I did.
- 22 Q. In his e-mail he says: We should talk about that comment.
- 23 | Was your understanding he was responding to your e-mail?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 \ Q. And then he says: But as an aside, since HitBTC is not

acting accordingly to the release contract, Marcus has just

paid the fee to list to get the tokens on six more exchanges

this week. It has been completely out of the hands of Marcus

What did you understand at that time he meant there?

- A. Just that they were having some sort of issue with HitBTC in terms of listing the cryptocurrency, the AML Bitcoin, and Marcus was responding to that challenge by paying to list the token on additional exchanges.
- 10 Q. Okay. And did you understand whether Marcus was having
  11 success or difficulties getting his token listed?
- A. With HitBTC specifically it sounds like he was having
  challenges given the comment: HitBTC is not acting accordingly
  to the release contract.
- 15 Q. Are you familiar with HitBTC?
- 16 A. Vaguely.
- 17 **Q.** It's a cryptocurrency?
- 18 A. It's an exchange.

and AML Bitcoin.

4

5

6

7

8

- 19 **Q.** All right. Let's scroll up just to your response. You
  20 respond about 40 minutes later. And let's zoom in on that real
  21 quick.
- So you say: All right, got it, would love to follow up.

  So this is something important to you that you wanted to

  discuss with Mr. Dillman?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

The

Let's scroll up to Mr. Boyer's next 1 MR. HIGHSMITH: e-mail and please zoom in on his next e-mail. 2 BY MR. HIGHSMITH 3 The next day, March 27th, very early in the morning it 4 looks like, you follow up yet again: 5 By the way, I hope I'm wrong, but the fact Marcus told you 6 they sold out the ICO when they ended up being 20 percent short 7 makes me question everything Marcus is telling you, including 8 these issues with HitBTC. Again, I hope I'm wrong, but I've 9 got real doubts about his integrity and the stories he's 10 11 feeding you. What did you mean by that e-mail? 12 I had been told multiple times that the ICO had sold out, 13 that all 76 million tokens were sold, and based on the pricing, 14 15 that implied the business raised a hundred million dollars. 16 This was the very first --MR. STEFAN: Objection; foundation. By whom and to 17 whom with respect to this impression, where it came from. 18 THE COURT: Overruled. 19 You may continue. 20 THE WITNESS: And this was the very first time that I 21 heard anything to the contrary, and it was an official e-mail 22

from AML Bitcoin explaining the fact that they had sold

MR. STEFAN: Objection, misstates the evidence.

60 million instead of 76.

23

24

exhibit did not state that.

THE COURT: The jury has the exhibit and the witness'
testimony. They're the ones that will make the determination.

4 Overruled.

1

5

# BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- 6 Q. You also --
- 7 A. I was just going to finish.
- 8 Q. Please.
- 9 A. And so my note to Japheth was, I have concerns given I'm
  10 being told one thing and I'm also being told something quite
- 11 different.
- 12 Q. And then you also make a reference to including these
- 13 issues with HitBTC. And then you say, again, I hope I'm wrong,
- 14 | but I have real doubts about his integrity. What were the
- 15 issues with HitBTC you were referencing?
- 16 A. Just that they were having trouble getting it listed.
- 17 | Q. Why did that cause you to question Mr. Andrade's
- 18 integrity?
- 19 A. It didn't make sense to me that a project like this that
- 20 | had raised that level of capital would have any issues listing
- 21 on a small exchange like HitBTC.
- 22 MR. HIGHSMITH: We can take that down.

#### 23 BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- 24 Q. Okay. I think we looked at page 1 of the website earlier.
- 25 Do you remember there was a link to a Telegram channel?

- 1 **A.** I do.
- 2 Q. Okay. Were you reviewing that Telegram channel fairly
- 3 regularly?
- 4 **A.** I did.
- 5 Q. And were you reviewing it during the first half of 2018?
- 6 **A.** Sure.
- 7 **Q.** And were you reviewing it for information about the ICO?
- 8 **A.** I was.
- 9 Q. And did you learn -- so after this March e-mail, did you
- 10 learn later through the Telegram channel more information about
- 11 | the ICO and the number of tokens sold?
- 12 A. Yes. On the Telegram channel there was an official
- 13 Telegram release from AML Bitcoin that they had sold 76 million
- 14 tokens, the entirety of them.
- 15 Q. What impression did that give you?
- 16 A. I was confused. I assumed that they had figured out a way
- 17 to do, to basically sell the remainder outside of the ICO
- 18 process. But it was encouraging for me to hear that they had
- 19 | figured out a way to raise additional capital.
- 20 \ Q. Was the Telegram channel an official AML channel link to
- 21 the website?
- 22 | A. It had their logo and all of the announcements were from
- 23 | the voice of AML Bitcoin.
- 24 Q. Despite this confusion did you make another investment
- 25 later in the fall of 2018?

A. I did.

1

- **Q.** Why did you do that?
- 3 A. The situation was brought to me that there was an existing
- 4 person that was close to AML Bitcoin, an early investor is what
- 5 I believe it was sort of purported to be, was having liquidity
- 6 challenges, and there would be an opportunity to purchase AML
- 7 Bitcoin at an extremely large discount relative to the ICO.
- 8 Q. And did you have an investment strategy that sort of
- 9 attracted you to this opportunity?
- 10 A. Yes. There's a concept of dollar cost averaging. When
- 11 you make investments in cryptocurrency or stocks, anything for
- 12 that matter, that when you believe in something and you have an
- opportunity to buy more at a lower price, you can drive down
- 14 your average cost of capital.
- 15 Q. And so basically kind of in plain language, are you trying
- 16 to protect your initial investment by buying more at a cheaper
- 17 price?
- 18 A. I don't know that I'm protecting as much. It certainly
- 19 | would allow me to recoup my investment at a lower valuation.
- 20 | So to the extent the coin doesn't trade as well and I have a
- 21 | bunch of purchases at a much lower price, it will blend down my
- 22 average and so I can get my money back at a lower amount. At
- 23 the same time, if things go well, buying at distressed levels
- 24 enables you to have high returns.
- 25 \ Q. And who did you get this opportunity from?

- 1 A. I was approached by Japheth and I believe his partner,
- 2 David Mata, at Block Bits, that they had found this pool of
- 3 coins that were available, again, at a very low price.
- 4 MR. HIGHSMITH: Can we please show the witness, the
- 5 | Court and the parties exhibit 602.
- 6 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 7 Q. Do you see this is an e-mail that attaches Ben Boyer AML
- 8 Bitcoin special purchase agreement 2018?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we scroll to the next page,
- 11 please. Sorry. Could we scroll to the third page and the
- 12 fourth page.
- 13 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 14 Q. Is this an agreement between Marcus Andrade and the Ben
- 15 Boyer Family Trust on October 19th, 2018?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 18 **A.** I have.
- 19 Q. What is this document?
- 20 **A.** This was the purchase agreement whereby I purchased
- 21 | 250,000 AML Bitcoin at 20 cents per coin.
- 22 MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we scroll down, please, to the
- 23 | signature page. And then up one more, please, 14.
- 24 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 25 **Q.** And is that your signature?

- 1 A. Um, I think that's ...
  - Q. Under Benjamin Boyer?
  - A. Yes, it's hard to see.
  - MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit 602, Your Honor.
- 5 MR. STEFAN: No objections.
- 6 THE COURT: Exhibit 602 will be admitted.
- 7 (Trial Exhibit 602 received in evidence.)
- 8 MR. HIGHSMITH: Can we publish that last page? Sorry
- 9 page 14, again, with Mr. Boyer's signature. Thank you.
- 10 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 11 Q. So this is your -- the document that executes you buying
- 12 | additional tokens from Mr. Andrade?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 **Q.** Okay.

2

3

- 15 A. Although I didn't know it was from Mr. Andrade.
- 16 **Q.** Okay. What was your understanding?
- 17 | A. It was not a named person, just someone that had been
- 18 | involved with the project early. The fact that NAC Foundation
- 19 and Mr. Andrade had acted as the cosigner in my mind just meant
- 20 | it was being facilitated through them. And so I was not aware
- 21 that it was in fact Mr. Andrade.
- 22 \ Q. Was it concerning to you that it was Mr. Andrade?
- 23 **A.** When I found out, it was extremely concerning. It was an
- 24 early-stage project.
- 25 Q. Let me just stop you right there. Approximately how long

- after you bought the coins did you learn that you were buying
- 2 from Mr. Andrade?
- 3 A. It was -- I don't remember the exact date. It was
- 4 sometime after that, but I don't remember exactly when.
- 5 Q. So not an exact date, but was it a number of weeks?
- 6 Months?

- **A.** What was the date of this?
- 8 MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we please scroll to page 3 or 4.
- 9 Down, please. If we zoom in on the very top of the purchase
- 10 agreement where it lists October 19th, 2018.
- 11 THE WITNESS: It was a few weeks later that I learned
- 12 | that it was Mr. Andrade.
- 13 **BY MR. HIGHSMITH**
- 14 Q. Why was it important to you that you were buying from
- 15 Mr. Andrade rather than another early investor?
- 16 A. The person closest to this project is Mr. Andrade, as the
- 17 | founder and CEO, and if he is willing to sell tokens at 20
- 18 | cents each, he does not believe in the project. I would never
- 19 buy a stock in a private company from a founder that's looking
- 20 to exit, and I certainly wouldn't be buying coins from someone
- 21 in that same position. It's a very negative signal to have the
- 22 people closest to the project want to be selling so early in
- 23 the project.
- 24 MR. HIGHSMITH: We can take this down.
- 25 BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- Q. We discussed earlier Mr. Dillman being listed on the AML
- 2 Bitcoin website as the chief strategy officer, correct?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. What happened with Mr. Dillman being listed as the chief
- 5 strategy officer?
- 6 A. What do you mean by that?
- 7 | Q. Did you learn that there was a development that he was not
- 8 | the chief strategy officer?
- 9 A. At a later date he had told me that it was a title alone,
- 10 and he wasn't actually the chief strategy officer. That he was
- 11 | sort of a loose adviser.
- 12 Q. If you had known that at the time you invested, would that
- 13 have influenced your decision to invest?
- 14 | A. I would never have made this investment if I did not
- 15 believe Japheth Dillman was the chief strategy officer.
- 16 Q. If you had known that your investment was transferred to
- 17 Mr. Andrade's bank accounts and that portions of it were used
- 18 to buy him a house for nearly a million dollars, would that
- 19 have influenced your decision to invest?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 **Q.** How so?
- 22 A. I would not have made this investment.
- 23 **Q.** Why?
- 24 A. Those dollars should be going to build the blockchain and
- 25 tying the biometrics to it. They should not be going to

1 provide an early employer, a founder, with liquidity. The

- 2 business was too immature for someone like myself to support
- 3 | that type of use of proceeds. All of the dollars needed to go
- 4 | into the project, and that is a pretty hard and fast rule when
- 5 | it comes to investing in early-stage technology projects.
- 6 Q. Were you monitoring a Discord channel that discussed AML
- 7 | Bitcoin?
- 8 A. Vaquely.
- 9 **Q.** Sitting here today, do you recall any of the impressions
- 10 | you got from viewing that Discord channel?
- 11 **A.** So Telegram was the primary channel for the company to
- 12 share information with the community. Again, that's where I
- 13 was told that the project had sold 76 million coins, or the
- 14 full ICO.
- Over time, because of the delays, because a lot of these
- 16 BD projects that were discussed in the white paper never came
- 17 | to fruition.
- 18 Q. Sorry. You used the word -- the acronym BD. Is that
- 19 business development?
- 20 A. Business development. These were the ports, the things
- 21 that were listed as being organizations that were in the
- 22 process of adopting AML Bitcoin.
- 23 Q. So let me just pause there.
- So you, when you bought, made your initial investment, you
- 25 reviewed the website, and at that point did you learn about

promising business opportunities with specific ports? 1

- Yes. Α.
- And did that influence your decision to invest? 3
- Yes. 4 Α.

2

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 5 I interrupted you. Why don't you go back to --Okay. Q.
- To Discord. 6 Α.
  - So within the Telegram channel there began to be --
    - MR. STEFAN: Objection, not responsive.

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- Could you please describe the impression you received 10 Q. after reviewing the Discord channel? 11
  - Right. I was just getting there.
    - So the Discord channel was introduced to people on That was the first time I even knew there was a Telegram. Discord channel for people to talk about AML Bitcoin.
    - So Discord is another social platform. I don't believe I had ever used it until I was told on Telegram that there was a group of individuals that were discussing the project. became a place where a lot of people that had concerns based on the fact that nothing that was being described to us appeared to actually be happening as it was described to us, but it was a place for people to have that type of conversation.
    - And from your review of the Discord channel, what was the impression you got about the AML Bitcoin project?
- 25 Very concerning allegations around what was going on with Α.

#### BOYER - DIRECT / HIGHSMITH

- 1 the project and whether or not we were being sold a bill of
- 2 goods.
- 3 | Q. Did you confront Mr. Dillman about your investment?
- 4 A. I did. It was I believe in November.
- 5 **Q.** And was that after the FBI contacted you?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 | Q. And at that point did you demand from Mr. Dillman your
- 8 money back?
- 9 A. I did not initially demand my money back. I did let him
- 10 know how angry I was and that if this was all to be true, I'd
- 11 be pursuing legal remedies. And it was during that that
- 12 Mr. Dillman suggested that he would buy my position.
- 13 Q. Okay. Does that also mean he would pay you back?
- 14 A. Yes. He not only offered to pay me back, and all I was
- 15 looking for was getting my money back, but he was willing to
- 16 | make sure I received a profit on my investment.
- 17 | Q. Did he ever pay you back?
- 18 **A.** No.
- 19 Q. Did you end up having to sue them?
- 20 **A.** I did.
- 21 Q. After that process, did you -- did you and Mr. Andrade
- 22 engage in an e-mail exchange to try to clarify what had
- 23 happened?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- 25 \ Q. Did Mr. Andrade's responses make any sense to you?

#### BOYER - DIRECT / HIGHSMITH

- 1 A. They did not.
- 2 Q. What was the impression you had after learning
- 3 Mr. Andrade's responses?
- A. The one thing that was very clear from Mr. Andrade's responses was that --
- 6 MR. STEFAN: Objection; foundation.
- 7 THE COURT: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: -- was that he was separating himself from Japheth and David, and he made clear the fact that there was something acrimonious between those two people and himself.

Beyond that I didn't learn a lot. There was a bunch of comments made that never made a lot of sense to me. And again, my interactions with Mr. Andrade were limited to a handful of e-mails and then one phone call.

### 15 BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- 16 Q. Do you recall Mr. Andrade calling you an unknowing puppet
- 17 | for Jack Abramoff?
- 18 **A.** Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

- 19 Q. What was your impression of that e-mail?
- 20 **A.** I had no idea what he was talking about.
- 21 **Q.** Did he call you a disciple for Japheth?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 **Q.** What was your impression of that?
- 24 A. Only that I was working with Japheth, or, you know,
- 25 calling me a disciple. That's not a word I would use to

### BOYER - DIRECT / HIGHSMITH

- 1 describe someone that has an association with someone, but I
- 2 don't know entirely what he was thinking.
- 3 Q. Did you ask Mr. Andrade for clarification about
- 4 Mr. Dillman's role in AML Bitcoin?
- 5 **A.** I did.
- 6 Q. Did he answer your question?
- 7 **A.** No.
- 8 Q. Did you record a telephone call with the FBI where you
- 9 called Mr. Andrade?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 Q. Early in that call did Mr. Andrade say, are you recording
- 12 | this call?
- 13 A. I don't recollect.
- 14 Q. Did he make a reference to whether the call was being
- 15 recorded early on?
- 16 A. I don't recollect.
- 17 Q. Did that call, your conversation with Mr. Andrade, make
- 18 any sense to you?
- 19 A. It was similar to the e-mails. It didn't make a lot of
- 20 sense.
- 21 Q. Did it provide answers to any of the questions you had?
- 22 **A.** No.
- 23 Q. Did Mr. Andrade sue you?
- 24 A. He did. First time I've ever been sued.
- 25 Q. Where did he sue you? What state?

#### DOILK DIRLOI , HIGH

- 1 A. It was Nevada.
- 2 Q. What happened with the suit?
- 3 **A.** The lawsuit was suggesting that --
- 4 Q. I didn't ask -- you can add -- I asked what happened with
- 5 you.
- 6 **A.** Okay.
- 7 Q. So answer the question, and then I'll ask a second
- 8 question so you can explain.
- 9 A. All right.
- 10 Q. Ultimately what happened with the suit?
- 11 **A.** I hired a lawyer and it was thrown out.
- 12 Q. Okay. Now, in very broad terms what was your
- understanding of Mr. Andrade's claim against you?
- 14 A. I'm not a lawyer, so hopefully I understood it the right
- 15 | way, but it was Mr. Andrade fearing that I was going to be
- 16 | bringing litigation against him, and he wanted to preemptively
- 17 assign all liability to myself and Japheth and exempt him from
- 18 any of that.
- 19 Q. And that was dismissed?
- 20 **A.** It was.
- 21 Q. To the best of your knowledge, was the AML Bitcoin project
- 22 | ever completed with the full biometric identification built
- 23 into the blockchain?
- 24 A. Never.
- 25 Q. Did you get a single penny back from your investment?

- 1 A. I did not. I sued Japheth. We had a contract for him to
- 2 | purchase my AML Bitcoin. I won a judgment against him, and he
- 3 | never paid after I tried to collect.
- 4 | Q. Did you get any money back from Mr. Andrade or AML
- 5 Bitcoin?
- 6 A. No, just a lot of legal fees.
- 7 MR. HIGHSMITH: Nothing further. Thank you, sir.
- 8 THE COURT: Mr. Stefan.

# CROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. STEFAN

- 11 Q. Good morning, Mr. Boyer.
- 12 A. Good morning.
- 13 Q. You talked on direct a lot about your interactions with
- 14 | Japheth Dillman, right?
- 15 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. And you met him on this plane. I think it was Christmas
- 17 Eve or maybe the day before?
- 18 A. It was a little before that. It was not Christmas Eve,
- 19 but a little before that.
- 20 **Q.** In 2017?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 \ Q. And you just happened to have seats like sitting next to
- 23 each other?
- 24 A. It was actually my wife, and they started a conversation.
- 25 And then she said, you need to talk to him. So we switched

1 seats.

- 2 Q. And Japheth, he's like a conversational kinda guy?
- 3 **A.** He's very affable. I think he's a very bright person,
- 4 yes.
- 5 **Q.** Pretty easy to get along with?
- 6 A. Yeah, he's friendly.
- 7 | Q. At least until you know him a little bit better, I
- 8 suppose?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And in the course of that conversation, this is where he
- 11 | broaches AML Bitcoin with you?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. And his particular role in the company as he purports it
- 14 to be as the chief strategy officer?
- 15 A. That's right.
- 16 Q. And Japheth is a really effective salesman, is that fair
- 17 to say?
- 18 A. I'd say that he has that gift.
- 19 Q. And he really was pumping up this idea of AML Bitcoin that
- 20 he was selling to you?
- 21 A. Hundred percent.
- 22 Q. So in the course of that conversation he got you excited
- 23 on the plane before you had even arrived to your destination?
- 24 A. It's a long flight, so we had a lot of time to chat. But,
- 25 yes, I was excited by the time we landed.

- Q. And by the time you land, you're interested enough to follow up on it and look into it a little bit more?
- 3 **A.** Yes.

11

- Q. And you make a decision on this pretty quickly, as I recall.
- A. I did. I had a employee that worked for me, and that individual was an investor in Block Bits, which is Japheth's hedge fund company, crypto hedge fund. And I reached out to him, and he was glowing in his description of Japheth.
  - So between the information that Japheth provided me and the information I could read about the ICO, and then this conversation with a former colleague, I got guite excited.
- 13 Q. Yeah. Nate Hennings, right?
- 14 A. That's right.
- Q. So you had this mutual connection, too, with Japheth, who was vouching for him?
- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 **Q.** And after this initial meeting you still keep in touch with Japheth via messages and e-mails and whatnot?
- 20 **A.** Sure.
- 21 Q. And Japheth keeps telling you great things about AML
- 22 Bitcoin?
- 23 A. He does.
- 24 Q. And at the time that you decide to invest, you decide
- 25 to -- or at least the terms that Dillman put it, invest in AML

- 1 | Bitcoin, you decided to do that through Japheth's company?
- 2 **A.** I invested through Japheth's company, which is the primary
- 3 mechanism, and those investments were both in the ICO, and then
- 4 | the tokens that I found that I bought from Marcus. I also
- 5 | bought a thousand, I believe the number was a thousand of the
- 6 token I think in \$1.50 through the AML Bitcoin website. And
- 7 | then when he did list I had bought some on one of the small
- 8 exchanges.
- 9 Q. I appreciate the thoroughness. And understanding that you
- 10 did buy over time in a variety of means, the initial investment
- 11 was made --
- 12 A. Through Japheth.
- 13 **Q.** -- through Japheth?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 MR. STEFAN: And if we could pull up government
- 16 exhibit 727. Oh, pardon me, 728.
- 17 BY MR. STEFAN
- 18 Q. This document you received from Japheth?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 Q. And if we go to page 2 on this document, you see the
- 21 | symbol down there at the bottom right-hand corner of page 2?
- 22 **A.** I do.
- 23 Q. It's the -- you understand that to be the Block Bits
- 24 Capital logo, if you will?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Q. So this was an offering, as far as you knew, from Block
  Bits Capital for a particular service they were gonna provide
  with respect to AML Bitcoin?
- A. Yeah, it's typically called a private placement that they
  were being utilized as a mechanism to raise dollars via the
  ICO.
- 7 Q. So to your knowledge, this was a product made by Block 8 Bits Capital?
- 9 A. The -- yes. The private placement was Block Bits Capital,
  10 with the intention to buy one asset, which was AML Bitcoin.
- 11 **Q.** And the -- Mr. Highsmith went over with you a lot of the 12 representations that appeared on this two-pager, and, again, 13 all of this information came to you via Block Bits?
- 14 **A.** It did, but the white paper itself corroborated much of what's on here, as well.
  - Q. Yeah, I heard you indicate that. I think we'll return to that momentarily with respect to the white paper, but when you received this document, I believe it was what, within a day or two that you made the decision to go ahead and invest?
- 20 **A.** It was a few days later, sure.
  - MR. STEFAN: And we can go ahead and zoom in on the bottom of the document, Block Bits Capital's offering.

## 23 BY MR. STEFAN

16

17

18

19

21

22

24

25

Q. When you made the decisions to go with Block Bits for the purchase, you didn't have to go just through Block Bits, right?

- A. I could have bought it directly through the website. I went with Block Bits.
- Q. At some point you did make at least one purchase through the website?
- 5 A. That's correct.
- Q. But with respect to the decision to go through Block Bits,
  this offering had some sort of incentive for you to choose the
  Block Bits route, as opposed to the token sale route?
- 9 A. It was the custody, the cold storage. The challenge for 10 the cold storage, doing it yourself, is if you have a fire,
- 11 live in LA, there were a lot of crypto owners that were
- 12 | negatively impacted because the cold wallet was destroyed in
- 13 the fire. And so having Block Bits custody the tokens in my
- 14 behalf was a value to me.
- Q. And it would be really disappointing for you to know or to learn that there was no cold storage for the tokens that you were purchasing through Block Bits Capital?
- 18 A. I didn't know that.
- 19 Q. Would you have been as incentivized to go with Block Bits
- 20 Capital had you known they weren't going to cold storage?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay. I also want to draw your attention to, you know,
- 23 | just some of the terminology that appears here. Block Bits
- 24 | Capital purchases, the coin on investors' behalf. You see that
- 25 language?

```
A. Yes.
```

- 2 Q. And then transfers -- I'm skipping down to the bottom of
- 3 | the first paragraph bullet point, transfers to FIAT currency
- 4 | and wires to investor. Do you see that language?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. And then BBC provides a secure digital wallet for
- 7 investors. That's the second bullet from the bottom.
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. And you're nodding your head yes?
- 10 A. Yes. I'm sorry.
- 11 Q. No, that's okay.
- So Block Bits Capital's presentation of this offering to
- 13 | you was as an investment?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 MR. STEFAN: If we could turn to -- apologies. One
- 16 moment.
- 17 If we could turn to defense exhibit 2783.
- 18 **THE WITNESS:** I see it.
- 19 MR. HIGHSMITH: This was already admitted as a
- 20 government exhibit.
- MR. STEFAN: Correct, Mr. Highsmith. Can you refer me
- 22 to the exhibit?
- 23 MR. HIGHSMITH: Yeah, absolutely.
- 24 MR. STEFAN: Thank you.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: It's 602.

```
1
              MR. STEFAN:
                           Thank you.
          And go ahead and ... yes. And if you could go to page, I
 2
     think it should be 9.
 3
          May I have one moment, Your Honor?
 4
 5
              THE COURT:
                          Yes. Actually this is probably a good
     time for us to take our morning break.
 6
          Members of the jury, remember my admonition. Don't
 7
     discuss this amongst yourselves, with anyone else. We'll come
 8
     back at 10:15.
 9
          (The jury exits the courtroom.)
10
11
              THE WITNESS: I can get up?
              THE COURT: Yes, but why don't you wait until the jury
12
13
     leaves.
              THE WITNESS: Yes, sorry about that.
14
15
          (A recess was taken from 10:01 a.m. to 10:18 a.m.)
              THE COURT: Anything before the jury comes out?
16
17
              MR. SHEPARD:
                            Yes.
          Mr. Ward was kind enough to tell me that given the Court's
18
     ruling about Mr. Hargreaves, that the government now wants to
19
20
     add somebody different to testify today who we're also not
     prepared.
21
                         Well, is there -- are there -- is it a
22
              THE COURT:
23
     document thing?
          The problem here is I want to move this along.
24
              MR. SHEPARD: And so do I.
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And, you know, I'm not going to just -- I THE COURT: want the government to have witnesses ready to go, and so -well, tell me what the government's position is, Mr. Ward. MR. WARD: The witness is Mr. Darling. We did tell the defense yesterday, we provided them a list of witnesses for today and tomorrow. Mr. Darling's name was on that list. We've provided them all the 302s for Mr. Darling for some weeks and months ago. There are a list of exhibits; we haven't given them the list, but they've had all the exhibits for quite some I'm happy to provide them. time. THE COURT: I want to know the relative importance of Is this a major witness? What kind of witness is this? this. MR. WARD: Mr. Darling worked at a PR firm in Washington, and he will testify that he was hired to write articles about AML Bitcoin, and he was given the information by Jack Abramoff about what to write, that he hired other writers, he paid them to write the articles and that they were submitted to Marcus Andrade for his review, and that the articles contained statements that were not verified. Why can't the other guy who has the THE COURT: problem with the scheduling, Hargreaves, why can't you just start him? Well, we were ready to start --MR. WARD:

MR. HIGHSMITH: Here's the deal. We're calling
Mr. Boyer, Mr. Acuna and Mr. Bruffey. Those three folks have

been on the list forever. We expected to call them days ago.

I'm sure they're all set.

MR. SHEPARD: We're good.

MR. HIGHSMITH: We would love to move fast. We don't control how long cross takes. I would love to call another witness after these three folks. I had planned for four witnesses a day, but we're a little slower than that. We wanted Mr. Hargreaves here so that we could accommodate his scheduling; we couldn't. Next up is Mr. Darling.

I'm sorry that they've had a problem with our witnesses. They've been on the list. They've known about these folks for years. They've had the exhibits for a long time. We have tried to accommodate their requests, to give them advance notice of exhibits, to give them advance notice of witnesses. We're trying to move the case. We're trying not to keep it slow.

THE COURT: At this point it's almost academic because it is moving so slowly I don't think we'll even get to these witnesses. But, so you have those three. We're still on the first one.

MR. HIGHSMITH: We're not going to get ...

THE COURT: It's not even going to be an issue because we're moving so slowly.

MR. SHEPARD: I just wanted to alert the Court. We wanted to move very quickly also. That's why we asked, and the

Court directed them to give us this advance notice so that we can get it all together and we would be ready for everybody as they come in. We got documents back at the office. We got all ...

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to cross the bridge of

the -- if we blissfully get through the three witnesses that are on the list and they're ready for a fourth, I'm going to deal with it at that time and we'll figure it out. But, of course, I commend you, Mr. Shepard, for wanting to move it along, and you have some control over that in terms of the crosses that we're going to have.

MR. SHEPARD: Well ...

THE COURT: So if there's a pattern of the cross always being longer than the direct, it will cause me some concern.

So let's all, you know, row together to get this case moving along.

MR. SHEPARD: Yes.

THE COURT: Excellent.

MR. SHEPARD: We are.

THE COURT: Wonderful.

MR. SHEPARD: And the crosses have not been longer than the direct.

THE COURT: You know, I didn't say they were. All I said was, I'm just noting that if that phenomena occurs, that

```
1
     is something that would cause me some pause. I didn't suggest
     in any way that it's been too long.
 2
              MR. SHEPARD: But my client has a constitutional right
 3
     to cross-examine, and I --
 4
 5
              THE COURT: He does indeed. Indeed he does.
              MR. SHEPARD: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Yes. And I will protect that right to my
     dying day.
 8
 9
              MR. SHEPARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
              THE COURT: Very good.
10
11
          Let's bring the jury out.
12
          (The jury exits the courtroom.)
13
              THE COURT: Jury is present.
          You may proceed.
14
              MR. STEFAN: Okay. Picking up where we left off,
15
16
     could we please display government exhibit 602.
17
          Pardon me. Please remove the display as currently shown.
     620.
18
              THE COURT:
                          602 is what you wanted, isn't it?
19
              MR. STEFAN: Yes. Correct, Your Honor.
20
              THE COURT: All right. It's there.
21
              MR. STEFAN: Thank you.
22
23
          And if you could please zoom in on the language under J
     through M on that page.
24
25
     BY MR. STEFAN
```

- Q. Can you see that all right, Mr. Boyer?
- 2 **A.** J?

- 3 Q. Yes. So if you start at J, it says: Coin purchaser
- 4 | understands, acknowledges and agrees that AML Bitcoins are
- 5 | mediums of exchange and not a pooled interest. You see that?
- 6 **A.** I do.
- Q. And then K says: Coin purchaser understands, acknowledges and agrees that it has not purchased AML Bitcoins for
- 9 investment purposes and expects no return on investment.
- 10 You see that?
- 11 **A.** I do.
- 12 Q. This is a representation which is different from those
- 13 | that were presented to you in the two-pager that Dillman was
- 14 giving to you.
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- 16 **Q.** Is that fair to say?
- 17 A. Yes. I don't recollect this document, but I believe that
- 18 | there is a difference. The Block Bits one was suggesting
- 19 | appreciation from an investment.
- 20 MR. STEFAN: You can go ahead and back out of this
- 21 exhibit, Ed. Thank you.
- 22 BY MR. STEFAN
- 23 Q. And that kind of caveat language didn't exist in the
- 24 | company agreement that you signed with Block Bits Capital
- 25 either?

- 1 A. I don't recollect that it did.
- 2 Q. In fact, the agreement you entered into, the share
- 3 | purchase vehicle, with Block Bits Capital, that was explicitly
- 4 | an investment agreement, right?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. The idea is you and these other purchasers are gonna group
- 7 | together and purchases will be made out of a common fund, if
- 8 you will?
- 9 **A.** Sure.
- 10 Q. So this was -- this was rather different than the language
- 11 | that you just saw regarding the coins not being an investment?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. Throughout the course of -- well, first, I want to talk
- 14 about your purchases that you made following receiving the
- 15 two-pager from Dillman in January. There was first a purchase
- 16 | for 850,000; is that right?
- 17 A. Sounds right.
- 18 Q. And then there were multiple purchases after that point
- 19 for smaller amounts, and the government went over some of those
- 20 with you?
- 21 And you're nodding your head yes?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. There was a purchase for 45,000 tokens on January 25,
- 24 | 2018, does that sound about right?
- 25 | A. It sounds about right. Don't recollect exact dates.

- And then for another purchase of 25,000 tokens on 1 Q. January 29th of 2018? 2
  - Certainly could be. Α.
- MR. STEFAN: Could we display government exhibit 743. 4 5 Actually, just to the judge and counsel.
  - And I want to go to page 2, please. And could you give me a zoom on --- thank you. Give me a zoom on the top of the page there, the chart that appears at the top.

#### BY MR. STEFAN 9

- 10 Q. Okay. Do you see that, Mr. Boyer?
- 11 Α. Yes.

3

6

7

8

18

25

- Do you recognize this? 12 Q.
- 13 Α. Yes.
- What is this? 14 Q.
- It's a Excel spreadsheet that I created to keep track of 15 Α.
- 16 all the purchases I made of AML Bitcoin from the various, you
- 17 know, mechanisms of acquisition, either through the website, through Japheth or in the open market on an exchange.
- 19 Q. Thank you.
- MR. STEFAN: Could we back out of this zoom-in, and 20 then just zoom in on the -- go to the top of the -- sorry. Go 21 22 to page 1, please. And then zoom in on the e-mail that begins 23 on the bottom of the page on Thursday, November 29th, 2018.

#### BY MR. STEFAN 24

Q. Okay. So do you recognize this e-mail? MR. HIGHSMITH: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this because it's hearsay, and I don't believe he's impeaching Mr. Boyer's truthfulness. So it's not admissible.

THE COURT: Well, he hasn't moved to admit it, has he?

MR. HIGHSMITH: Yeah, but he's not impeaching his

truthfulness right now.

THE COURT: Overruled. You can proceed.

MR. STEFAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

### BY MR. STEFAN

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Do you recognize the chart?
- 11 **A.** The chart or the text?
- 12 Q. The chart and the text, I suppose. This e-mail.
- 13 A. I just told you I created the chart. And, yes, I
- 14 recognize the text, as well.
- 15 Q. Okay. Let's go ahead and go to -- back down to the chart
- 16 on page 2. And zoom in on it.
- 17 BY MR. STEFAN
- 18 Q. I just want to draw your attention to the purchases
- 19 indicated on the 25th and the -- well, yeah, just the two
- 20 purchases on the 25th. You see those?
- 21 **A.** I do.
- 22 Q. Okay. Does that refresh you on the purchases that you
- 23 | made at that time?
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Your Honor, I'm going to object. We
- 25 | covered this with the bank statements. It's cumulative of the

```
bank statements. We've already established he made these purchases at this time.
```

THE COURT: Well, I'm not quite sure why you're asking him to refresh his recollection. I don't think he's testified he doesn't recall.

What are you asking? What do you want him to testify about? Putting aside this document, what's the question, whether or not he made purchases at a particular time? I'm not following it.

MR. STEFAN: I may have put the cart a little bit before the horse, Your Honor. We can go ahead and remove display of this right now.

What I want to ask Mr. Boyer is his recollection of the price of the purchases that he made on ---

THE COURT: Okay. Why don't you just put this document aside, then if he doesn't remember you can see, does this refresh your recollection. Okay?

MR. STEFAN: Understood, Your Honor.

## 19 **BY MR. STEFAN**

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

- Q. With respect to those purchases that you made on the 25th, do you recall what your price per token was?
- A. Could you pull that back up?
- THE COURT: We do things in an organized fashion here.
  You go right ahead.
  - MR. STEFAN: That's what I meant about putting the

1 cart before the horse, but ...

THE WITNESS: The purchases on the 25th looks like

- 3 \$1.50 per token.
- 4 BY MR. STEFAN
- 5 Q. Thank you, Mr. Boyer. Does that refresh you on that?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- Q. We can go ahead and back out of that. Sorry, one second.
  8
  And those were purchases that you made through Block Bits
- 9 Capital, those ones?
- 10 **A.** Yes.
- 11 MR. STEFAN: Okay. Can we go ahead and back out of
- 12 | that, then?
- 13 BY MR. STEFAN
- 14 Q. So those two purchases that you made at 1.50, would --
- were you expecting when you transmitted that money to Block
- 16 Bits Capital that you were getting basically dollars to AML
- 17 | Bitcoin exchange on your purchase?
- 18 A. Yes, and the chart captures that. So it shows a \$67,500
- 19 investment at \$1.50 per token, which would be 45,000 tokens.
- 20 Q. Did you know that Japheth Dillman and David Mata with
- 21 Block Bits Capital were actually receiving those tokens for
- 22 \$1.25 each?
- 23 A. I did not.
- 24 \ Q. Would you have been comfortable or okay with them buying
- 25 the tokens at a lower price and then up-selling you at a higher

price?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. I think my issue would be it was never represented to me that way, and so again, had I understood that early on that I was dealing with a party that was arbitraging my purchases in a nontransparent way, I don't think I would have made those purchases. The way it was described to me is they would make their money on the back end, that when it was time for me to sell the tokens if I did it through them, they would get a fee for that.
- Q. Understood.
- So to be clear, you did not understand that they were -and the term that you used was "arbitrage," that they were
  arbitraging the sales that they were making or purchases they
  were making on your behalf?
- 15 A. Correct.
- 16 **Q.** This was a misrepresentation to you as far as you're concerned?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- Q. Were you aware that at this same time period, Japheth
  Dillman, David Mata and Block Bits Capital were anticipating,
  hoping to get acquired by a larger company?
- 22 A. I did not know that.
- Q. Were you aware that they were seeking to maximize their assets under management as soon as possible in order to do that?

- 1 A. Well, I didn't know that they were seeking to be acquired,
- 2 but virtually every asset manager has an incentive to increase
- 3 | their assets under management, so that part doesn't surprise
- 4 me.
- 5 Q. Okay. So that wouldn't surprise you, but it would be news
- 6 to you that that was something that was pending for Block Bits
- 7 | Capital?
- 8 A. I had -- first I've heard of this.
- 9 **Q.** Okay.
- 10 MR. STEFAN: And we can remove this slide, to the
- 11 extent it's still showing. Thank you.
- 12 **THE WITNESS:** If you ask me prices, please bring it
- 13 back.
- 14 BY MR. STEFAN
- 15 Q. I will happily do that for you.
- 16 You said in direct examination that you never would have
- 17 purchased AML Bitcoins if Dillman wasn't the CSO or had
- 18 represented himself as the CSO of the NAC Foundation?
- 19 **A.** To me that's fraud, purporting to be someone you're not.
- 20 And so --
- 21 **Q.** I apologize, but just a little nonresponsive.
- You wouldn't have ever made that purchase?
- 23 A. I would not have made that purchase.
- 24 Q. But you made of course that initial purchase and then
- 25 | follow-on purchases with Block Bits Capital?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. And then subsequent purchases you mentioned on exchanges,
- and you're nodding your head yes. And on I believe also
- 4 through the private sales that we talked about?
- 5 A. The website?
- 6 Q. Yes. And also through the AML Bitcoin website. And
- 7 | you're nodding your head yes.
- 8 A. Yes, yes to all of those.
- 9 Q. And you made those purchases over a period of time where
- 10 | the bad news about AML Bitcoin just keeps piling up from your
- 11 perspective.
- 12 A. Well, it wasn't piling up until the end. When I had the
- 13 | conversation with the FBI individual, I was shocked.
- 14 Q. Okay. Well, let me be clear when I say piling up.
- 15 **A.** Okay.
- 16 Q. In the course of the months over which you made these
- 17 purchases and interacted with Japheth, he told you things about
- 18 | the company? And you're nodding your head?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 MR. HIGHSMITH: Your Honor, I'm just going to object
- 21 to the questioning. He's basically -- rather than asking a
- 22 question, he's sort of putting evidence into the record, and
- 23 then the witness will nod. So I just ask that the question be
- 24 phrased properly.
- 25 MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, if I may? I'm asking the

```
BOYER - CROSS / STEFAN
```

- question and ensuring for the record that the response is 1
- recorded. I could ask the witness to give a verbal. 2
- THE COURT: Let's go to the next question. Go ahead. 3
- Go ahead. Keep going. 4
- 5 MR. STEFAN: Yes, Your Honor.
- BY MR. STEFAN 6
- So in this timeframe, Japheth told you things about the 7 Q.
- company? 8
- That's correct. Α. 9
- And you expressed concerns to him about things that you 10 Q.
- 11 were learning through other sources?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- So, say, for instance, after the Super Bowl ad, you 13 0.
- expressed concerns to Japheth about negative reception that the 14
- 15 Super Bowl ad rejection campaign had received?
- 16 Α. And I was given an answer that it was not --
- 17 Just to be clear, that's a "yes" to my question? Q.
- That's a "yes". 18 Α.
- And same with negative chatter that you were hearing on 19
- 20 online forums?
- Yes. 21 Α.
- 22 You reported that to ...
- Sometimes negative things that you may have heard 23
- from counterparts or colleagues, just --24
- 25 MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection. There's not really a

question when you start "sometimes" without ...

THE COURT: Why don't you rephrase that one.

#### BY MR. STEFAN

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

20

- Q. You also received feedback from some colleagues or cohorts that was negative with respect to AML Bitcoin?
- MR. HIGHSMITH: I don't think he testified he received feedback from colleagues. I think he made this investment in his personal capacity.
- THE COURT: Well, why don't you back up; ask him from whom he received feedback.
- 11 Go ahead.

### 12 BY MR. STEFAN

- Q. Did you receive negative feedback from any colleagues with respect to AML Bitcoin?
- THE COURT: And perhaps "colleagues" is a little confusing. Who? Why don't you just ask him from whom he received any feedback?
- 18 MR. STEFAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

### 19 BY MR. STEFAN

- Q. From whom did you receive feedback?
- 21 A. Yeah. So when I made the investment, I reached out to 22 people that were involved in the crypto industry that
- 23 understood the space better than I did, to understand if a
- 24 cryptocurrency was created that did the things that this one
- 25 was purported to do in terms of AML and KYC and tying

biometrics to the blockchain, would it be valuable. And I got a resounding "yes".

I had told people that I had made the investment, and then along the way, I think some of the negative articles -- there was an article about whether or not the project actually ever intended to run the Super Bowl ad, people saw that and started to share it with me.

So there were people that I had communicated about AML Bitcoin with that shared with me their concern.

Q. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

And my point with the piling up is that you continue --

MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection. It's not about counsel's

13 point. It's about a question and an answer.

THE COURT: Just ask a question. Okay?

### 15 BY MR. STEFAN

- 16 Q. Prior to the FBI coming to you in November of 2018, you'd
- 17 had negative news and feedback regarding AML Bitcoin?
- 18 A. That is correct.
- 19 Q. Over the course of that same period you had continued to
- 20 | increase your holdings in AML Bitcoin?
- 21 A. That is true.
- 22 | Q. This is directly attributable to Japheth Dillman and his
- 23 | communications with you?
- 24 A. As well as the Telegram channel which AML Bitcoin
- 25 operated --

**Q.** But --

- 2 **A.** -- and provided a lot of information about how great
- 3 things were going, yes.
- 4 Q. But absent Japheth Dillman's role as the CSO, or purported
- 5 | role as the CSO, relaying this information, you wouldn't have
- 6 invested in the first place?
- 7 **A.** Oh, hundred percent.
- 8 Q. The point at which Japheth Dillman had told you things
- 9 that weren't as glowing about the project, that would have
- 10 | impacted your decision-making, as well?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And so but for Japheth Dillman, you may not have invested
- 13 | in the first place?
- 14 | A. I wish I was never on that plane with him, but, yes.
- 15 Q. And likely wouldn't have continued investing after hearing
- 16 | negative reports?
- 17 **A.** That is correct.
- 18 Q. Throughout that entire period you had no communication
- 19 | with Mr. Andrade prior to meeting with the FBI in November of
- 20 2018?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 **Q.** Not CC'd on any e-mails, either?
- 23 **A.** I believe not a single e-mail. The only communications I
- 24 | had with him were connecting on LinkedIn, and then there were a
- 25 | couple of e-mails that happened after the FBI reached out to

```
me, and there was one phone call, and I believe that was it.
```

- 2 Q. Dillman was the source of all your information regarding
- 3 developments with AML Bitcoin from any kind of insider
- 4 | perspective?

- 5 MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection, Your Honor. He's already
- 6 testified he looked at the website, he looked at the Telegram
- 7 channel, he looked at the press.
- 8 MR. STEFAN: I --
- 9 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 10 **THE WITNESS:** That's correct. I did review all of
- 11 | those different places, and I was --
- 12 BY MR. STEFAN
- 13 **Q.** I apologize.
- 14 **A.** Okay.
- 15 Q. Not in response to Mr. Highsmith, but in response to my
- 16 question.
- 17 It was from an insider perspective. Like that was the
- 18 only information you got. Dillman was the only person on the
- 19 NAC team that you were talking to?
- 20 **A.** I had no other relationships. Japheth's partner, David
- 21 Mata, was also intimately familiar with the project, but he was
- 22 | not listed as a key officer like Japheth.
- 23 **Q.** Understood. So Japheth and Mata gave you representations,
- 24 but Japheth was the one who was connected?
- 25 A. He was the primary contact.

- Q. And you had no contact with Mr. Andrade?
- 2 A. Again, not until after I was approached by the FBI. I had
- 3 never had a conversation with Marcus.
- 4 | Q. And even then, it was some time after you were approached
- 5 by the FBI, correct?
- 6 **A.** Yes.

- 7 Q. By February of 2019, when the FBI talked to you then, you
- 8 still had not ever communicated with Mr. Andrade?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. Is it correct that in -- by the time you talked to the FBI
- in February of 2019 you had not communicated with Mr. Andrade?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. I believe I got that right.
- 14 Apart from the connection to Japheth, there were other --
- 15 your own experience in venture capital had some impact on your
- 16 perception of the project's progress over time; is that right?
- 17 | A. Well, I have been an investor for quite a while. I
- 18 | started in venture capital -- so I believe in some respects,
- 19 yes, my experience allows me to quickly evaluate opportunities,
- 20 and I have a pretty decent network to do due diligence.
- 21 | Q. If I may, Mr. Boyer?
- 22 **A.** You asked for a question. I was just giving you the
- 23 answer.
- 24 Q. Yes. I had anticipated it could be answered with a yes or
- 25 a no, but --

A. It's nuanced.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

- **Q.** Okay. So nuanced?
- 3 A. Well, you want an answer --

MR. HIGHSMITH: Your Honor.

THE COURT: Wait, wait, wait.

Let's get a question and an answer, not talking over each other.

Question.

### BY MR. STEFAN

- Q. Specifically your experience in venture capital and your
  work has resulted in you seeing delays in the delivery of
  various projects, and that those delays aren't uncommon to you?
- 13 A. That is correct.
- Q. So part of your observation with respect to AML Bitcoin was that there is delays, but this isn't uncommon?
  - **A.** Things always take longer than you anticipate.
- 17 **Q.** And that could especially be the case in the development of a technology which is supposed to be groundbreaking?
- 19 A. It could be.
- Q. I want to talk about the private sale that Mata and
  Dillman brokered between you and Mr. Andrade. At the time that
  you made that sale you represented here in court today that you
  did not know that Marcus Andrade personally was on the other
  side of that?
- 25 A. I did not.

- Q. Japheth and Mata represented to you that the person on the other side of that was some other investor or purchaser?
- A. Someone early to the project is what I believe the way it was framed.
  - Q. A distressed person?
- 6 A. Needed liquidity.

9

- Q. Right. So they represented to you explicitly that this
  was not Mr. Andrade?
  - MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection; asked and answered. I feel like we've covered this already, Your Honor.
- 11 **THE COURT:** Overruled. Go ahead.
- THE WITNESS: I didn't ask the question if it was

  Marcus Andrade, and they certainly did not indicate that it was

  anyone working at the company. Again, it was purported to be I

  believe an investor, someone that got in the project early.
- 16 BY MR. STEFAN
- 17 **Q.** And at this time, again, you hadn't communicated with 18 Mr. Andrade around the time of the purchases?
- 19 MR. HIGHSMITH: That one was asked and answered.
- 20 **THE COURT:** Sustained.
- 21 BY MR. STEFAN
- 22 **Q.** So you don't know what Dillman and Mata told Mr. Andrade about you as the buyer?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. You don't know whether they had identified him as the

seller?

1

- A. I have no idea.
- 3 Q. I want to turn to government exhibit 740.
- 4 MR. STEFAN: And go ahead and turn to page 2. And if you could zoom in on the paragraph at the bottom of that page.
- 6 BY MR. STEFAN
- Q. So, Mr. Boyer, you represent the e-mail that Mr. Highsmith was discussing with you before about the token sale results?
- 9 A. Correct.
- 10 Q. Do you see the language, during the ICO, 60 million tokens
- 11 | were issued?
- 12 A. I see that.
- 13 Q. I believe on direct examination you indicated this e-mail
- 14 | told you that 60 million tokens were sold.
- 15 A. That's how I read it to mean. I don't know how or why
- 16 | they would issue tokens outside of the ICO.
- 17 Q. But specifically the language utilized was issued and not
- 18 sold?
- 19 A. In this document it was issued, not sold.
- 20 \ Q. And with respect to this language it wasn't anything that
- 21 Marcus ever told you directly, that 60 million tokens were
- 22 | either issued or sold?
- 23 **A.** No.
- 24 **Q.** Okay.
- 25 A. One point I will make is in the Telegram channel, which

# BOYER - CROSS / STEFAN

- was the AML Bitcoin-sponsored Telegram channel, they used the 1 word "76 million tokens sold," so I did read that. 2
- So I want to discuss that, too. 3 Q.
- MR. STEFAN: We can go ahead and pull out of the 4 5 government exhibit 740.

#### BY MR. STEFAN 6

- The Telegram channel, did you happen to screenshot the 7 Q. Telegram channel when you were reviewing it? 8
- I did. 9 A.
- Did you provide that screenshot to the government? 10
- I did. 11 Α.
- Okay. Do you recall when about that screenshot was taken? 12 Q.
- I don't. 13 Α.
- And do you recall when about you provided that screenshot 14
- 15 to the government?
- 16 It was a while after I was first approached by the FBI.
- It was a thought I -- I had remembered seeing the Telegram 17
- channel have the claim that they sold 76 million tokens. 18
- so I then sent it separately to the FBI. 19
- MR. STEFAN: Okay. Can we pull up government 20
- exhibit 626? And go to the third page. Sorry, the next page. 21
- 22 Fifth page. Next page. Next. And go ahead and zoom in on the
- chart that's displayed here. 23
- BY MR. STEFAN 24
- 25 I want to bring back attention to one point that you

```
BOYER - CROSS / STEFAN
```

- discussed in direct, which was that there was no biometric 1
- authorization for the wallet that you downloaded for AML 2
- tokens. Is that right? 3
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection. That was not his testimony 4
- 5 on direct.
- THE COURT: Well, he can then correct it. 6
- THE WITNESS: Yeah, I didn't say that. 7
- BY MR. STEFAN 8
- Okay. Did you do biometric verification for AML tokens? 9 Q.
- I never did. 10 Α.
- 11 Do you observe in this chart that the basic specifications
- for AML Bitcoin are on the left, and then the specifications 12
- for AML token are on the right? 13
- Yes. 14 Α.
- And you spoke in direct examination about the difference 15
- 16 between a token and a coin?
- Yes. 17 Α.
- And the understanding that the token is a placeholder? 18
- It's a mechanism to raise the money, and then you exchange 19 Α.
- it one for one. 20
- And you can observe in this table that biometric 21 Q.
- identifications is included on the left-hand side as a 22
- 23 specification for the completed coin, but it's not included as
- a specification for the token? 24
- 25 Α. Correct.

1 MR. STEFAN: Okay. We can leave government 2 exhibit 626.

#### BY MR. STEFAN

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

- Q. Following the fallout of the November revelations you had with the FBI, Japheth Dillman tries to buy all of your tokens from you?
- A. He said he was doing that for all the people that had invested in AML Bitcoin through Block Bits, and that he was going to bring litigation against Marcus on his own.
- Q. And as you discussed in direct, you ultimately brought litigation against him for failing to perform on his contract to buy your tokens?
- 13 A. We had a signed asset purchase agreement that was -- that

  14 specified the terms that he had offered, and he did not make

  15 payment.
  - Q. You also discuss the declaratory judgment that Mr. Andrade sought with respect to you and Block Bits Capital. With respect to that, the suit that he brought wasn't to get money out of you.
- 20 A. No, it was to push liability, I believe, away from himself 21 and onto Japheth and myself.
  - Q. Specifically, he was suing Block Bits Capital. I say suing, seeking declaratory judgment against Block Bits Capital and you, because the purchase contract that you were suing Block Bits Capital over was specifically with Block Bits

- 1 | Capital and not with him?
- 2 **A.** Yes. He -- you're a lawyer and I'm not, and so I don't
- 3 want to misrepresent it, but that sounds about like my
- 4 interpretation.
- 5 Q. He wasn't trying to get any money out of you?
- 6 A. Well, when you sue someone, you gotta hire a lawyer. So
- 7 he got money out of me. But, no, he wasn't suing for money.
- 8 Q. Well, he individually didn't get any money out of you in
- 9 that sense.
- 10 A. He didn't, but he did force me to spend a lot of money to
- 11 defend myself.
- 12 Q. The answer is yes or no.
- 13 A. I'm answering what happened.
- 14 Q. So you indicated that you had got your part of that case
- 15 dismissed.
- 16 A. Correct.
- 17 **Q.** You understand that to be on jurisdiction grounds?
- 18 A. We went with whatever was the simplest way to make it go
- 19 away.
- 20 Q. In this case it was a forum issue?
- 21 A. I'm not gonna speak to the exact process by which it was
- 22 dismissed. I'm not a lawyer.
- 23 \ Q. Did you review the opinion -- or not the opinion, but the
- 24 judgment that the Court entered in that case?
- 25 **A.** I did.

```
Q. Would looking at it refresh your recollection regarding the basis on which the order was made?
```

A. I'm happy to review it if you would like me to review it.

I don't recollect exactly what was said. And as I said, I'm

not a lawyer and definitely don't profess to be one. When I

hired the attorney, he had a way of making this go away as cost

effectively as possible. At this point, I had already lost a

lot of money, and I did not want to spend a lot of money

defending this, which to me was nonsense.

MR. STEFAN: Your Honor, may I have a moment?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. STEFAN: Thank you.

Just one more matter to address.

Can we display exhibit 3106.

THE WITNESS: You're going to have to blow this one way up. It's very hard for me to read it.

MR. STEFAN: This should only be displayed to counsel and the judge.

Thank you.

## BY MR. STEFAN

- 21 **Q.** Mr. Boyer, did you go on the AML Bitcoin website with some 22 frequency?
- 23 **A.** Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- Q. Did you see the "Ask Me Anything" that Marcus did on
- 25 May 22nd of ...

- 1 A. I don't believe so.
- 2 MR. STEFAN: Okay. All right. Thank you.
- 3 THE COURT: Mr. Highsmith.
- 4 MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
- Just a couple of quick follow-ups. Could we please
- 6 display exhibit 1224 and could we please look at page 6?
- 7 You can publish it to the jury. It's been admitted.

# REDIRECT EXAMINATION

## BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- 10 Q. Defense counsel asked you several questions about how your
- 11 money went to Block Bits Capital. Do you recall that?
- 12 **A.** Yes.

8

- 13 Q. Asked you several questions about the timing of your money
- 14 going to Block Bits Capital. Do you recall that?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 Q. We covered previously that you transferred or wired money
- 17 to Block Bits on January 12th, 2018. Do you recall that?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. We previously covered how you transferred funds to Block
- 20 Bits Capital on January 23rd, 2018. Do you recall that?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 MR. HIGHSMITH: Please zoom in on the other
- 23 | withdrawals, withdrawals from Block Bits Capital, page 6.
- 24 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 25 | Q. Do you see on the exhibit in front of you that the same

- 1 day that you wired money to Block Bits Capital, \$850,000 was
- 2 | wired out of Block Bits Capital?
- 3 A. I do see that.
- 4 Q. Do you know where that money was wired to?
- 5 **A.** I believe it was sent to the NAC or AML.
- 6 Q. Please look at the next line for 1/23/2018. Is that the
- 7 same date that you wired money to --
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 Q. -- Block Bits?
- 10 Do you see that there is another wire or another
- 11 | withdrawal directed, transferred to the same bank account for
- 12 \$150,000?
- 13 **A.** I do.
- 14 | Q. Do you know who that was wired to?
- 15 MR. STEFAN: Objection; foundation.
- 16 MR. HIGHSMITH: Well, the question is do you know who
- 17 | that was wired to.
- 18 | THE COURT: Well --
- 19 **THE WITNESS:** I don't. I don't know who that was
- 20 | wired to.
- 21 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 22 Q. Counsel asked you several questions about your
- 23 October 2018 purchase that was directly from Mr. Andrade,
- 24 correct?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- 1 Q. Do you know whether Mr. Andrade paid commissions on that
- 2 sale?

- **A.** I have learned since.
- 4 Q. But at the time did you know?
- 5 A. I did not.
- 6 Q. Did you know that Mr. Andrade paid \$12,500 commission for
- 7 | that sale?
- 8 **A.** No.
- 9 Q. If you had known at that time that Mr. Andrade paid a
- 10 \$12,500 commission for that October sale, would that have
- 11 | influenced your decision to invest?
- 12 A. Hundred percent.
- 13 **Q.** Why?
- 14 A. That's a form of desperation to get out of the position.
- 15 As I said, having the CEO of a project that's this early
- 16 looking to sell their stake is indicative of the fact that they
- 17 don't believe in it or it might not be real. Additionally,
- 18 paying on top of that to sell at such distressed levels is
- 19 further indication that there is really nothing positive going
- 20 on with the project.
- 21 Q. Counsel repeatedly asked you about where you got your
- 22 information about AML from. Do you recall that?
- 23 **A.** I do.
- 24 Q. In addition to Mr. Dillman, did you receive significant
- 25 information from the AML website?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 **Q.** Did you receive information from press releases?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Did you receive information from the Telegram channel?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you also go to Mr. Andrade's LinkedIn page?
- 7 **A.** I did.
- 8 Q. Counsel asked you many questions about whether you had
- 9 talked personally to the founder and CEO of the company in
- 10 2018, basically. You said, "no," correct?
- 11 A. That's correct.
- 12 MR. STEFAN: Objection to leading at this point.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Well, it is redirect. Go ahead.
- 14 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 15 | Q. And you went to the LinkedIn page, correct?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 MR. HIGHSMITH: Please display to the witness 381, and
- 18 just to the parties, the Court and the witness.
- 19 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 20 **Q.** I think when you looked at the -- when you looked at the
- 21 LinkedIn page, it did not display the profile photo. Looking
- 22 in front of you, is this the profile photo that was attached to
- 23 the LinkedIn page?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Your Honor, I would move to admit

```
exhibit 381.
 1
              MR. STEFAN: No objection.
 2
              THE COURT: 381 will be admitted.
 3
          (Trial Exhibit 381 received in evidence.)
 4
 5
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
                              Thank you.
          Please publish that.
 6
     BY MR. HIGHSMITH
 7
          And is that a picture of --
 8
              MR. HIGHSMITH: You can just leave it like this.
 9
     BY MR. HIGHSMITH
10
11
          Is this a picture of Mr. Andrade in front of what appears
     to be a Rolls Royce with his name and the license plate?
12
13
     Α.
          Yes.
              MR. HIGHSMITH: You can take that down.
14
15
     BY MR. HIGHSMITH
16
          Okay. Counsel asked you repeatedly about your decision to
     invest and the fact that you relied on information from
17
     Mr. Dillman to invest. Do you recall that?
18
          I do.
19
     Α.
          Did you also decide to invest based on relying on
20
     information you got from the AML Bitcoin website, the AML
21
     Bitcoin Telegram channel, the AML Bitcoin press releases?
22
23
              MR. STEFAN: Objection; asked and answered and
     leading.
24
```

THE COURT: Well, that is -- just, yeah. If you want

1 to ask him what he relied on, go ahead.

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

2

- 3 Q. What did you rely on?
- 4 A. Information that was provided on the AML Bitcoin website,
- 5 | information from Mr. Japheth Dillman, the Telegram channel,
- 6 press releases. Those sorts of things.
- 7 | MR. HIGHSMITH: Let's publish exhibit 741 last time,
- 8 please. That's not exhibit 740. 740, please. Take that one
- 9 down, please. Please go to page 2.
- 10 Thank you very much.

## 11 BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- 12 Q. Counsel asked you follow-up questions about this e-mail
- 13 | communication from AML Bitcoin, correct?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 | Q. I believe counsel asked you to clarify was this a
- 16 | communication from Marcus Andrade. Do you recall that?
- 17 **A.** I do.
- 18 Q. And I believe there was some insinuation that this -- your
- 19 information did not come from Mr. Andrade.
- 20 MR. STEFAN: Objection; argumentative and leading.
- 21 THE COURT: Yeah. Why don't you just don't
- 22 characterize what it was, just ask him whatever question it is
- 23 you want to ask him.
- 24 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 25 Q. Is Mr. Andrade the founder and CEO of AML Bitcoin?

# BOYER - RECROSS / STEFAN

- 1 A. He is.
- 2 Q. Therefore, is it your understanding that he is responsible
- 3 for these announcements that come out?
- 4 A. As founder and CEO, you're responsible for anything the
- 5 company does.

6

9

- MR. HIGHSMITH: No further questions.
- 7 MR. STEFAN: Can we return to exhibit 740? The top
- 8 e-mail on the page. Zoom in.

## **RECROSS-EXAMINATION**

- 10 BY MR. STEFAN
- 11 Q. Mr. Boyer, when Dillman told you that Marcus told him that
- 12 they sold out the ICO, you only have Dillman's representation
- 13 to go on with respect to whether Marcus told him that or not?
- 14 | A. I also had the Telegram post, but I don't know the time.
- 15 | So it might have been after this. I don't recollect.
- But, yes, I don't know what Marcus told Japheth, which
- 17 then Japheth told me.
- 18 Q. You were relying here strictly on Japheth's
- 19 representations of what Marcus was telling him?
- 20 A. On this e-mail that's all I can speak to. But as I said,
- 21 | there was a Telegram channel that said they sold 76 million.
- 22 Q. Understood.
- But with respect to this e-mail, as you just indicated --
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 **Q.** -- and with respect to every other communication that

- 1 Dillman had to you saying, Marcus told me this or Marcus told
- 2 | me that, those were communications that you got strictly from
- 3 Dillman?
- A. Anything that he said Marcus said, yes, had to come from
- 5 Dillman.
- 6 MR. STEFAN: Okay. Thank you.
- 7 **THE WITNESS:** Yes.
- 8 THE COURT: You may step down.
- 9 **THE WITNESS:** Thanks.
- 10 MR. HIGHSMITH: The United States calls Dr. Rene
- 11 Acuna, Your Honor.
- 12 THE COURT: Please come forward to the witness stand
- 13 to be sworn.
- 14 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.
- 15 RENE ACUNA,
- 16 | called as a witness for the Government, having been duly sworn,
- 17 testified as follows:
- 18 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated. Can you
- 19 state your name and spell your last name, please?
- 20 **THE WITNESS:** Sure. Rene Acuna. Last name is
- 21 A-c-u-n-a.
- 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 23 **BY MR. HIGHSMITH**
- 24 Q. Dr. Acuna, what do you do for a living?
- 25 **A.** I'm a family practice physician.

- 1 | Q. How long have you been a family practice physician?
- 2 A. Forty years.
- 3 **Q.** Where are you from?
- 4 A. My residence is in Portland, Texas. I practice in Sinton,
- 5 Texas, which is close to Corpus Christi, Texas.
- 6 Q. Have you ever heard of ATEN "Black Gold" Coin?
- 7 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. Who did you hear about it from first?
- 9 **A.** From Mr. Brian Darrow.
- 10 Q. Via phone call?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 **Q.** Was it a cold call?
- 13 A. I'm not sure what you mean by "cold".
- 14 Q. Did he reach out out of the blue to you?
- 15 **A.** I had been involved in a previous investment that he was
- 16 | involved in and he mentioned the ATEN "Black Gold" Coin to me
- 17 after that.
- 18 Q. How did that previous investment turn out?
- 19 A. Not very well.
- 20 **Q.** What did you learn about ATEN Coin from Mr. Darrow?
- 21 A. Well, it was presented as a new Bitcoin that was coming
- 22 out that had more security involved, that would allow tracking
- 23 of transactions, which included people that sent money to
- 24 somebody, and at the other end, people who received it, with
- 25 the new technology they would be able to track those

- transactions. 1
- Did you eventually buy some of that ATEN Coin? 2 Q.
- Yes, I did. Α. 3
- Did you ever see those specialized tracking features built 4
- 5 into the coin?
- No, sir. 6 Α.
- Did you learn about ATEN Coin's connection to the oil 7 Q.
- industry from Mr. Darrow? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- What did you learn? 10
- 11 That there was a particular oil company in north Texas Α.
- that was willing to take payment in the "Black Gold" Coin for 12
- 13 selling their product.
- To your knowledge did that ever happen? 14 Q.
- Not to my knowledge, no. 15 Α.
- 16 Q. Any use of ATEN Coin by oil companies?
- 17 Not to my knowledge. Α.
- 18 Q. All right. So you ended up buying some ATEN Coin; is that
- 19 right?
- 20 Yes, sir. A.
- 21 Did you have multiple conversations with Mr. Darrow?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- Did you do any independent research? 23 Q.
- Only what was presented on their website. 24 Α.
- 25 On whose website? Q.

- 1 A. On NAC, which was, my understanding was the NAC Foundation
- 2 was the one that was developing the coin.
- 3 | Q. Based on your review of the website, seemed like a
- 4 | legitimate product; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

- 6 Q. Take a look, please, at exhibit 236.
- 7 MR. HIGHSMITH: Witness, parties and the Court only.
- 8 If you could just enlarge the top half, please.
- 9 **BY MR. HIGHSMITH**
- 10 Q. Is this an e-mail to you on July 23rd, 2014?
- 11 A. Yes, it was.
- 12 Q. And is it from ATEN Coin?
- 13 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. Is this a fair and accurate depiction of your e-mail?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit 236.
- 17 MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 18 **THE COURT:** 236 will be admitted.
- 19 (Trial Exhibit 236 received in evidence.)
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you.
- 21 Please publish this screen to the jury.
- 22 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 23 | Q. All right. So did you purchase some ATEN Coin in
- 24 July 2014?
- 25 **A.** Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. And did you receive instructions to download a ATEN Coin
- 2 Wallet?
- 3 **A.** I did.
- 4 Q. And did you do that?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. Did you have to provide any identification to download the
- 7 | wallet or receive the coins?
- 8 A. Yes, some generalized information, including a driver's
- 9 license.
- 10 Q. Based on your review of the ATEN Coin website, your
- 11 conversations with Mr. Darrow, what was your understanding
- 12 about whether the ATEN Coin technology was finalized?
- 13 **A.** I was under the understanding that it was in the process
- 14 of being finalized. There was no specific date that was given,
- 15 but there was indication that it would be soon.
- 16 Q. To the west of your knowledge, were those security
- 17 | features ever put in place and finalized?
- 18 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 19 Q. Did you buy additional ATEN Coins after additional calls
- 20 from Mr. Darrow?
- 21 A. Yes, sir, I did.
- 22 **Q.** Just, did he call you repeatedly?
- 23 **A.** There were probably four other instances where I purchased
- 24 coins, yes, sir.
- 25 **Q.** And those were after Mr. Darrow's phone calls?

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. Would he make representations about the price? Like,
- 3 | would the price either go down or go up after each call?
- 4 A. With each call there was an incentive that you would get
- 5 | more coins for a similar price that you had paid previously for
- 6 the original coins.
- 7 MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we please show Mr. Acuna, the
- 8 | Court and the parties exhibit 231.
- 9 **BY MR. HIGHSMITH**
- 10 Q. Are you looking at this purchase agreement in front of
- 11 you?
- 12 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And do you see at the top it's between you and NAC
- 14 Foundation?
- 15 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Have you seen this document before?
- 17 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Is this a fair and accurate depiction of your purchase
- 19 agreement?
- 20 **A.** It is.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit, Your Honor.
- 22 MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 23 **THE COURT:** Exhibit 231 will be admitted.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 25 (Trial Exhibit 231 received in evidence.)

- 1 MR. HIGHSMITH: Can we just enlarge the top that shows
- 2 the date it was purchased?
- 3 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 4 Q. Is this the date when all of your purchases were
- 5 memorialized?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 Q. That's August 20th, 2015, correct?
- 8 A. Correct.
- 9 MR. HIGHSMITH: Please display page 2, and
- 10 specifically paragraph 2.
- 11 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 12 Q. So in total, did you buy 525,000 ATEN "Black Gold" Coins
- 13 for \$156 -- \$156,250?
- 14 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 15 Q. Was that all of your ATEN Coin purchases in 2014 and 2015?
- 16 **A.** It is.
- 17 | Q. Did you plan to earn a return on this investment?
- 18 A. Yes. I was hoping to, yes, sir.
- 19 **Q.** And how were you planning to earn a return on it?
- 20 A. Well, during the conversation, it was noted that the coin,
- 21 when it went up on the market, would initially be sold for a
- 22 dollar per coin.
- 23 Q. And is another way of saying market saying it would go up
- 24 on an exchange?
- 25 **A.** That's correct, yes, sir.

- MR. HIGHSMITH: Can you please go to page 6 of this
  document, and just the top. If we could just look at the top
  third, please.

  BY MR. HIGHSMITH
  - Q. To the best of your knowledge, was this purchase of coins an agreement between you and Marcus Andrade, the founder of the NAC Foundation?
  - A. Yes, it is.

5

6

7

8

9

- MR. HIGHSMITH: We can take that down.
- 10 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 11 **Q.** You testified a moment ago that you anticipated this would 12 be traded on an exchange opening for a dollar. Who did you
- 13 hear that from?
- 14 A. From Brian Darrow.
- Q. What did you think ATEN Coin needed to do before it was listed on an exchange?
- 17 **A.** I realized that they had to not only finish whatever
  18 security -- security parts of the Bitcoin to be finished, but
  19 also to comply with all the regulations --
  - MR. STEFAN: Objection.
- 21 **THE WITNESS:** -- that were needed to put it on the exchange.
- 23 **THE COURT:** What's the objection?
- MR. STEFAN: Foundation.
- 25 **THE COURT:** The objection is what? Foundation.

Mr. Highsmith?

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

1

- The question was: Where did you get that Yeah. 3 Q.
- understanding from? 4
- 5 From Mr. Darrow. Α.
- 6 Did you also get it from the website?
- 7 Yes, sir. Α.
- What was your understanding, based on your review of the 8
- website and your conversations with Mr. Darrow, about what the 9
- ATEN Coin needed to do in order to get listed on an exchange? 10
- 11 It needed to finish the programming or whatever was
- necessary to comply with the built-in securities that were 12
- 13 needed in trading the coin.
- Mr. Darrow called you several times, and you invested with 14 Q.
- him in ATEN Coin several times, correct? 15
- 16 Α. Correct.
- Did you ever say "no" to Mr. Darrow? 17 Q.
- At the very end I did, yes, sir. 18 Α.
- And was that in approximately 2015? 19 Q.
- 20 Α. Correct.
- Up to that point had you spoken with Marcus Andrade? 21 Q.
- It was soon, right after my last conversation with Brian 22 Α.
- 23 Darrow that I spoke with Mr. Andrade.
- So soon after you said "no" to Mr. Darrow that you were 24
- 25 not going to invest any more money, you got a call from

Mr. Andrade? 1

> I did. Α.

- What did Mr. Andrade say during that call? 3
- He was also asking to see if I'd be interested in 4
- investing more money, and I told him I put in the maximum that 5
- I was going to put in. He asked me how much I had invested, 6
- 7 and I explained to him I invested \$156,000. And then he
- indicated that there was going to be a big meeting where some 8
- high-profile people from other countries that were involved in 9
- currency in those countries were going to be at, and he wanted 10
- 11 to know if I was interested in going.
- And, sir, did Mr. Andrade indicate where that conference 12
- would be held? 13
- It was going to be held in Poland. 14 Α.
- Did he -- did he say anything about what you could tell 15 Q.
- 16 the conference attendees if you went to the conference?
- 17 Well, I told him how much-that I had invested. Α.
- MR. STEFAN: Objection; leading. 18
- THE WITNESS: He indicated, well, why don't you go to 19
- the meeting, and you can tell him that you bankrolled the whole 20
- project, which I thought was kinda strange. 21
- BY MR. HIGHSMITH 22
- 23 So to be clear, he represented to you, why don't you go to
- Poland to the conference and tell the attendees you bankrolled 24
- the entire project? 25

- 1 A. Correct.
- 2 Q. And your impression was that that was kind of weird?
- 3 A. Yes, sir.
- 4 **Q.** Why?
- 5 A. Well, I think with a project of this magnitude, \$150,000
- 6 is nothing.
- 7 **Q.** What do you mean?
- 8 A. That it's probably a minimum amount that is needed to
- 9 complete the project.
- 10 **Q.** So what was your impression of the project after he told
- 11 you that?
- 12 **A.** I was a little sceptical, sceptical.
- 13 Q. Were you concerned that the project might be in trouble if
- 14 | you had financed the whole thing?
- 15 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 16 Q. Did you hear at some point about regulatory authorities in
- 17 Texas investigating ATEN "Black Gold" Coin?
- 18 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 19 **Q.** Who'd you hear about that from?
- 20 **A.** I -- I heard it through -- I believe that it was on their
- 21 website, and then there was another part of the website when I
- 22 kept checking, that they indicated that they had hired somebody
- 23 to take care of the problem.
- 24 **Q.** And when you say "they," do you mean the NAC Foundation?
- 25 **A.** Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. After you learned about that involvement, were there any
- 2 changes? Was there any -- to the product? Specifically was
- 3 | there any references to the "Black Gold" or the oil after you
- 4 learned about that?
- 5 **A.** Not that I was made aware of, no, sir.
- 6 Q. So was the ATEN Coin, did it cease to exist after this
- 7 regulatory interaction?
- 8 A. Well, the ATEN Black Coin was eventually switched into the
- 9 AML Bitcoin. I had a conversation with Mr. Darrow and he
- 10 indicated that the name change was going to be made to get more
- 11 of a name -- the name of the coin out there so it would be
- 12 available to more people.
- 13 Q. All right. Did you have any conversations with
- 14 Mr. Andrade about the price of the coin?
- 15 A. I believe when I had the one conversation with him the
- 16 dollar amount was also brought up as being the initial offering
- 17 | that was going to take place.
- 18 Q. What was the dollar amount he mentioned?
- 19 **A.** One dollar per coin.
- 20 **Q.** And you had bought in at how much per coin?
- 21 A. It varied. It went from initially I think 75 cents per
- 22 coin down to 25 cents per coin at the very end.
- 23 Q. So when you heard a dollar per coin from Mr. Andrade, what
- 24 was your reaction?
- 25 **A.** Well, that would be a good return on investment.

- Q. Did Mr. Andrade represent to you that ATEN Coin would be listed on an exchange?
  - A. Yes, sir, eventually.
- Q. You testified just a few moments ago that ATEN Coin was converted to AML Bitcoin; is that right?
- 6 A. Yes, sir.

3

- Q. Walk us through the change from your perspective, please.
- 8 A. Well, it was -- it was presented to me again that it was 9 for name recognition, and they --
- 10 MR. STEFAN: Objection; foundation.
- 11 **THE WITNESS:** And they --
- 12 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 13 **THE WITNESS:** And they sent a notice to me and I'm

  14 assuming everybody else that we would be able to download the

  15 token into a new wallet where it would store the AML Bitcoin.
- 16 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- Q. What was your understanding of -- did you have a choice in
- 18 | the matter? Could you have said, no, I don't want to convert
- 19 it over?
- 20 A. No, I -- it was something that was going to be done,
- 21 whether you liked it or not.
- 22 | Q. To your knowledge, did ATEN Coin trade after that?
- 23 **A.** Not to my knowledge, no, sir.
- 24 Q. So to your knowledge, was your impression -- what was your
- 25 impression about what would happen with your ATEN Coin if you

- 1 | didn't download the new AML wallet?
- 2 A. You would lose everything.
- 3 **Q.** So did you download the new wallet?
- 4 A. Yes, sir.
- 5 Q. You had 525,000 ATEN Coins. How many -- did you get the
- 6 AML Bitcoin?
- 7 **A.** Yes, it was a one-to-one exchange.
- 8 Q. When you downloaded the wallet did you provide a driver's
- 9 license, a passport or any other additional personal
- 10 | identifying information?
- 11 **A.** No, sir.
- 12 Q. You testified previously that you researched and reviewed
- 13 the ATEN Coin website. Did you review the AML Bitcoin website?
- 14 A. I did look at the website after the conversion. I did not
- 15 see any really significant change in the content.
- 16 Q. At that point when you downloaded the AML Bitcoin wallet
- 17 | and converted the tokens, what was your understanding about
- 18 | whether the technology was complete?
- 19 A. That it was pretty much near completion and ready to be
- 20 traded.
- 21 MR. HIGHSMITH: I think 1441 has already been admitted
- 22 | into evidence. Could we please display 1441.
- 23 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 24 | Q. When you reviewed the new AML Bitcoin website, do you
- 25 | recall seeing references to deals with the Port of San

#### Francisco?

- 2 A. Yes, sir.
- 3 Q. What was your impression about AML Bitcoin based on seeing
- 4 these publications referencing deals?
- 5 **A.** Well, to me that sort of legitified (sic) the coin, that
- 6 | it was going to be used in transactions.
- 7 Q. To your knowledge was it ever used in business
- 8 transactions?
- 9 A. Not to my knowledge, no, sir.
- 10 Q. If this information about the Port of San Francisco was
- 11 put out there by somebody paid by AML Bitcoin, would that have
- 12 influenced your decision to invest? Would that have impacted
- 13 your decision to invest in the project?
- 14 | A. It would have, yes, sir.
- 15 **Q.** Why is that?
- 16 A. Well, if -- again, if somebody was going to be using the
- 17 | Bitcoin for transactions, in my mind I saw everything had been
- completed, and, again, it was going to be ready to be listed on
- 19 the market or the exchange.
- 20 Q. Did you hear from Mr. Darrow or Mr. Andrade or anyone else
- 21 | anything about a Super Bowl ad?
- 22 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 23 Q. Who did you hear it from?
- 24 A. From Brian Darrow.
- 25 **Q.** And what did you hear?

- 1 A. I can't remember what year it was, but a month or two
- 2 | before the Super Bowl, he called and indicated that there was
- 3 going to be an ad for the Bitcoin and to make sure to watch the
- 4 | halftime show so we could see the ad.
- 5 Q. What was your reaction at that time when you heard there
- 6 would be a ad?
- 7 A. Well, again, to me it sounded like the coin was, again,
- 8 ready to be put on an exchange and start to be marketed.
- 9 Q. If that was misleading and they were not actually gonna
- 10 put an ad on the Super Bowl, would that have influenced your
- 11 decision to invest?
- 12 A. It would have.
- 13 **Q.** Why?
- 14 A. Well, if they were saying that it was going to be and
- 15 there wasn't, then some suspicion would have come in.
- 16 Q. So you had 525,000 coins, correct?
- 17 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. Were you hoping that your coins would trade on an
- 19 exchange?
- 20 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 21 Q. And did you visit exchanges to see if they could trade?
- 22 **A.** I did.
- 23 **Q.** What did you see?
- 24 A. It was listed on a couple exchanges, and it was listed for
- 25 | a dollar at a time, but there was very little activity on

```
1 the -- in the coin.
```

- Q. So did you try to sell your coin on the exchange?
- 3 A. No, I didn't.
- $4 \mid \mathbf{Q}$ . Why not?

- 5 | A. Again, because there was no activity. And I had 525,000
- 6 coins. Activity was ranging from a hundred to maybe a thousand
- 7 coins at a time, and for me to sell a thousand out of 525,000
- 8 coins was -- you know, I didn't see any sense in doing that.
- 9 Q. After seeing what was happening on the exchanges, did you
- 10 | think anybody would buy your coin if you sold it?
- 11 A. No, and that's why I didn't try to sell it.
- 12 Q. Are you familiar with the term "market manipulation"?
- 13 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 14 **Q.** You are.
- What's your understanding of what market manipulation is?
- 16 **A.** Well, there may be an individual or an entity that is
- 17 doing some buying and selling to affect the price of a certain
- 18 commodity.
- 19 Q. If you had known that someone affiliated with AML Bitcoin
- 20 was buying and selling coins -- basically selling coins to
- 21 | himself in an effort to raise the volume and the price, would
- 22 that have impacted your decision to invest?
- 23 **A.** Yes, sir.
- MR. STEFAN: Objection, assumes facts not in evidence.
- 25 **THE COURT:** Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

Q. Why?

1

2

3

- 4 A. Well, because -- and, in fact, I saw it, where I would
- 5 check the coin in the morning and it would be a dollar. I'd
- 6 check it at noon and it would be seven dollars with very little
- 7 | activity, maybe 200 coins. And then later at the end of the
- 8 day it would be back to a dollar.
- 9 Q. You invested more than \$156,000; is that right?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. Did you get any of that money back?
- 12 **A.** No, sir.
- 13 **THE COURT:** Mr. Stefan.

## 14 CROSS-EXAMINATION

## 15 BY MR. STEFAN

- 16 Q. Good morning, Dr. Acuna.
- 17 A. Good morning.
- 18 | Q. Okay. I shouldn't take too long.
- 19 **A.** Okay.
- 20 Q. Just to be clear, at the time that you bought the coin you
- 21 understood that -- the ATEN Coin -- you understood that the
- 22 | coin was still under development?
- 23 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 24 | Q. And it hadn't been finalized yet?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- And at the time you bought the coin, you did send in an 1 Q.
- I.D. scan so that you could get your wallet? 2
- Α. Correct. 3

6

7

8

9

10

- And the purchase agreement, I want to return to government 4 5 exhibit 231, please.
  - MR. STEFAN: Go ahead and zoom in on the four paragraphs at the bottom of this page.

Apologize. The four paragraphs, four paragraphs at the bottom of the page, beginning with "whereas, ATEN "Black Gold" Coin does not have any underlying collateral." There we go.

#### BY MR. STEFAN 11

- Okay. Dr. Acuna, can you see the language on that page 12
- saying, Whereas, ATEN "Black Gold" Coin does not have any 13
- underlying collateral? 14
- 15 Yes, sir. Α.
- 16 Would you understand this or is it fair to say that this
- 17 indicates that there is nothing backing the ATEN "Black Gold"
- 18 Coin?
- Yes, sir. 19 Α.
- So no oil is backing it? 20
- At -- well, yes, sir. 21 Α.
- With respect to the following paragraph: Whereas NAC only 22
- guarantees that it will create a digital currency that is AML 23
- compliant, that language would reflect your own understanding 24
- 25 that the coin was still under development at the time that you

1 | signed this purchase agreement?

- A. That's correct.
- 3 MR. STEFAN: Can we turn to the next page and zoom in 4 on paragraphs 11 and 12.
- 5 BY MR. STEFAN

- 6 Q. Mr. Acuna, do you recall having interactions with NAC 7 staff regarding the establishment of your wallet?
- 8 **A.** I do.
- 9 **Q.** Specifically when you look at the language of this
  10 paragraph about how the NAC representative will contact you to
  11 schedule a time and date for wallet installation, was a similar
  12 procedure followed in your individual case?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- Q. And in the course of that appointment that you had to establish your wallet, did you provide I.D. verification?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- 17 Q. How did you do that?
- 18 A. Through a photo that was sent to them over the computer.
- 19 Q. Okay. Did you ever --
- 20 MR. STEFAN: And you can back out of this portion of the exhibit.
- 22 BY MR. STEFAN
- 23 **Q.** Did you ever go through an I.D. verification process strictly on your computer itself?
- 25 A. Yes, I did.

- 1 Q. Without interaction with some, you know, human employee?
- 2 A. Well, there was a human employee at the other end, I'm
- 3 assuming, but it was all done through the computer.
- 4 Q. Okay. Specifically, I'm referring to the establishment of
- 5 | a wallet by using an online application where you would show
- 6 your I.D. and show your face.
- 7 **A.** No, sir.
- 8 Q. Okay. So you didn't go through that particular process?
- 9 A. No, I didn't.
- 10 Q. Your I.D. verification was all performed with I guess
- 11 physical means, like with another human on the other end?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. Okay. And you were able to successfully establish a
- 14 wallet?
- 15 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 16 **Q.** And ATEN Coins were deposited into the wallet?
- 17 A. There was a test run where they sent one coin to the
- 18 | wallet, and once I verified that it was received and the
- 19 balance that was due was sent to the wallet, yes, sir.
- 20 **Q.** And you got the entire balance of the coins you purchased
- 21 | into the wallet?
- 22 **A.** For the amount that was purchased at that time, yes.
- 23 | Q. Mr. Highsmith talked to you about some of the media that
- 24 | was created around the AML Bitcoin ICO. Do you recall that?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- Just to be clear, at the time that you purchased your ATEN 1 Q. Coins in 2014 and 2015 --2
- Right. 3 Α.
- -- that media hadn't been published yet? 4
- 5 That's correct. Α.
- This was all subsequent to your actual purchase of the 6
- coins? 7
- Yes, sir. Α. 8
- You didn't buy any coins during the AML Bitcoin ICO 9
- period? 10
- 11 Α. No, I did not.
- MR. STEFAN: May I have one moment, Your Honor? 12
- 13 THE COURT: Yes.
- MR. STEFAN: Can we display exhibit 1441, and scroll 14
- 15 down, and down one more, and then highlight the paragraph that
- 16 begins "that may all change, and soon."
- 17 BY MR. STEFAN
- 18 0. And --
- MR. STEFAN: Yeah, can you back out of this paragraph 19
- 20 and highlight the following paragraph?
- 21 BY MR. STEFAN
- Dr. Acuna, I believe you had understood this article to 22
- 23 represent that some deal had been struck with the Port of San
- Francisco; is that right? 24
- 25 Α. Not necessarily a deal had been done, but it was in the

process of possibly being done.

- 2 Q. Specifically if you see the second line in that paragraph,
- 3 he and port officials are currently in discussions, you would
- 4 | understand this article to represent that there was discussion
- of the possibility of some sort of project with --
- 6 A. Correct.

1

12

- 7 Q. There was discussion regarding the possibility of a
- 8 project between AML Bitcoin and the port?
- 9 A. That is correct, yes, sir.
- 10 MR. STEFAN: I have nothing further for you,
- 11 Dr. Acuna. Thank you.

## REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 13 **BY MR. HIGHSMITH**
- 14 Q. Very briefly, Dr. Acuna. Can we please put up -- I want
- 15 to talk about the timing of your purchase, because counsel
- 16 asked you some questions about the contract that you signed in
- 17 | 2015. Do you remember that?
- 18 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 19 MR. HIGHSMITH: So can we please display for everybody
- 20 previously admitted 236.
- 21 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 22 **Q.** All right. So here you get an e-mail from ATEN Coin,
- 23 correct?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 **Q.** It copies someone named Bob Parsons. Do you see that?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. Do you know who that is?
- 3 A. I do not.
- 4 Q. And then it's July 23rd, 2014. Do you see that?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. So this is the time that you made your first purchase of
- 7 ATEN Coin, correct?
- 8 A. That is correct, yes, sir.
- 9 Q. And then I believe you previously testified you made
- 10 subsequent purchases periodically after getting calls from
- 11 Mr. Darrow; is that right?
- 12 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And then after you had made all of those purchases, then
- 14 you signed the purchase agreement on August 20th, 2015; is that
- 15 | right?
- 16 **A.** That is correct, yes, sir.
- 17 Q. So when you invested and you looked at the ATEN Coin
- 18 | website, this was before you had seen this contract, correct?
- 19 A. That's correct.
- 20 MR. STEFAN: Objection; leading.
- 21 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 22 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 23 | Q. And so when you went to the ATEN Coin website, did you
- 24 | learn about purported deals with oil companies at the website?
- 25 A. Yes, I did.

# ACUNA - RECROSS / STEFAN

- 1 Q. And did any of those deals come to fruition?
- 2 **A.** Not to my knowledge.
- 3 Q. If you had known that the purported oil contracts or deals
- 4 | were not real, would you have invested?
- 5 **A.** No, sir.
- 6 Q. If you -- moving ahead to the AML Bitcoin section. If you
- 7 | had known that the AML Bitcoin special security features didn't
- 8 exist, would that have impacted how you thought about the
- 9 rollover from ATEN Coin to AML Bitcoin?
- 10 A. It would have, yes, sir.
- 11 Q. And if you had known that the purported deals with
- 12 entities like the Port of San Francisco were not real, would
- 13 | that have impacted your decision to invest?
- 14 **A.** Yes, sir.
- 15 MR. HIGHSMITH: No further questions.
- 16 **THE COURT:** Do you have anything further?
- 17 MR. STEFAN: May I, Your Honor?
- 18 THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

#### 19 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

- 20 BY MR. STEFAN
- 21 Q. To be clear, the Port of San Francisco articles did not
- 22 | have any impact on your decision to invest, because that
- 23 decision had already been made?
- 24 A. For the initial coins, yes, sir. But for any purchases
- 25 | afterwards, it would have.

#### ACUNA - RECROSS / **STEFAN**

- The Port of San Francisco articles were at a timeframe 1 Q.
- later than the time of the purchase of your coins, all of your 2
- ATEN Coins? 3
- All of them. 4 Α.
- 5 All of them. Q.
- 6 So it had no impact on your purchase of your ATEN Coins?
- That would be correct. 7 Α.
  - Along with the Super Bowl ad, correct? Q.
- Correct. 9 Α.

- And along with any other media that was put out around the 10 Q.
- 11 time of the AML Bitcoin ICO, right?
- 12 Α. Correct.
- 13 Q. Because you didn't buy in the AML Bitcoin ICO?
- That's correct. 14 Α.
- MR. STEFAN: Thank you, sir. 15
- 16 THE COURT: You may step down.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: The United States calls Scott Bruffey. 17
- THE COURT: If you could come to the witness stand and 18
- 19 be sworn.
- 20 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please raise your right hand.
- 21 Scott Bruffey,
- 22 called as a witness for the Government, having been duly sworn,
- testified as follows: 23
- THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated and state your 24
- 25 name and spell your last name for the record.

THE WITNESS: Scott Bruffey, B-r-u-f-f-e-y. 1

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

- BY MR. HIGHSMITH 3
- Mr. Bruffey, where are you from? 4 Q.
- 5 Gaithersburg, Maryland. A.
- Mr. Bruffey, what do you do for a living? 6 Q.
- I am a, right now, tobacconist and also freelance video 7 Α.
- tech. 8

- Are you familiar with cryptocurrency? 9 Q.
- Α. Yes. 10
- 11 What is cryptocurrency to you? Q.
- Cryptocurrency is any decentralized digital currency or 12
- asset that is traded through peer-to-peer transactions and 13
- protected by cryptography. Encryption, excuse me. 14
- 15 Let me try to understand a couple of those terms.
- 16 What's encryption?
- 17 Encryption is when something is secured with a password so Α.
- it's not so readily accessible. 18
- Is this conducted using computers and wallets on 19
- 20 computers?
- Traditionally, yes. 21 A.
- Are you familiar with a cryptocurrency called AML Bitcoin? 22 Q.
- 23 Yes. Α.
- How did you first become familiar with it? 24 Q.
- 25 Α. A customer came into our store and brought me a newspaper

- 1 article about the AML coin because he knew that I was heavily
- 2 | into trading cryptocurrency. So I looked it up and learned
- 3 about it from there.
- 4 Q. Do you remember the publication of the article?
- 5 A. I believe it was the Washington Times.
- 6 MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we please display for the Court,
- 7 | the witness and the parties exhibit 852.
- 8 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 9 Q. Do you see the article in front of you titled AML Bitcoin
- 10 Strides Onto The World Stage, Upgraded Cryptography Can Defeat
- 11 | Sanctions Evasion?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 **Q.** Do you see it's written by Peter Ferrara, published on
- 14 Tuesday, October 3rd, 2017?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 | Q. You see at the very top it's the Washington Times?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 MR. HIGHSMITH: Let's scroll through to the subsequent
- 19 pages quickly, please, page 2, 3.
- 20 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 21 Q. Is this the article you read when your customer came into
- 22 | your store and brought you an article?
- 23 A. Yes, that looks familiar.
- 24 **Q.** Is this a fair and accurate depiction of the article you
- 25 read?

```
A. Yes.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit, Your Honor.

MS. DENT: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. 852 will be admitted.

(Trial Exhibit 852 received in evidence.)

MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you.

Let's publish that to the jury, please.

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- Q. All right. So this is the title, AML Bitcoin Strides Onto The World Stage, written by Peter Ferrara on October 2017. So is that about when you were first introduced to AML Bitcoin?
- A. That's correct, yes.
- Q. Let's go to the second page and focus on the fourth paragraph, please.

So this paragraph reads, or it starts: That is why AML Bitcoin has already made inroads in so many places where old Bitcoin would never be welcome. Such as the Port of San Francisco, which has already been in discussions with AML Bitcoin officials to enable their use -- to enable use of their new digital currency in the seaport's payment structures.

Back when you read this article, what was your reaction to that?

A. That this was a very positive development that was hopefully going to help bring cryptocurrency into a more legitimate arena. Up unto that point it was still wild west.

- Q. Did this cause you to be interested and excited about investing in AML Bitcoin?
- **A.** Oh, absolutely.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

evolution of cryptocurrency.

Q. Focusing on the next sentence it reads: That would include payment of seaport passage and docking fees and payment to other institutions and enterprises buying and selling through the seaport.

What was your reaction to that when you first read it?

- A. That not only was it being considered seriously, but that they had already found applications and uses for it that would continue the momentum and tide of acceptability for cryptocurrency in general.
- Q. Let's focus now on the last paragraph on this page.

The last paragraph starts: The new regulatory-compliant AML Bitcoin is now poised to spread, as well, to other major seaports up and down the west coast from San Diego to Los Angeles-Long Beach, to Oakland, to Seattle.

What was your reaction to that at the time?

A. You know, just a continuation of optimism. That this was

- 1 very encouraging. This was implying or actually just stating
- 2 that the relationships had already started to become
- 3 established and that this was the beginning of the final
- 4 | acceptability for cryptocurrency.
- 5 Q. The next sentence starts: Indeed, the breakthrough is
- 6 going international. As AML Bitcoin officials have already
- 7 been in Panama discussing payments with their digital currency
- 8 regarding the international trade traversing the Panama Canal.
- 9 What was your reaction to that statement back in 2017?
- 10 **A.** The same thing, continuation of positive momentum.
- 11 Q. Did this article influence your decision to invest?
- 12 A. Absolutely.
- 13 **Q.** Why?
- 14 A. Because as I stated, it pretty much laid the framework for
- 15 | the beginning of acceptance for cryptocurrency into traditional
- 16 | financial circles.
- 17 | Q. After you read this article, did you go to the AML Bitcoin
- 18 website?
- 19 **A.** Yes, I did.
- 20 Q. Did you conduct additional research in AML Bitcoin?
- 21 A. Yes, I did.
- 22 | Q. What else did you -- what else did you consult when you
- 23 were doing your research?
- 24 A. I followed the social media that was being put out by the
- 25 AML organization, Twitter feeds, Facebook feeds. I Googled

- 1 around and found as many different sources and different media
- 2 outlets that were talking about the technology and talking
- 3 about the AML Bitcoin, as I could, to try to do due diligence
- 4 | in learning about, as much about this new breakthrough as I
- 5 could.
- 6 Q. And based on the articles and your research on the AML
- 7 | Bitcoin website and the AML Bitcoin-sponsored communication
- 8 channels, did you decide to invest?
- 9 **A.** I did.
- 10 MR. HIGHSMITH: Let's show the witness, the Court and
- 11 | the parties exhibit 875, please.
- 12 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 13 Q. Is this an e-mail to you from NAC Foundation on
- 14 December 9th, 2017?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 **Q.** Is it a fair and accurate depiction of your e-mail?
- 17 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 18 MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit 875.
- 19 MS. DENT: No objection, Your Honor.
- 20 **THE COURT:** 875 will be admitted.
- 21 (Trial Exhibit 875 received in evidence.)
- 22 MR. HIGHSMITH: Can we please publish the top?
- 23 Perfect. Thank you.
- 24 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 25 Q. All right. How much money did you invest in AML Bitcoin

- 1 | after reading the articles and doing the research?
- 2 **A.** This primarily was a hundred thousand dollars.
- 3 | Q. And in order to do that, do you recall where you wired the
- 4 money?
- 5 A. It was wired to this particular foundation. I'd have to
- 6 look at the invoice for the specifics.
- 7 | Q. All right. Well, you mentioned an invoice. Did you get
- 8 an invoice?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we please take this down and
- 11 show the witness exhibit 1502, and if we could zoom it in for
- 12 him, please.
- 13 **BY MR. HIGHSMITH**
- 14 Q. Is this the invoice you got?
- 15 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 16 Q. And this is an invoice from NAC Foundation, LLC, 7495 West
- 17 | Azure Drive in Las Vegas?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And is this a fair and accurate depiction of the invoice
- 20 you got?
- 21 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 22 MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit.
- 23 **THE COURT:** 1502.
- MS. DENT: No objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: 1502 will be admitted.

1 (Trial Exhibit 1502 received in evidence.)

2 MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- 4 Q. We're looking at the top. So this invoice is for your
- 5 | hundred thousand dollar investment, correct?
- 6 **A.** Yes.

- 7 Q. Dated December 8th, 2017, shortly after you read the
- 8 newspaper article, correct?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 MR. HIGHSMITH: Can we actually blow up the second
- 11 half of this page starting with Comerica Bank. Great. Thank
- 12 you very much.
- 13 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 14 Q. So did this invoice contain instructions for where you
- 15 | were supposed to wire your money?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. And were you supposed to wire it to the law office of Neil
- 18 Sunkin?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. A professional corporation client trust account for NAC
- 21 | Foundation?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- 23 Q. And did you wire the money?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: We can take that down.

#### BY MR. HIGHSMITH

1

13

14

15

16

- Q. Now, after you -- or at the same time you were considering
  making this big purchase, were you hoping that the product
  would be listed on an exchange?
- 5 A. Absolutely.
- 6 Q. Please explain why.
- 7 A. Well, the benefit of any investment is to get some sort of
  8 return on that investment, and that was my primary interest is
  9 that this was such a game changer in the crypto sphere, that
  10 anybody that was able to get in on the ground floor would be
  11 able to capitalize on its growth once acceptance and adoption
  12 started to occur.
  - MR. HIGHSMITH: All right. Okay, could we please show the witness only exhibit 1504, and the Court and the parties, as well. And can we zoom in on the top half of the page, all the text, please. Thank you very much.

#### 17 BY MR. HIGHSMITH

- 18 Q. Is this an e-mail exchange you had with info@AMLBitcoin?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 Q. Spanning December 10th, 2017 to December 16th, 2017?
- 21 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 22 **Q.** Fair and accurate depiction of your e-mail exchange?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Move to admit.
- 25 MS. DENT: No objection.

1504 will be admitted. 1 THE COURT: (Trial Exhibit 1504 received in evidence.) 2 MR. HIGHSMITH: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 Can we publish this, please? 4 5 BY MR. HIGHSMITH 6 Q. All right. So on December 10th you write: I'm considering a large purchase, and I had a question: Assuming I 7 decide to sell some of my coins off after the 15th, where would 8 I be able to do so? Are there any exchanges onboard with 9 handling post-ICO sales? And if so, which ones? 10 11 What were you asking there? Basically once we get past the initial coin offering, 12 13 which is what ICO is, what marketplaces and what exchanges are currently in place to handle the -- and process the sales and 14 15 transactions of this coin. 16 And so that's what you testified about just moments ago, correct? 17 Α. Yes. 18 And this is important so you can get a return on your 19 investment, right? 20 21 A. Exactly. 22 And you were hoping for a return on your investment? 23 Certainly. Α. Do you see there a response? 24

25

Α.

Yes.

- Q. They say, six days later, thank you for your message. You can purchase the token now at AMLtoken.com. The token will be listed on HitBTC exchange on December 25th, 2017. Christmas.
  - When the AML Bitcoin becomes available, which we anticipate will take about six months, then before you can exchange your AML token to AML Bitcoins, you will have to first get your certified digital identity.
    - Do you see that?
- 9 **A.** Yes.

4

5

6

7

- 10 Q. Let's start with the second sentence: The token will be
- 11 listed on HitBTC on Christmas?
- 12 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 13 Q. What did you understand that meant?
- 14 A. I took that to mean that what I had purchased would be
- available for trading, even though they had not yet flipped
- 16 | them into the actual coin, that it would be available for
- 17 | manipulation and sales.
- 18 Q. All right. So you got that on December 16th. So that's
- 19 about -- it would be available nine days later; is that right?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- 21 **Q.** Was it?
- 22 A. I don't recall seeing it, no.
- 23 \ Q. Were you checking the various exchanges to see if your AML
- 24 | Bitcoin could be traded?
- 25 A. Absolutely.

# BRUFFEY - DIRECT /

- And to your knowledge it was not traded on HitBTC? 1 Q.
- Not that I ever found evidence of. 2 Α.
- At least not around that time, correct? 3 Q.
- That -- no. Yes. 4 Α.
- Let's turn to the next sentence. When AML Bitcoin becomes 5 Q.
- available, which we anticipate will take about six months. 6
- Let's stop there. 7
- When you made your purchase, did you understand you were 8 buying tokens? 9
- Yes. 10 Α.
- 11 And what did you -- explain, please, what you understood
- you were buying and what would happen with what you were 12
- 13 buying.
- The understanding that I had from reading around the edges 14
- of the articles was that the underpinning technology for the 15
- 16 crypto had been established, but that there were still some
- 17 pieces left to get it working with exchanges and such.
- 18 What did you understand would happen with your token in Q.
- the future? 19
- That there would be -- everybody would have to have their 20
- own specific wallet, which is standard in all cryptocurrency, 21
- and that the coins would then be -- the tokens would be 22
- 23 converted into coins when that final piece had been established
- and getting it able to integrate with exchanges. 24
- All right. So your understanding was that you could trade 25 Q.

your token for a coin when all the tech was in place? 1

Uh-huh. Α.

2

7

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- To your knowledge, was all the tech ever in place in the 3 coin? 4
- 5 I don't know. I mean, I was assured that it was, but Α. there's a point when the sheep have to trust the shepherd. 6
  - Well, what do you mean by that? Was -- the question is: Q. To your knowledge, was the full tech ever in place?
- To my knowledge, yes, based upon what was being offered 9 for sale. If you're telling me that you're selling me a car, 10 11 I'm assuming you're going to give me a car.
- Did you ever see it functioning in place? 12
- 13 Α. No.

This is about the point for our break. THE COURT:

MR. HIGHSMITH: Very good, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Members of the jury, we're going to take our second break. Remember my admonition. Do not discuss this amongst yourselves or with anyone else. We'll be back in 15 minutes.

(The jury exits the courtroom.)

Okay. We're out of the presence of the THE COURT: jury.

Just so we have an understanding about how we're going to proceed in the final part of this, once -- I don't know what the timing is for completing this witness, but so that there is

```
no further back and forth, if there is a witness the
 1
     government's prepared to call, you can call them, get started,
 2
    but the defense I will not look to to have to cross-examine.
 3
     That witness will have to come back tomorrow to be
 4
 5
     cross-examined.
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Understood, Your Honor. We'll be
 6
 7
     calling Brian Darling. We're now moving speedily.
              THE COURT: Okay. And then even if they're done, you
 8
     don't have to cross-examine them until tomorrow. We'll just
 9
     call it a day.
10
11
              MR. SHEPARD: I appreciate that. Thank you, Your
12
     Honor.
              THE COURT: Okay. All right.
13
          (A recess was taken from 12:03 p.m. to 12:17 p.m.)
14
              THE COURT: Okay. Bring the jury out.
15
16
          (The jury enters the courtroom.)
              THE COURT: The jury is present, and Mr. Highsmith,
17
     you can proceed.
18
19
              MR. HIGHSMITH:
                              Thank you, Your Honor.
     BY MR. HIGHSMITH
20
          All right. Where we left off, we were discussing your
21
     Q.
     funding, your investment. We looked at an e-mail exchange
22
23
    between you and AML Bitcoin and it looks like you sent your
     e-mail before you funded your purchase. So did the -- did
24
```

their representation that the coin would soon be listed on an

- exchange imminently in a matter of days or weeks, was that 1
- influential on your decision to invest, or was that important 2
- to you? 3
- Yes, it was. 4 Α.
- 5 Why is that? Q.
- Well, because it showed that there would be some 6
- 7 marketplace that I could actually then start to liquidate the
- investment once it began to show a return. 8
- So in terms of other things you relied on to make your 9 Q.
- investment, were the representations about impending deals 10
- 11 important to your decision to invest?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Ο. Why is that?
- Because once again, it showed that there was a purpose. 14 Α.
- 15 An investment that doesn't -- or a currency that doesn't have a
- 16 use is pointless. It's worthless. But by having an
- 17 already-existing marketplace or purpose for it showed that
- there was value that we could expect to increase. 18
- And then based on your research, your research of the 19
- website, your review of the newspaper articles, your review of 20
- the social media accounts, what was your understanding about 21
- how complete the AML Bitcoin technology was? 22
- 23 That it was complete. It was developed. It was done. Ιt
- was ready for release. 24
- If, in fact, the AML Bitcoin technology was not 25

- substantially complete or was essentially nonexistent, would 1 that have affected your decision to invest? 2
- Yes. Α. 3
- Why? 4 Q.
- 5 Because I was not interested in participating in a Α. startup, I was interested in purchasing a completed product. 6
- 7 Can you please just -- why weren't you interested in Q. investing in a startup? 8
- Because I have no quarantee, without knowing how they're 9 Α. developing it, without knowing more about their team, without 10 11 knowing what the chances of success are, I'm not ready to just invest blindly in that. But if the product is completed and 12
- it's already been tested and vetted, then, okay, that's a 13
- different animal. 14
- So you testified earlier that AML Bitcoin was not listed 15 16 on HitBTC by Christmas. Did it eventually get listed on some 17 sort of an exchange?
- I remember a couple years later seeing it on a -- an Asian 18 Α. exchange called L-Bank that -- sorry. No, that's it. 19
- What do you recall about the volume of trading? 20 Q.
- That it was very unusual. 21 Α.
- What does that mean? 22 Q.
- The volume, normally with any kind of trading you begin to 23 Α. see patterns and parameters that are predictable, and this is 24
- 25 in any market. On L-Bank it was very, very, very low,

# BRUFFEY - DIRECT /

- extremely undervalued, like 10th of a penny, and then suddenly 1
- it shoots up to \$10. Extremely strange pricing practices. 2
- Did you try to sell your AML Bitcoin and get some return Q. 3
- on your investment? 4
- 5 Α. Yes.
- 6 Q. What happened?
- Well, I put it on -- I missed that big surge, and when I 7 Α.
- put some on at a very low offer price and it never sold. 8
- Are you familiar with the term "market manipulation"? 9 Q.
- 10 Α. Yes.
- Or are you familiar with the term "wash trading"? 11 Q.
- Not that term, but the first, yes. 12 Α.
- What's your understanding of what market manipulation is? 13 0.
- That's when you have individuals through various means and 14 Α.
- 15 practices manipulating the marketplace to give the impression
- 16 that there is more interest or more success than actually is
- happening. 17
- 18 If you had known at the time you invested that someone
- affiliated with AML Bitcoin would sell AML Bitcoins to himself, 19
- 20 buy and sell Bitcoins to himself in an effort to drive up the
- volume and the price of the coin, would that have impacted your 21
- decision to invest? 22
- 23 Certainly. Α.
- 24 Q. Why?
- Because this tells me that there's no real market. 25 Α. This

- tells me there's no real value. This tells me there's 1
- manipulation going on that is not exactly trustworthy. 2
- So you testified a little bit earlier that you visited the Q. 3
- AML Bitcoin website. 4
- 5 A. Huh.
- Is that right? 6 Q.
- 7 Yes. Α.
- Did you visit it once, or did you visit it repeatedly? 8 Q.
- Α. Repeatedly. 9
- This is previously admitted Exhibit 10 MR. HIGHSMITH:
- 11 626. Could we please publish that to the jury, and could you
- please turn to page 2. 12
- BY MR. HIGHSMITH 13
- All right. Do you see here, is this consistent with the 14 Q.
- website that you saw? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- Do you see right in the middle of the page where it says, 17 Q.
- 18 AML Bitcoin is the world's first AML/KYC/Patriot Act coin
- 19 that's compliant with biometric identification? Is that
- something you saw back in 2017-2018? 20
- Absolutely. 21 A.
- What is biometric identification, if you know? 22
- 23 Biometric identification is the process where specific Α.
- facial or biological characteristics of an individual are 24
- 25 stored that are difficult, if not impossible, to duplicate.

- Q. So is it your understanding that they were claiming that
- 2 | biometric identification be stored in the blockchain?
- 3 **A.** Yes, in some capacity.
- 4 Q. And so is biometric identification like what I have on my
- 5 | iPhone where my face unlocks the phone?
- 6 A. Yes, it is.
- 7 **Q.** And is it your understanding they were representing that
- 8 | that could be stored on their software?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. And on their cryptocurrency software?
- 11 **A.** Potentially, yes.
- 12 Q. Did you ever see a final product that had AML Bitcoin
- 13 | cryptocurrency with biometric identifiers built into its
- 14 blockchain?
- 15 **A.** No.
- MR. HIGHSMITH: Could we please take a look at page 3.
- 17 At the very bottom of page 3, could we highlight that at the
- 18 very bottom.
- 19 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 20 Q. So here the website represents AML Bitcoin was created
- 21 with anti-money laundering, anti-terrorism and theft-resistant
- 22 properties built into the code of the coin. Do you see that?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. Did you understand that to mean that all of those security
- 25 features were built into its software or its blockchain?

A. Yes.

- 2 Q. If you had known when you invested that the ante-theft
- 3 | features were not built into the code of the cryptocurrency,
- 4 | not built into the blockchain, would that have influenced your
- 5 decision to invest?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 **Q.** Why?
- 8 A. Because that was the entire value. I mean there are
- 9 plenty of crypto coins out there that, you know, are just
- 10 crypto coins. This one was offering a whole new host of
- 11 | features and advantages that the industry had been crying out
- 12 for that no one had yet provided and here was the first one
- providing it. This is the game changer. This is what we're
- 14 | waiting for.
- 15 Q. Did you ever see evidence of the specific anti-money
- 16 laundering features being built into the code or the blockchain
- 17 of the cryptocurrency?
- 18 **A.** No.
- 19 Q. Did you ever see evidence that biometric identifiers were
- 20 built into the blockchain or the code of the AML Bitcoin
- 21 cryptocurrency?
- 22 **A.** No.
- 23 Q. Did you ever get a single penny of your hundred thousand
- 24 dollars back?
- 25 **A.** No.

No further questions. 1 MR. HIGHSMITH:

> THE COURT: Ms. Dent.

#### **CROSS-EXAMINATION**

BY MS. DENT 4

2

- Good morning, Mr. Bruffey. 5 Q.
- Good morning. 6 Α.
- I guess it might be afternoon by now. 7 Q.
- Before you came here to testify today, you had a few 8 opportunities to speak with various members of the 9
- prosecutorial team, right? 10
- Uh-huh. 11 Α.
- And I believe on December 23rd you spoke with Agent 12
- Zartman. Do you remember that? 13
- Α. I do. 14
- And you described yourself as a cryptocurrency enthusiast, 15
- 16 right?
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 And you said that you have invested in cryptocurrency
- projects for the past 10 years. 19
- 20 Α. Uh-huh.
- Is that correct? 21
- 22 Α. Yes.
- So you're not new to cryptocurrency. This is something 23
- you've been doing kinda like a hobby; is that right? 24
- 25 On various levels, yes. Α.

- Would you describe yourself as someone who does their 1 Q.
- homework before they purchase a cryptocurrency? 2
- Α. Yes. 3
- I want to go back to exhibit 1504 that Mr. Highsmith 4
- 5 showed you a little while ago. In that document, what you
- testified about this document, that you -- that you were told 6
- that the token would be listed on HitBTC -- on the HitBTC 7
- exchange on December 25th, and you were disappointed that it 8
- wasn't. Do you know why there was a delay? 9
- I do not. 10 Α.
- 11 And the token did get listed on HitBTC eventually, didn't Q.
- it? 12
- I don't recall if it did. 13 Α.
- You don't recall that your token got listed on HitBTC? 14 Q.
- I don't recall seeing it, no. 15 Α.
- 16 Q. Would you have checked something like that?
- 17 Right. I would have checked it. I have no record that it Α.
- If you have a record, I'd love to hear it. 18
- Let's go back to your -- well, actually, there's one more 19
- 20 thing I want to go through with you on this document.
- THE COURT: Could you move your microphone a little 21
- down? 22
- 23 MS. DENT: Sure.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- BY MS. DENT 25

- Q. You mentioned when you were talking about the lack of an exchange, that you never would have invested in a startup. And when Mr. Highsmith asked you why, you explained that you just don't know the chances of its success?
- 5 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. When you invested in AML Bitcoin, how old was that
  7 project?
- A. Um, I would say, based on what I remember, it was within a year of development.
- 10 **Q.** I'm sorry?
- 11 A. Within a year of the development.
- 12 Q. Right.
- 13 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. So it was the -- they were having an ICO, weren't they?
- 15 **A.** Yeah.
- Okay. And wouldn't you have done some research and found out that it was a new project and that they were just starting up and that they had only been working on the coin for a few months? I mean, you said you invested in cryptocurrency all
- 20 the time.
- 21 A. Yeah, of course. But that doesn't change the fact that
  22 they were promising me things that were finished. That's the
  23 difference. I don't care if it takes you three months to three
- 24 years --
- 25 **Q.** Okay. That's --

1 THE COURT: Sir, let's not --

BY MS. DENT

- 3 Q. Let's not fight about it.
- 4 THE COURT: Wait. Now, ask a new question. Question.
- 5 BY MS. DENT
- 6 Q. Didn't you say that you would never invest in a startup
- 7 | because you wouldn't know what the chances of the success of
- 8 | the product were?
- 9 A. If it was a startup and it identified itself as such, yes.
- 10 But that was not the case here. They identified it as being
- 11 | completed and ready, and that's a huge distinction.
- 12 Q. Okay. Let's go -- let's talk about that statement for a
- 13 second.
- 14 **A.** Okay.
- 15 Q. I think after you met with Agent Zartman, a few weeks
- 16 later you met with members of the prosecutorial team, the
- 17 prosecutors who are sitting behind me; is that correct?
- 18 A. Uh-huh. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And you also told them that you've been interested in
- 20 cryptocurrency for many years, right?
- 21 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 22 Q. And you said ... I'm sorry. I lost my train of thought.
- You told them that you learned about cryptocurrency when
- 24 someone came into the cigar shop where you go and brought you
- 25 an article.

- 1 A. Uh-huh.
- 2 **Q.** Is that right?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. And Mr. Highsmith showed you one version --
- 5 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 6 Q. -- of that article. There's another version which I'm not
- 7 | even sure exactly what the difference is, but I'm gonna show
- 8 you the one that's number 3085.
- 9 **A.** Okay.
- 10 Q. And I believe you told the government that this was the --
- 11 | you believe this was the article that you read. It was in the
- 12 | Washington Times?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And you told the prosecutors that you read the article,
- and it gave you the impression that AML Bitcoin had an existing
- 16 deal in place with the Port of San Francisco; isn't that
- 17 | correct?
- 18 **A.** That they were discussing it, yes.
- 19 Q. No, that's not what I asked.
- 20 **A.** Okay.
- 21 Q. I said, didn't you tell the prosecutors that you were
- 22 under the impression that there was an existing deal, and that
- 23 | that's why you purchased AML Bitcoin?
- 24 A. Oh, okay. Yes.
- 25 Q. Okay. Now, if we can look at the bottom of page 2 of that

- 1 article going on to the top of page 3, it says: That is why
- 2 AML Bitcoin has already made inroads in so many places where
- 3 old Bitcoin never would have been welcome, such as the Port of
- 4 | San Francisco, which has already been in discussions with AML
- 5 Bitcoin officials to enable use of their digital currency.
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 | Q. You agree that that's what this says?
- 8 **A.** Yes.
- 9 **Q.** You run a business, correct?
- 10 A. I'm a sales clerk within a business. I don't run it or
- 11 own it.
- 12 Q. Okay. But you're in the business world?
- 13 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. Wouldn't you agree that having discussions with someone
- about a project is very different from having a deal in place?
- 16 | A. I would say that it is different, but it still sets a
- 17 | precedent that I thought it was of value, certainly.
- 18 Q. But it's not the same thing, is it?
- 19 **A.** No.
- 20 Q. In fact, it's very different, isn't it? It's the
- 21 difference between having a deal and not having a deal,
- 22 correct?
- 23 A. It's different, yes.
- 24 Q. Thank you.
- 25 All right. Let's fast-forward a little bit.

- When you spoke to Agent Zartman in December you told him 1 that your AML Bitcoin tokens were, in fact, later converted to 2
- AML Bitcoins when the project went live. 3
- Uh-huh. 4 A.
- 5 Is that right? Q.
- 6 Α. Yes.
- And that would have been in 2020? 7 Q.
- Yes. 8 Α.
- At some point did you have to go through I.D. verification 9 Q. to get your tokens converted to coins? 10
- 11 Α. As the same you do on every crypto exchange, yes.
- Okay. But when Mr. Highsmith asked you if the tech was 12
- ever really in place, you said, "no," didn't you? 13
- Because it wasn't the same thing. It's not the same 14 Α.
- thing. Just because I'm using the identity verification to get 15
- 16 this on the account is not the same thing as actually using
- 17 that token in the real world, processing it. I never saw it
- implemented in that capacity at all. It's a very different 18
- thing. 19
- Let's look at exhibit 3083. And do you recognize this as 20
- an e-mail exchange that you had with the AML Bitcoin support 21
- team? 22
- 23 Uh-huh. Α.
- And that was on October 8th, 2020, correct? 24
- Uh-huh. 25 Α.

- 1 Q. It looks like you were having some trouble downloading and
- 2 | installing your wallets, and you reached out and asked them for
- 3 assistance; isn't that right?
- 4 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 5 Q. And they responded with some suggestions and some help?
- 6 A. Uh-huh.
- 7 | Q. And then you responded. I'm going to read that, the very
- 8 | last message in the chain, but it's on the top of the document.
- 9 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 10 Q. Your suggestion worked great. It also helped when I
- 11 | realized that I was trying to load a backup from my old token
- 12 | wallet and not the backup from my coin wallet.
- 13 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 14 Q. Big difference. Big difference. You guys are awesome. I
- 15 hope your efforts to keep tweaking and growing the coin are
- 16 | going well.
- 17 **A.** Uh-huh.
- 18 Q. That's what you had to say about AML Bitcoin in 2020,
- 19 correct?
- 20 **A.** Sure.
- 21 MS. DENT: I have no more questions.
- 22 **REDIRECT EXAMINATION**
- 23 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 24 Q. Do you want to clarify your answer?
- 25 **A.** Yeah. Just because you change the color on the paint of

```
BRUFFEY - REDIRECT /
```

```
the car doesn't mean that you've made a new car or that the car
 1
     works. Tweaking is not the same as this thing is done and
 2
     ready to qo. There's a very big distinction there that I find
 3
     unfortunate that it wasn't realized.
 4
              MR. HIGHSMITH: No further questions.
 5
              THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Dent?
 6
                         I have nothing further, Your Honor.
 7
              MS. DENT:
              THE COURT: Very well; you may step down.
 8
              THE WITNESS:
 9
                            Thank you.
              MR. WARD:
                         The United States calls Brian Darling.
10
11
              THE COURT:
                         If you could come forward to the witness
     stand and be sworn.
12
13
              MS. DENT: Your Honor, I move to admit the two
     exhibits that I showed the witness. They were numbered 3083
14
15
     and 3085.
              MR. HIGHSMITH: Objection; hearsay.
16
              THE COURT: I thought you were just using those for
17
18
     impeachment purposes, but ...
              MR. HIGHSMITH: They can't be admitted on a collateral
19
20
     matter.
21
              THE COURT:
                          Yes.
22
              MS. DENT:
                         Okay.
              THE COURT: I'm afraid overruled.
23
     111
24
     ///
25
```

### BRIAN DARLING,

- called as a witness for the Government, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
- THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated. Can you state your name for the record and spell your last name?
- 6 THE WITNESS: Brian Darling, D-a-r-l-i-n-g.
- 7 BY MR. WARD

- 8 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Darling.
- 9 A. Good afternoon.
- 10 Q. Mr. Darling, in the fall of 2017 where did you work?
- 11 A. I worked for a company Liberty --
- 12 THE COURT: Can you slow down a little? I didn't hear
- 13 | what you said. Can you repeat it?
- 14 THE WITNESS: Liberty Government Affairs. It's a
- 15 company I set up myself.
- 16 BY MR. WARD
- 17 Q. Were you the chief executive of Liberty Government
- 18 Affairs?
- 19 **A.** Yes, I'm the founder and president.
- 20 **Q.** What type of company is Liberty Government Affairs?
- 21 A. My company does government relations, we do public
- 22 | relations and some lobbying.
- 23 **Q.** Where are you based?
- 24 A. We're base said in Washington, D.C.
- 25 \ Q. In 2017 were you hired to promote a cryptocurrency called

### AML Bitcoin?

A. Yes.

1

- 3 Q. Who hired you?
- 4 **A.** I worked with Jack Abramoff to work in that project.
- Q. When Mr. Abramoff approached you about AML Bitcoin, what
- 6 | did he tell you?
- 7 | A. He told me that this was a cryptocurrency that would kind
- 8 of have improvements on what we see in Bitcoin, so the concern
- 9 about Bitcoin is that it didn't have a lot of customer laws.
- 10 It didn't comply with a lot of the know-your-customer laws. So
- 11 this was a next step in something that would be compliant with
- 12 know-your-customer laws and have some -- some technology
- 13 embedded in the cryptocurrency that would make it more
- 14 | acceptable to government to allow it to exist, because at that
- 15 | time there was a lot of talk about Bitcoin being used by
- 16 | governments and individuals for nefarious purposes.
- 17 Q. What did Mr. Abramoff want to hire you and your company to
- 18 do?
- 19 A. Well, I did public relations and helped talk to third
- 20 parties to generate op-eds to talk about different issues.
- 21 Q. When you say you helped to generate op-eds, did you work
- 22 | with writers to write articles that could be published?
- 23 A. Yes, I worked with writers that I had a relationship with
- 24 | to get op-eds published.
- 25 Q. Before you began working with AML Bitcoin, did you have

conversations with Marcus Andrade?

A. No.

1

- 3 Q. After you agreed to go to work for AML Bitcoin, did you
- 4 | then have conversations with Mr. Andrade?
- 5 A. E-mail communications afterwards. But, no, I didn't have
- 6 | much communication with him at all.
- 7 Q. When -- before you began working promoting AML Bitcoin,
- 8 did you have an interest in buying AML Bitcoin?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 | Q. And did you speak to Mr. Andrade about purchasing AML
- 11 Bitcoin?
- 12 A. I had e-mail -- I had some information e-mailed to me. I
- 13 looked on the website, read up on the website, read up about
- 14 the cryptocurrency, and I had spoken to Jack Abramoff about
- 15 buying the cryptocurrency because I was very interested in it.
- 16 Q. You said that you went on the website. Was that the AML
- 17 | Bitcoin website?
- 18 A. Yeah, on the AML Bitcoin website they had a description of
- 19 the cryptocurrency and how -- how it was going to work, the
- 20 | fact that they had an initial token offering. They were
- 21 offering tokens before they were going to be converted in the
- 22 | future to coins that could be traded on exchanges. And they
- 23 | had a white paper that was very detailed about the technology
- 24 and how it was KML and know-your-customer compliant, AML
- 25 | compliant.

There was something that had all of this embedded technology that would help to make it more acceptable to

government officials going forward.

- Q. Other than the website and the white paper, do you remember looking at anything else and doing any other research before you purchased AML Bitcoin shares?
- **A.** I relied quite a bit on the white paper on the website.
- Q. All right. And based on what you were told, what did you understand was the state of the security and the anti-money laundering, know-your-customer technology?
- A. Other than what was on the website, before I -- I'm sorry.

  After I purchased it, I had to go through a process of going

  online with an individual that worked for the company. I held

  up my license and looked into my computer camera, and that was

  some of the screening that was done after I purchased the
- 17 Q. I see. You showed a picture of your driver's license --
- 18 **A.** Yes.

tokens.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

- 19 **Q.** -- and took a picture of it?
- 20 **A.** Yeah.
- 21 **Q.** And then you submitted that to the company?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. Was that the NAC Foundation?
- 24 **A.** Yes.
- 25 Q. How much AML Bitcoin did you initially purchase?

#### REDIRECT /

- I wired \$20,000 to purchase AML Bitcoin tokens on one 1 Α. occasion, and then I sent a subsequent wire after that for I
- don't remember exactly. It was either 2500 or \$5000 for a 3
- second -- on a second wire. 4
- 5 MR. WARD: Could we pull up for the witness and the
- parties exhibit 666. 6
- BY MR. HIGHSMITH 7

- Mr. Darling, did you review this document prior to your 8
- testimony here? 9
- Yes, I did. 10 Α.
- 11 And the handwritten line on the top, is that your
- handwriting? 12
- 13 Α. That is my signature.
- All right. And overall, what is this document? 14
- This was my purchase agreement that I signed and helped 15
- 16 fill out. As you can see, I filled in those numbers on the
- 17 document. And that was the agreement prior to me wiring the
- money to purchase the tokens. 18
- When you reviewed this document, is this a fair and 19
- accurate representation of the purchase agreement you signed? 20
- 21 Α. Yes.
- MR. WARD: At this point, the government would move to 22
- admit exhibit 666. 23
- MR. STEFAN: No objection, Your Honor. 24
- THE COURT: 666 will be admitted. 25

1 (Trial Exhibit 666 received in evidence.)

2 MR. WARD: If we could just blow up the top paragraph.

- BY MR. WARD
- 4 Q. Is that your handwriting where it says Brian Darling?
- 5 **A.** Yes.

- 6 Q. And it says effective as of August 1st, 2017.
- 7 Is that when you purchased these \$20,000 in the AML
- 8 Bitcoin?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 MR. WARD: All right. We can back out of that,
- 11 though.
- Can you do the recitals section, please? Can we blow that
- 13 up?
- 14 BY MR. WARD
- 15 Q. You see the statement where it says, NAC has developed and
- 16 is now upgrading a new coin known as the AML Bitcoin?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 | Q. Was that your understanding of the state of the technology
- 19 and what the company was doing?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- MR. WARD: Let's back out of that, please. Look at
- 22 the purchase price real quick if we could.
- 23 BY MR. HIGHSMITH
- 24 Q. Again, Mr. Darling, that confirms that you bought \$20,000
- 25 of AML Bitcoin tokens at this point?

#### A. Yes.

- 2 MR. WARD: Can we just go to the last page of this
- 3 document? Actually up one page. Well, let's do right here.
- 4 BY MR. WARD
- 5 Q. Is that your name and address and phone number?
- 6 **A.** Yes.
- 7 **Q.** Great.
- 8 MR. WARD: Can we go up one page, and could you just
- 9 highlight the two signature blocks at the bottom.
- 10 BY MR. WARD
- 11 Q. Again, is that your signature, Mr. Darling?
- 12 **A.** Yes.
- 13 Q. And when you signed this agreement, who did you understand
- 14 Marcus Andrade to be?
- 15 **A.** The CEO of the company.
- 16 Q. And you'd had some communications with him at this point?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. All right. You said you purchased an additional
- 19 \$5000-worth of AML Bitcoin. Do you remember how soon after
- 20 this purchase agreement you made that purchase?
- 21 A. I don't remember the specifics, the exact date, but it was
- 22 relatively soon after. Maybe three weeks, about,
- 23 approximately.
- 24 Q. Okay. And this contract is dated August 1st of 2017.
- 25 **A.** Uh-huh.

- 1 Q. When you made this purchase was this prior to developing
- 2 | and implementing the press strategy for AML Bitcoin?
- 3 A. I believe so.
- 4 Q. And this purchase was made before you began commissioning
- 5 | writers to write articles about AML Bitcoin?
- 6 **A.** To the best of my recollection, yes. It's a long time
- 7 | ago, but I believe so.
- 8 Q. Okay. After you agreed to represent AML Bitcoin and
- 9 purchase these coins, did you work to generate positive
- 10 publicity for AML Bitcoin?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. Was part of that strategy, did you pay writers to write
- 13 | articles about AML Bitcoin?
- 14 A. I compensated writers for their time and effort, yes.
- 15 Q. And when you compensated them for their time and effort,
- 16 during that time and effort, Mr. Darling, were they writing
- 17 | articles about AML Bitcoin?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And did you work with these writers to get those articles
- 20 published on mainstream news organization websites?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 **Q.** And in mainstream newspapers?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 \ Q. In addition to hiring and paying writers to write articles
- 25 | about AML Bitcoin, did you also write articles about AML

- 1 Bitcoin?
- 2 **A.** I did.
- 3 | Q. And did you submit those articles to news organizations to
- 4 have them published?
- 5 A. Yes, I did.
- 6 Q. And were they published?
- 7 A. Yes, they were.
- 8 Q. I'd like to show you an exhibit marked 241.
- 9 Mr. Darling, have you seen this document?
- 10 A. Yes, I have.
- 11 Q. What is it?
- 12 A. This is a document I put together that compiled the -- a
- 13 | list of the op-eds that I helped place and publish.
- 14 Q. Did you put this together during the time that you were
- 15 | working with individuals to compile these articles?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 **Q.** Is this an accurate representation of at least some of the
- 18 | articles that you worked on and commissioned?
- 19 **A.** Yes.
- 20 MR. WARD: At this point, the government would move to
- 21 admit exhibit 241.
- 22 MR. STEFAN: No objection, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: 241 will be admitted.
- 24 (Trial Exhibit 241 received in evidence.)
- 25 BY MR. WARD

- 1 Q. Mr. Darling, if you would look at this article, let's walk
- 2 | through some of the columns, and we'll start with the second
- 3 | column. Do you see where it says "author"?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 | Q. Is that a list of the authors --
- 6 MR. WARD: You can just blow up the whole thing. I 7 think everyone can see it.
- 8 BY MR. WARD
- 9 Q. The column that says "author," Mr. Darling, are those the
- 10 | writers that you paid to write articles about AML Bitcoin?
- 11 **A.** Yes, and myself, too.
- 12 Q. I was gonna point out your name's listed there. Were
- 13 those for the articles that you wrote?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. And then the next column is Platform. What are the
- 16 | entries -- what does platform refer to?
- 17 **A.** Platform was the website that they were placed on.
- 18 Q. All right. And then when it says status, published, does
- 19 that indicate -- was that a record indicating to you that those
- 20 | articles were, in fact, published?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- 22 Q. And then the column, title of the piece and web link, is
- 23 that an accurate description of the title of the article and
- 24 the web link?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

#### REDIRECT /

- And then the final column, do you see where it says cost? 1 Q.
- Is that the amount that you paid those individuals to write 2
- those articles? 3
- Yes. 4 Α.
- 5 So, for example, Mr. Darling, if you look at the first Q.
- row, did you pay a writer named Derek Hunter \$500 to write an 6
- 7 article called Congress Considering Validating Bitcoin?
- Yes. 8 Α.
- And was that published in the Daily Caller? 9 Q.
- Yes, it was. 10 Α.
- 11 Did you pay a writer by the name Steve Sherman \$500 to Q.
- publish an article called AML Bitcoin Is The Future Of Digital 12
- Currency published in Townhall? 13
- Yes, I did. 14 Α.
- And column five refers to an article that you wrote. 15
- 16 you write an article for The Observer entitled Soccer-Mom-
- 17 Friendly AML Bitcoin Will Make Digital Currencies Mainstream?
- Α. I did. 18
- Can we take a look at exhibit 196? 19 MR. WARD:
- BY MR. WARD 20
- Mr. Darling, do you see this article? 21 Q.
- I do. 22 Α.
- Had you reviewed this prior to testifying here today? 23 Q.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 Is this the article you wrote for the Observer about AML

- 1 Bitcoin?
- 2 **A.** It is.
- 3 | Q. Does this document accurately reflect the article that you
- 4 | wrote and had published -- that you wrote?
- 5 A. Yes, that's it.
- 6 Q. And was this article, in fact, published in the New York
- 7 Observer?
- 8 A. It was.
- 9 MR. WARD: At this point the government would move to admit exhibit 196.
- 11 MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 12 **THE COURT:** 196 will be admitted.
- 13 (Trial Exhibit 196 received in evidence.)
- 14 MR. WARD: Let's just, can we just blow up the bottom
- 15 | three quarters from just above "opinion"?
- 16 BY MR. WARD
- 17 Q. And Mr. Darling, that "by" line is yours?
- 18 A. Yes, it is.
- 19 Q. And it says at the bottom 10/5/2017. Is that when you
- 20 remember the article was published?
- 21 **A.** Yes.
- MR. WARD: All right. If we could go to the second
- 23 page, please. Can you blow up the first two paragraphs,
- 24 please.
- 25 BY MR. WARD

- 1 | Q. You see the second paragraph, Mr. Darling?
- 2 **A.** Yes.
- 3 Q. You wrote: With the introduction of AML Bitcoin, the
- 4 | first digital currency that incorporates patent-pending
- 5 anti-money laundering and know-your-customer provisions of
- 6 America's strict banking laws, soon soccer moms and the rest of
- 7 us may be able to use digital currencies everywhere.
- 8 Where did you get the information that AML Bitcoin was the
- 9 | first digital currency that incorporates patent-pending
- 10 anti-money laundering and know-your-customer provisions?
- 11 A. I got some of that information from Jack Abramoff and some
- 12 of it off the website.
- 13 Q. Did you do any independent reporting or research to
- 14 | confirm whether that was true or not?
- 15 **A.** No.
- 16 MR. WARD: All right. Can we pop out of that
- 17 | paragraph, please, and go down to the -- down to the paragraph
- 18 down at the bottom that starts "she's not alone".
- 19 BY MR. WARD
- 20 **Q.** You see that sentence where you wrote: Marcus Andrade,
- 21 | the CEO of NAC Foundation, the creator of AML Bitcoin, has been
- 22 | furiously traveling the world for the past two weeks trying to
- 23 keep up with governments' requests to meet with him and explore
- 24 his new AML Bitcoin.
- 25 When you wrote that, Mr. Darling, where did you get that

- 1 information?
- 2 **A.** That information was provided to me by Jack Abramoff.
- 3 Q. Did you have any independent confirmation or did you do
- 4 any independent reporting to confirm whether, in fact, Marcus
- 5 | Andrade was furiously traveling the world?
- 6 **A.** No.
- 7 Q. Did you have any independent confirmation that he was
- 8 trying to keep up with government requests to meet him and
- 9 explore his new AML Bitcoin?
- 10 **A.** No.
- 11 Q. Looking at the next paragraph, Mr. Darling, you write: In
- 12 Panama, Andrade met with regulatory and financial authorities
- in urgent and very productive conclaves.
- 14 Again, where did that information come from?
- 15 **A.** That information was provided to me by Jack.
- 16 **Q.** Did you have any independent knowledge or understanding
- 17 that Mr. Andrade was meeting with anyone in Panama --
- 18 **A.** No.
- 19 Q. -- conclaves, productive or not?
- 20 MR. WARD: All right. Let's move out of that, please.
- 21 Can we go to the next page? Can we look at the next full
- 22 paragraph there. No, the one that says "Panama's financial
- 23 system".
- 24 BY MR. WARD
- 25 \ Q. You write, Mr. Darling: Since Andrade's visit --

- referring to Panama -- they have been scurrying to find a way
  to integrate the AML Bitcoin in payment structures for
  everything from banks to the Panama Canal.
  - Again, where did that information come from?
  - A. That information was provided to me by Jack Abramoff.
- 6 MR. WARD: All right. Let's pull out of that paragraph.
  - Can we look at the paragraph that says "moreover"? That would be the next paragraph.
- 10 BY MR. WARD

4

5

8

- Q. You write: Moreover, last week, Andrade joined the
  European Union Digital Summit in Tallinn, Estonia, where he met
  with officials from several European nations.
- 14 Again, where did that information come from?
- 15 A. That informations was provided to me by Jack Abramoff.
- 16 **Q.** Did you do anything or have any independent basis to determine whether that was true or not?
- 18 **A.** No.
- 19 **Q.** You wrote that he was meeting in meetings including the 20 Croatian Prime Minister, Andre Plenkovic.
- 21 Again, any information whether that was true or not?
- 22 **A.** No.
- 23 Q. And you write that the individuals at this summit were
  24 interested in discussing the possible creation of national
  25 digital currencies based on AML Bitcoin's anti-money

## REDIRECT /

laundering, know-your-customer-compliant platform.

Again, did you have any independent knowledge of whether that was true or not?

Α. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MR. WARD: Let's pop back out of that, please.

Let's just do one more, the next paragraph, please.

#### BY MR. WARD

- This is in reference to the prior paragraph discussion of the conference in Estonia. You write: But the most intriquing news coming from sources at the conference is that Andrade has also been quietly shuttling between Paris and Brussels, likely in intense discussions with the OECD and the EU related to those organizations' interest in exploring AML Bitcoin, and so on.
- Again, where did that information come from?
- 16 That information was provided to me by Jack Abramoff.
- When you wrote that the news was coming from sources at 17 Q. the conference, did you have any sources at the conference? 18
- No. 19 Α.
- MR. WARD: Okay. We can back out of that paragraph. 20
- Let's just do the last paragraph here. 21 One last.
- BY MR. WARD 22
- You write: Natko Vlahovic, a former Croatian diplomat and 23 executive director of the Croatian EU's business council 24
- speculates that Andrade's communications relate -- with the 25

- 1 OECD relate to a possible role for AML Bitcoin.
- 2 Did you speak to Natko Vlahovic?
  - A. No, I did not.
- 4 Q. Where did this information come from?
- 5 **A.** That information was provided to me by Jack.
- 6 Q. And did you -- did Mr. Abramoff tell you that he had
- 7 | spoken to Mr. Natko Vlahovic?
- 8 A. I don't remember specifically.
- 9 MR. WARD: We can back out of this article.
- 10 BY MR. WARD

- 11 Q. When you submitted this article to The Observer, were you
- 12 | contacted by an editor or anyone from the Observer?
- 13 **A.** No.
- 14 Q. Did anyone contact you to fact-check the article?
- 15 **A.** No.
- 16 Q. Did you have any communications with anyone at the
- 17 Observer?
- 18 A. Other than e-mailing the submission in and it getting
- 19 published, no, I don't remember having any communications other
- 20 than that.
- 21 **Q.** Did they publish the article just as you'd written it?
- 22 **A.** Yes.
- MR. WARD: All right. Can we look at exhibit 706,
- 24 | please. I'm sorry, can you pull that down? Can we pull up
- 25 exhibit 173, please?

#### 1 BY MR. WARD

- 2 Q. At some point in October of 2017, Mr. Darling, were you
- 3 | introduced by e-mail to Marcus Andrade?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 | Q. And did you provide Marcus Andrade a copy of the article
- 6 you wrote?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. Looking at this e-mail, is that your e-mail address?
- 9 A. I'm sorry?
- 10 Q. Is that -- at the top where it says Brian Darling, is that
- 11 | your e-mail address?
- 12 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 13 Q. And was this an e-mail from Jack Abramoff to you and
- 14 | Marcus Andrade?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 **Q.** You've reviewed this e-mail prior to testifying here?
- 17 **A.** I did.
- 18 Q. Is it an accurate representation of the exchange?
- 19 **A.** It is.
- MR. WARD: Move to admit exhibit 173.
- 21 MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 22 **THE COURT:** 173 will be admitted.
- 23 (Trial Exhibit 173 received in evidence.)
- 24 BY MR. WARD
- 25 \ Q. Let's look at the top e-mail starting where it says

- 1 | "connecting you guys". Again, Mr. Darling, that's your e-mail.
- 2 Do you see to the right of your e-mail where it says Marcus
- 3 Andrade, CEO@AMLBitcoin? Did you understand that you were
- 4 being introduced by e-mail here to Marcus Andrade?
- 5 **A.** Yes.
- 6 Q. This is from Jack Abramoff, and he writes: Brian will
- 7 have a link for a piece that he has submitted to New York
- 8 Observer tonight. Since I'll be out of commission with the
- 9 Jewish holiday, Brian will get it to you tomorrow, assuming
- 10 | they move forward, which we hope they will.
- When Mr. Abramoff says, Brian will get it to you tomorrow,
- 12 | what was he referring to?
- 13 A. Me e-mailing the link.
- 14 Q. And was it your understanding that Mr. Andrade was going
- 15 to review this article before it was published?
- 16 A. I don't remember him reviewing it. I --
- 17 MR. STEFAN: Objection; foundation.
- 18 **THE COURT:** Overruled.
- 19 BY MR. WARD
- 20 Q. Why did -- why was -- why did you understand that Jack
- 21 Abramoff was asking you to get the article to Marcus Andrade?
- 22 **A.** So we could have a link to the article and see that it was
- 23 published.
- 24 Q. Okay. And why did Mr. Andrade want the article published?
- 25 **A.** I don't know what he -- I don't know. Just --

MR. STEFAN: Objection; foundation, speculation. 1

THE COURT: That one's sustained.

#### BY MR. WARD

2

3

4

5

9

- Do you know why Mr. Andrade and Mr. Abramoff wanted this article published?
- 6 MR. STEFAN: Same objection, Your Honor.
- 7 THE COURT: Yeah, sustained.

#### BY MR. WARD 8

- All right. Let's step out of this.
- If you look at the e-mail below. 10
- 11 MR. WARD: If you can pull up the whole thing.
- BY MR. WARD 12
- 13 Was this the article that you then had published in the
- New York Observer? 14
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 MR. WARD: Can we back out of this and go to the
- second page, please. Hang on. Go back up to the first page, 17
- 18 please.
- BY MR. WARD 19
- The time for the -- this e-mail, the second e-mail, was 20
- October 5th at 10:55 a.m.? 21
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 MR. WARD: All right. Can we go to the next page and
- then down to the last page? Down one more. 24
- 25 BY MR. WARD

- 1 Q. Do you see this response, Mr. Darling?
- 2 **A.** Yes.

- 3 Q. When Marcus Andrade says to you, looks great, what's he
- 4 | referring to?
  - **A.** The content of the article.
- 6 **Q.** And when he says, post it, what is he referring to?
- 7 **A.** He wants to see it published.
- 8 **Q.** Okay. And ...
- 9 Thank you.
- 10 As part of the press strategy for AML Bitcoin, did you
- 11 understand that these articles that you wrote would be
- 12 published on AML Bitcoin's Twitter page?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. And did you see posts of the article you wrote on AML
- 15 | Bitcoin's Twitter page?
- 16 A. Yeah, I followed the AML Bitcoin Twitter page. Saw them
- 17 there, yes.
- 18 Q. All right. Can we look at exhibit 506? What is this?
- 19 A. That's the AML Bitcoin Twitter handle, and it was a post,
- 20 and they reposted my op-ed.
- 21 Q. You saw this document before you testified here today. Is
- 22 | this an accurate representation of what you remember being
- 23 posted?
- 24 A. Yes.
- MR. WARD: At this point, the government would move to

```
1 admit exhibit 506.
```

MR. STEFAN: No objection.

THE COURT: 506 will be admitted.

(Trial Exhibit 506 received in evidence.)

#### BY MR. WARD

2

3

4

5

6

7

- Q. And Mr. Darling, the article that's posted by the @AMLBitcoin Twitter site, is that the article that you wrote?
- 8 A. Yes, it is.
  - MR. HIGHSMITH: Can we publish that one, please?
- 10 MR. WARD: I'm sorry, has this not been published?
- 11 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Sorry. That was my fault.
- 12 BY MR. WARD
- Q. All right. I'll ask you again, Mr. Darling, is this the
- 14 article you wrote for The Observer?
- 15 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 16 MR. WARD: We can take that down and move on.
- 17 BY MR. WARD
- 18 Q. In addition to writing your own articles, Mr. Darling, you
- 19 testified that you commissioned others to write articles. Did
- 20 you commission a writer by the name of Chris Versace to write
- 21 articles?
- 22 A. Yes, I did.
- 23 Q. All right. And did he, in fact, write articles about AML
- 24 Bitcoin?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. Did he write an article for TheStreet.com?
- 2 A. Yes, he did.
- 3 Q. Was that an article that you commissioned him to write?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 MR. WARD: Can we look at exhibit 70? That's
- 6 exhibit 7. Seventy.
- 7 | BY MR. WARD
- 8 Q. All right. Looking at the e-mail address, looking at the
- 9 content at the bottom, is that TheStreet.com article that you
- 10 | had Chris Versace write?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 MR. WARD: Can we pop out of that, please?
- 13 BY MR. WARD
- 14 Q. And then looking at the e-mail address that's the second
- 15 e-mail box, is that your e-mail address in the "from" line?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 | Q. And is that Jack Abramoff sea e-mail in the "to" line?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. And looking at the e-mail at the top, is there a response
- 20 from Jack Abramoff to you?
- 21 A. Yes, he responded, great work, Brian.
- 22 **Q.** All right. Is this an accurate representation of that
- 23 communication?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 MR. WARD: All right. The government would move to

```
1 admit exhibit 70.
```

- 2 MR. STEFAN: No objection.
- 3 THE COURT: Seventy -- exhibit 70 will be admitted.
- 4 (Trial Exhibit 70 received in evidence.)

#### 5 BY MR. WARD

- 6 Q. Okay. So let's start with the e-mail at the bottom and
- 7 all of the content of the article. Again, Mr. Darling, this
- 8 | was the article that you commissioned Chris Versace to write?
- 9 A. Yes, it is.
- 10 Q. And did you pay him to write this article?
- 11 A. Yes, I did.
- 12 Q. And did you provide him with the information that he used
- 13 | for this article?
- 14 A. Yes, I did.
- 15 **Q.** At least some of the information?
- 16 **A.** Yes.
- 17 Q. All right. Why are you sending a copy of this to Jack
- 18 | Abramoff?
- 19 A. Because I was working with Jack to get op-eds placed
- 20 | talking about AML Bitcoin.
- 21 Q. All right. And did he understand that you had writers
- 22 that you had commissioned to write these articles?
- 23 **A.** Yes.
- 24 Q. Did he understand you were paying them?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. All right. Let's back out of this e-mail and then just
- 2 | look at the e-mail chain at the top. Was that a response from
- 3 Mr. Abramoff to you?
- 4 **A.** Yes.
- 5 | Q. And do you see that Marcus Andrade's CC'd on that e-mail?
- 6 A. I see that.
- 7 MR. WARD: Can we take down this article and bring up
- 8 exhibit 195?
- 9 BY MR. WARD
- 10 Q. Do you see this article? You see this document?
- 11 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 12 Q. Is this an article that Chris Versace wrote for
- 13 Realmoney. The Street.com?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. Was this an article that you commissioned him and paid him
- 16 to write?
- 17 **A.** Yes.
- 18 Q. Have you reviewed this document prior to testifying here?
- 19 A. Yes, I have.
- 20 **Q.** And is this an accurate representation of the article that
- 21 | you paid Mr. Versace to write?
- 22 **A.** Yes, it is.
- 23 MR. WARD: All right. I would move to admit
- 24 exhibit 195.
- MR. STEFAN: No objection.

1 THE COURT: Exhibit 195 will be admitted.

2 (Trial Exhibit 195 received in evidence.)

#### BY MR. WARD

- 4 Q. Looking at the -- just looking at the first paragraph of
- 5 this article, if we could. When Mr. Versace wrote: A new coin
- 6 | slated to launch at midnight Sunday Hong Kong time called AML
- 7 Bitcoin is aiming to mainstream what has been considered a wild
- 8 | west-like market for this payment method for goods and
- 9 services. The information that Mr. Versace had about AML
- 10 Bitcoin for this article, where did he get that information?
- 11 **A.** He got that from me.
- 12 Q. Okay. To your knowledge, did he do any independent
- 13 research or reporting about AML Bitcoin -- let me finish --
- 14 | about AML Bitcoin for this article?
- 15 A. I don't believe so.
- 16 MR. WARD: Okay. You can come out of that.
- 17 BY MR. WARD
- 18 | Q. In addition to TheStreet.com article, did you commission
- 19 Mr. Versace to write an article for Forbes?
- 20 **A.** Yes.
- MR. WARD: All right. Can we look at exhibit 41?
- 22 This has already been admitted.
- 23 BY MR. WARD
- 24 \ Q. You've reviewed this article prior to testifying here?
- 25 **A.** Yes.

- 1 Q. Is this an accurate representation of the article that you
- 2 | commissioned Chris Versace to write for Forbes?
  - A. Yes, it is.

3

6

- Q. And like the other article, where did Mr. Versace get the information about AML Bitcoin in this article?
  - **A.** I provided him information is.
- 7 MR. WARD: Okay. Can we go to the second page? If 8 you can highlight the second paragraph, please.
  - BY MR. WARD
- Q. On the line 3, you write: If the hedge fund and digital coin investor worlds are to be believed, it will quickly rival the original Bitcoin and potentially overtake it.
- 13 Is that a reference to AML Bitcoin?
- 14 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And was that -- the information that Mr. Versace is writing here, that came from you?
- 17 A. Yes, it did.
- 18 **Q.** And you did not obtain that information from individuals in the hedge fund and digital investor coin world?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. Okay. There is a quote below that from a gentleman by the
- 22 | name of David Mata, and I won't read all of it, but the last
- 23 sentence says, it's the cryptocurrency equivalent of handing
- 24 | Mark Zuckerberg a check while he sits in his dorm room coding
- 25 Facebook. Do you see that?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 **Q.** Where did that quote come from?
- 3 **A.** I provided that quote to Chris Versace.
- 4 | Q. And did you speak to Mr. Mata before you provided that to
- 5 him?
- 6 A. No, that quote was provided to me.
- 7 **Q.** By who?
- 8 A. By Jack Abramoff.
- 9 MR. WARD: Okay. Let's back out of that, if we could.
- 10 Go to the bottom paragraph. It starts, "with the
- 11 introduction."
- 12 You see where Mr. Versace writes: With the introduction
- 13 of AML Bitcoin and its likely integration into the payment
- 14 structures of governments and corporations across the globe,
- 15 | this will all change.
- 16 Where was that information from?
- 17 A. That either came from Jack or the website.
- 18 BY MR. WARD
- 19 Q. All right. The next sentence says, already, ports in the
- 20 United States and Latin America, as well as banks and
- 21 governments throughout the world are in advanced discussions
- 22 with NAC Foundation, the creator of AML Bitcoin, to deploy AML
- 23 Bitcoin in their payment systems.
- 24 Where did that information come from?
- 25 **A.** The information about the ports was provided to me by Jack

- 1 Abramoff.
- 2 **Q.** And the same with the Latin America?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. And again, this wasn't anything that you confirmed
- 5 independently?
- 6 A. That's correct.
- 7 MR. WARD: You can pull out of this.
- 8 BY MR. WARD
- 9 Q. Did you see this article published on the Forbes.com
- 10 website?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 **Q.** At some point did Forbes pull the article?
- 13 A. Yes, they did.
- 14 Q. And how did you learn that Forbes pulled the article?
- 15 A. I had some communication with Chris it was pulled out.
- 16 Q. And based on your conversations with Versace, do you know
- 17 | why Forbes pulled the article?
- 18 MR. STEFAN: Objection; hearsay.
- 19 **THE COURT:** Sustained.
- 20 BY MR. WARD
- 21 Q. After the article was pulled down, did you ever see it on
- 22 the Forbes website again?
- 23 **A.** No.
- 24 Q. Did you continue to work with Mr. Versace on getting
- 25 | articles published?

- 1 A. I didn't work with him after that.
- 2 Q. Okay. Did you work with a writer named Peter Ferrara to
- 3 write articles about AML Bitcoin?
- 4 A. Yes, I did.
- 5 | Q. And like the other writers, did you provide him the
- 6 information that he used in his articles?
- 7 A. Yes, I did.
- 8 Q. And did you pay him to write the articles?
- 9 **A.** Yes, I paid him.
- 10 MR. WARD: Can we look at exhibit 864, please? This
- 11 has been admitted.
- 12 BY MR. WARD
- 13 Q. Mr. Darling, was this an article you paid Peter Ferrara to
- 14 | write for Investor's Business Daily?
- 15 **A.** Yes.
- 16 MR. WARD: All right. Go to page 2, please, second
- 17 paragraph.
- 18 BY MR. WARD
- 19 Q. Dawn came last week to Panama. As the corn world was
- 20 buffeted by the market swoon, officials of an entirely
- 21 different kind of digital currency quietly met with leading
- 22 government and industry officials in Panama City.
- 23 MR. WARD: If you can back out of that, please.
- 24 BY MR. WARD
- 25 Q. Was that a reference to AML Bitcoin?

### A. Yes.

1

2

3

- MR. WARD: Ms. Rosenbaum, can we highlight the paragraph that starts "in Panama" and just do the -- that, and those three paragraphs starting with "in Panama"?
- 5 BY MR. WARD
- Q. Where did you -- where did Mr. Versace get the information that AML Bitcoin founder Marcus Andrade had met with leaders in government and industry, including the banking sector?
- 9 **A.** This is a Ferrara piece?
- 10 Q. A Ferrara piece, yeah, sorry.
- 11 **A.** The information I provided to him.
- 12 Q. All right. The next paragraph has a quote from a former
- 13 ambassador Carlos De La Guardia. Starting with you, did you
- 14 ever speak to Carlos De La Guardia?
- 15 **A.** No.
- 16 Q. Did you know where that quote that Mr. Ferrara used came
- 17 | from?
- 18 A. I would have provided it to him.
- 19 MR. WARD: All right. Let's back out of this.
- 20 BY MR. WARD
- 21 | Q. I know you've reviewed this article, Mr. Darling.
- 22 Anywhere in this article does Mr. Ferrara reveal that he's
- 23 being paid to write this article?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 \ Q. And does anywhere in this article does it reveal that

# the -- he's writing it on behalf of AML Bitcoin?

2 **A.** No.

- 3 Q. Going back to that article by Mr. Versace. Anywhere in
- 4 that article did Mr. Versace reveal that he was being paid to
- 5 write that article?
- 6 **A.** No.
- 7 | Q. And that AML Bitcoin had a financial interest in that
- 8 article?
- 9 **A.** No.
- 10 Q. The article that you wrote for The Observer, did you
- 11 reveal anywhere in the article that that you were acting as a
- 12 paid promotor of AML Bitcoin?
- 13 **A.** No.
- 14 Q. When you submitted your article to The Observer, did you
- 15 tell The Observer that you had been paid to write that article?
- 16 **A.** No.
- 17 Q. Okay. And to your knowledge, if you know, did Mr. Versace
- 18 or Mr. Ferrara reveal to the news organizations that published
- 19 their article whether they had been paid for writing those
- 20 articles?
- 21 A. I don't believe so.
- 22 | Q. All right. Did you also work with a writer named Peter
- 23 Roff?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. To write articles about AML Bitcoin?

#### A. Yes.

1

- 2 Q. Was it the same arrangement with Mr. Roff that you had
- 3 with Mr. Ferrara and Mr. Versace?
  - A. Yes.
- 5 MR. WARD: All right. Can we look at exhibit 1441,
- 6 which has already been admitted?
- 7 | BY MR. WARD
- 8 Q. You reviewed this article, Mr. Darling?
- 9 **A.** Yes.
- 10 Q. This article is about the Port of San Francisco. Did you
- 11 provide Mr. Roff with the information that went into this
- 12 article?
- 13 **A.** Yes.
- 14 Q. To your knowledge, did he do any independent research to
- 15 | confirm any of the information in this article?
- 16 A. I don't believe so.
- 17 **Q.** And where were you getting the information that you were
- 18 providing to Mr. Roff for the article?
- 19 A. My information about the specifics from Jack Abramoff.
- 20 MR. WARD: All right. Can we just go down one page,
- 21 please? And another. One more. Can we highlight this quote
- 22 | right here, "digital currency"?
- 23 BY MR. WARD
- 24 | Q. This is a quote from -- purportedly from Marcus Andrade.
- 25 Where did this quote come from?

- 1 A. That quote was provided to me.
- 2 MR. WARD: All right. If we could back out, please.
- 3 Down one more page. Starting with the quote that says "San
- 4 Francisco is a very tech-forward thinking city".
- 5 BY MR. WARD
- 6 Q. Do you recognize that quote?
- 7 **A.** Yes, I do.
- 8 Q. And where did that come from?
- 9 **A.** That quote was provided to me.
- 10 Q. By Jack Abramoff?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. Did you ever speak to Leslie Katz?
- 13 **A.** No.
- 14 MR. WARD: Okay. You can back out of this article.
- 15 BY MR. WARD
- 16 | Q. In early 2018, Mr. Darling, were you asked to generate
- 17 press about an AML Bitcoin ad in the Super Bowl?
- 18 **A.** Yes.
- 19 Q. Were you told -- what were you asked -- well, first, who
- 20 asked you to generate press about AML Bitcoin in the Super
- 21 Bowl?
- 22 A. Jack Abramoff.
- 23 Q. And what did Jack Abramoff tell you about AML Bitcoin in
- 24 the Super Bowl?
- 25 **A.** Told me that they were putting together an ad. They were

- going to a studio and spending money on an ad that they were 1
- pulling together that they were gonna submit to see if they 2
- could get it running during the Super Bowl. 3
- All right. And did Mr. Abramoff describe the contents of 4
- 5 the ad for you?
- 6 Α. He described it as the North Korean leader complaining
- about not being able to hack AML Bitcoin because it was -- it 7
- had all these protections in it. So it was a -- basically 8
- showing the North Korean leader just getting all angry and 9
- upset saying I can't act with this technology. 10
- 11 Q. It was a humorous ad?
- Yes, it was intended to be humorous. 12
- And what did Jack Abramoff tell you that AML Bitcoin 13 0.
- intended to do with that ad? 14
- Submit it to see if they could get it run during the Super 15 Α.
- 16 Bowl.
- 17 And submit it to who? Q.
- To the network. 18 Α.
- All right. And at a later point did he tell you whether 19
- or not they, in fact, had submitted it? 20
- My understanding it was submitted and rejected. 21 Α.
- And your understanding that it was submitted and rejected 22 Q.
- comes from Mr. Abramoff? 23
- Yes, that's what I was told. 24 Α.
- All right. And did Mr. Abramoff tell you why the -- well, 25 Q.

#### REDIRECT /

- first of all, who did he tell you had rejected the ad? 1
- I guess it was the network, because the network was the 2 Α. one that was supposed to run it. 3
- All right. Did -- after Mr. Abramoff told you that the 4 network had rejected the ad, what did he ask you to do? 5
- He asked me to generate a couple op-eds talking about how 6 Α. 7 the NFL and the network rejected the ad because it was so controversial. 8
- All right. And did -- were you able to generate news 9 articles and press about this claim that the NFL and the 10 11 network had rejected --
- Yeah, not news articles, but I did generate a number of 12 13 op-eds.
- MR. WARD: Can we go back and look at exhibit 241? 14 We can blow up the ... 15
- 16 BY MR. WARD
- You can look at line number 7? Do you see that? 17 Q.
- Α. Yes. 18
- Did you commission and pay a writer by the name of Steve 19 Sherman to get an article published called the NFL Has Become A 20 Political Action Committee?
- Α. 22 Yes.

21

Did you, looking at line 8, did you pay a writer by the 23 name of Derek Hunter to write an article called NFL Rejects 24 25 Super Bowl Ad Because It Mocks Kim Jong-Un?

- 1 **A.** Yes.
- 2 Q. Was that published in the Daily Caller?
- 3 **A.** Yes.
- 4 Q. Next line, same author, Steve Sherman. Did you commission
- 5 | him to write an article called What Is Wrong With The NFL that
- 6 | was published in Townhall?
- 7 **A.** Yes.
- 8 Q. Same with the next line. Did you pay Mr. Dan Perkins to
- 9 write an article, The NFL Is Against Free Speech At The Super
- 10 Bowl?
- 11 **A.** Yes.
- 12 Q. And then again on line 11, was this another article that
- 13 | you commissioned and had published?
- 14 **A.** Yes.
- 15 Q. The information that all these writers got about the ad
- 16 and it being rejected by the NFL and the NBC, where did that
- 17 | information come from?
- 18 A. It came from me.
- 19 Q. Did you ever confirm with NBC or the NFL whether or not
- 20 | they had rejected the ad?
- 21 **A.** No.
- MR. WARD: It would be a good point to break if we're
- 23 ready.
- 24 **THE COURT:** Okay. Do you have more?
- MR. WARD: I have a little more, yeah.

## REDIRECT /

All right. Members of the jury, we'll 1 THE COURT: 2 call it for the day. Remember my admonition. Do not discuss this amongst 3 yourselves, with anyone else. Don't do any research. Don't do 4 5 anything associated with this case. Enjoy the wet afternoon, 6 and we'll see you tomorrow to start at 8:30. 7 (The jury exits the courtroom.) THE COURT: We'll need you back tomorrow. 8 We're out of the presence of the jury. 9 So we don't have any miscommunications, what is the list 10 for tomorrow? 11 Tomorrow we're going to finish up with 12 MR. WARD: 13 Mr. Darling. We're going to go back to David Hargreaves, who was the witness we were going to call today. 14 THE COURT: Hargreaves? 15 MR. WARD: Yeah, H-a-r-g-e-a-v-e-s. 16 17 THE COURT: Okay. From there we're going to do Byron Rhett, 18 MR. WARD: R-h-e-t-t. He's from the Port of San Francisco. And then from 19 there we will go to Leslie Katz, who was the port commissioner 20 and the lawyer who was featured in the quotes. And we provided 21 22 these names to you yesterday, I believe. 23 MR. SHEPARD: Yes.

So we'll get you the list of the documents.

**THE COURT:** Okay.

MR. WARD:

24

1	THE COURT: So that's the four?
2	MR. WARD: That's the four.
3	THE COURT: Okay. I do have civil law and motion at
4	2:30, so could you make sure you clear off the tables? Because
5	other counsel will need it.
6	All right. Anything else?
7	MR. WARD: Nothing from the government.
8	THE COURT: Mr. Chou, how do we pronounce your name
9	properly? Because I've heard it in three or four different
10	iterations.
11	MR. CHOU: Thank you, Your Honor. It's Chou. Like
12	C-h-o-w, even though it's not spelled that way.
13	THE COURT: Good to know. Thank you very much.
14	Okay. See you tomorrow at 8:30. Well, I'll see you at
15	8:00 tomorrow.
16	(The evening recess was taken at 1:32 p.m.)
17	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
18	I, Stephen W. Franklin, Registered Merit Reporter, and
19	Certified Realtime Reporter, certify that the foregoing is a
20	correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the record
21	of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
22	Dated this 13th day of February, 2025.
23	
24 25	Stephen W. Franklin, RMR, CRR